

0070471

**SAF-RC-051  
100 & 300 Area Component of the  
RCBRA - Incremental Soil Sampling  
FINAL DATA PACKAGE**

**COMPLETE COPY OF DATA PACKAGE TO:**

Jill Thomson	H0-23	<u>KW 7/31/06</u> <small>INITIAL/DATE</small>
Jackie Queen	H0-23	<u>KW 7/31/06</u> <small>INITIAL/DATE</small>
Jeanette Duncan	H9-02	<u>KW 7/31/06</u> <small>INITIAL/DATE</small>

**RECEIVED**  
AUG 09 2006  
**EDMC**

**COMMENTS:**

**SDG E2748      SAF-RC-051**

Rad only    X Chem only      Rad & Chem

X Complete      Partial

**Corrected Bluegrass Report for Soil Plant Toxicity for  
Sample J10DW4 + J10DW4A**

**Waste Site: 600-131**



# ELR Consulting, Inc.

PROJECT MANAGEMENT, ENGINEERING, & TECHNICAL SERVICES

July 20, 2006

Ms. Joan Kessner  
Subcontract Technical Representative  
Washington Closure Hanford LLC  
3070 George Washington Way  
Richland, WA 99354



Dear Ms. Kessner:

## ACUTE SCREENING BIOASSAYS – AMENDED BLUEGRASS REPORTS CONTRACT NUMBER 0000X-SC-G0553

Enclosed are amended Bluegrass reports for the following Sample Delivery Groups:

- ✓ • BG1542-01 thru 09 – Report amended July 18, 2006
- BG1542-01A, -02A, -03A and -08A and BG1566-01 thru 05 –  
Report amended July 19, 2006
- BG1575-01 thru 11 – Report amended July 19, 2006
- BG1589-01 thru 09 – Report amended July 19, 2006

An electronic copy of this information is provided for your convenience.

Should you have any questions, please feel free to call me at (509) 531-8774.

Sincerely yours,

Emmett L. Richards  
President

Enclosures

RC-051

E2748

**Table 2: Bluegrass Chronic Test Results for Washington Closure Hanford**

E, statistically significant difference from lab control by use of Equal Variance | Two-Sample Test

ns indicates a non statistically significant result; <sup>\*</sup> Indicates statistically significant at slope ( $p$ ) = 0.05; --, Indicates no statistical test performed.

Lab ID:	Sample Number:	Bluegrass 14 day Germination Endpoint (%)	Significantly different compared to Lab Control?	Bluegrass Average Stem Height (mm)	Significantly different compared to Lab Control?	Bluegrass Average Root Length (mm)	Significantly different compared to Lab Control?	Average Above Ground Shoot Mass (Wet) (mg)	Significantly different compared to Lab Control?	Average Above Ground Shoot Mass (Dry) (mg)	Significantly different compared to Lab Control?	Average Root Mass (Wet) (mg)	Significantly different compared to Lab Control?	Average Root Mass (Dry) (mg)	Significantly different compared to Lab Control?	Average Total Mass (Shoots + Roots) (Wet) (mg)	Significantly different compared to Lab Control?	Average Total Mass (Shoots + Roots) (Dry) (mg)	Significantly different compared to Lab Control?
Test Initiated on Jan 23, 2008																			
Laboratory Control		88	--	45.7	--	125	--	63.9	--	12.4	--	113	--	7.87	--	177	--	20.2	--
BG1542-01	J10DW4	60	E <sup>*</sup>	41.4	ns	—	—	40.5	E <sup>*</sup>	7.30	E <sup>*</sup>	72.4	ns	3.27	E <sup>*</sup>	113	E <sup>*</sup>	10.8	E <sup>*</sup>
BG1542-02	J10DV4	64	ns	55.3	ns	—	—	76.2	ns	14.9	ns	123	ns	6.98	ns	199	ns	21.8	ns
BG1542-03	J10DT8	84	ns	—	—	—	—	90.9	ns	16.7	ns	172	ns	10.0	ns	263	ns	26.7	ns
BG1542-04	J10DV2	72	ns	48.6	ns	122	ns	58.5	ns	10.7	ns	101	ns	6.59	ns	160	ns	17.3	ns
BG1542-05	J10DV1	38	E <sup>*</sup>	41.8	ns	91.3	E <sup>*</sup>	30.8	E <sup>*</sup>	6.70	E <sup>*</sup>	41.0	E <sup>*</sup>	3.33	E <sup>*</sup>	71.8	E <sup>*</sup>	10.0	E <sup>*</sup>
BG1542-06	J10DV3	64	ns	47.4	ns	108	ns	60.4	ns	8.92	ns	84.5	ns	5.13	ns	145	ns	14.0	ns
BG1542-07	J10DV0	76	ns	41.5	ns	80.9	E <sup>*</sup>	76.9	ns	14.7	ns	124	ns	9.10	ns	201	ns	23.8	ns
BG1542-08	J10LJ5	92	ns	—	—	—	—	78.3	ns	11.0	ns	127	ns	5.37	ns	206	ns	16.3	ns
BG1542-09	J10DT9	48	E <sup>*</sup>	37.9	ns	85.2	E <sup>*</sup>	51.0	ns	8.66	ns	90.7	ns	5.06	ns	142	ns	13.7	ns

**BIOASSAY REPORT  
CHRONIC SCREENING BIOASSAYS  
Conducted January 25 through March 3, 2006**

**Report Amended July 18, 2006**

**Prepared for**

**ELR CONSULTING, INC.  
WASHINGTON CLOSURE HANFORD**

**Prepared by**

**CH2M HILL  
2300 NW Walnut Boulevard  
Corvallis, Oregon 97330**

**July 19, 2006  
Lab I.D. Nos. B1542-01 thru 09  
SDG Number BG1542**

## **CONTENTS**

<b>Section</b>	<b>Page</b>
INTRODUCTION.....	3
METHODS AND MATERIALS.....	3
TEST METHODS.....	3
TEST ORGANISMS .....	3
CONTROL SOIL.....	3
HYDRATION WATER.....	3
TEST CONCENTRATIONS .....	3
SAMPLE COLLECTION .....	4
SAMPLE CROSS-REFERENCE TABLE .....	4
SAMPLE PREPARATION .....	4
TEST INITIATION.....	5
TEST MONITORING .....	5
TEST TERMINATION .....	5
DATA ANALYSIS.....	6
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION .....	8
CHRONIC RESULTS .....	8
CERTIFICATION STATEMENT.....	10

**APPENDIX A. RAW DATA SHEETS**

**APPENDIX B. CHAIN OF CUSTODY**

## **INTRODUCTION**

CH2M HILL conducted chronic screening bioassay tests using the Sandberg bluegrass (*Poa sandbergii*) on soil samples provided by the ELR Consulting for Washington Closure Hanford, Richland, Washington. The tests were conducted from January 25 through March 3, 2006.

The statistical analysis presented in the original report (March 28, 2006) were recalculated for shoot height and root length. This document serves as an amended to the original report.

## **METHODS AND MATERIALS**

### **TEST METHODS**

The chronic test methods were performed according to: *Standard Guide for Conducting Terrestrial Plant Toxicity Tests*, ASTM E 1963-02 (2002).

### **TEST ORGANISMS**

The seeds used were obtained from Native Grass Seeds, Comville, Arizona. All test conditions were maintained during planting, germination, and growth phases of the test as prescribed by the ASTM protocol.

### **CONTROL SOIL**

The control soil used in the tests was artificial soil comprised of 70 grade silica sand (70 percent by weight), kaolin clay (20 percent), and peat moss (10 percent). Calcium carbonate (0.4 percent of total weight) was added to adjust soil pH to  $7.0 \pm 0.5$ .

### **HYDRATION WATER**

The water used to initially hydrate the control and test soils was Milli-Q equivalent deionized water. After initial hydration, all test chambers were watered with half strength Hoagland's solution on an every other day basis. All hydration was accomplished via sub irrigation.

### **TEST CONCENTRATIONS**

The concentration tested in the bluegrass tests was 100 percent test soil with control soil alone for the lab control. For the bluegrass tests, 50 seeds per concentration were used with five replicate test chambers per concentration and 10 seeds planted per chamber. Following germination, test chambers were thinned to a maximum five seedlings per replicate.

## SAMPLE COLLECTION

Individual soil samples used during the testing were collected between October 31, 2005, and December 6, 2005. The samples were stored in the dark at 4°C until the initiation of the initiation of the tests. Chain of Custody for sample collection is provided in Appendix C.

## SAMPLE CROSS-REFERENCE TABLE

Table 1 provides a cross-reference of the Client ID numbers, sampling dates, sampling locations, Bluegrass test sample identification (SDG) numbers, and Analytical Lab SDG numbers.

Table 1 Sample Cross-Reference				
Client ID	Sample Date	Sample Location	Bluegrass test SDG	Analytical Lab SDG
J10DW4	10/31/2005	600-131	BG1542-01	E2748
J10DV4	11/08/2005	PIT 23	BG1542-02	E2801
J10DT8	11/14/2005	Upland Backfill Elevated-100-F-2	BG1542-03	E2831
J10DV2	11/15/2005	Upland Native Reference-Central Plateau	BG1542-04	E2846
J10DV1	11/15/2005	Upland Backfill Low-116-DR-1&2	BG1542-05	E2847
J10DV3	11/16/2005	Upland Native Elevated-JA Jones	BG1542-06	E2857
J10DV0	11/21/2005	Riparian Elevated-Site #3 Upriver 100-D	BG1542-07	E2877
J10LJ5	11/28/2005	Riparian Low-Site #10 Downriver 100-D	BG1542-08	E2897
J10DT9	12/06/2005	Riparian Reference-Site #13 Vernita Bridge	BG1542-09	E2953

## SAMPLE PREPARATION

Test soils and control soil were dried and homogenized prior to use. For each replicate, 90 grams dry weight of soil was added to each test chamber. The soils were initially hydrated with Milli-Q equivalent de-ionized water via sub irrigation. In addition, a sub sample of the soil was added to a surrogate chamber and hydrated for pH measurements.

## **TEST INITIATION**

Tests were initiated by planting 10 seeds in each test chamber. Seeds were planted at a depth of 1 ½ times the seeds diameter (approximately 2 millimeters) and covered gently with soil. A small amount of hydration water (10 ml) was sprayed onto the soil surface to ensure seeds received moisture.

## **TEST MONITORING**

According to information provided by Native Grass Seed (seed supplier), germination should take place between 14 and 28 days. The number of seeds in each test chamber that had germinated was recorded on days 12, 14, 16, 21, and 23. Germination was determined to have occurred on day 23.

Observations of the shoot appearance were recorded 7 days after germination (30 days after planting). The number of germinated seeds in each test chamber was also recorded. Chambers that had more than five germinated seeds had shoots removed to prevent overcrowding. These test chambers were thinned to five seedlings each.

Soil pH was taken at test initiation and termination by placing a subsample of soil into a specimen cup, adding hydration water, and mixing prior to the pH measurement.

## **TEST TERMINATION**

Tests were terminated 14 days post germination. The number of seedlings, shoot appearance and height (tallest shoot of each plant), and root appearance and length (longest recovered root of each plant) was recorded.

For each test chamber, all of the above ground biomass (i.e. shoots) from all germinated plants were combined and placed into tarred aluminum tins. The shoots were weighed to determine the wet weight immediately following removal from the test chamber. The shoots were then dried in an oven at 60 °C for a minimum of 24 hours. The shoots were then placed into a desiccator for a minimum of 2 hours and weighed to determine dry weight.

The wet and dry weight for the roots were obtained following the same procedure as described above.

## DATA ANALYSIS

For each test chamber, the following endpoints were calculated:

- **14 Day Post-Germination Survival (%)**  
(Calculated as the number of seedlings alive at 14 day post germination divided by 5)
- **Average Above Ground Shoot Mass (Wet)**  
(Calculated as the total wet weight of the shoots divided by the number of seedlings harvested)
- **Average Above Ground Shoot Mass (Dry)**  
(Calculated as the total dry weight of the shoots divided by the number of seedlings harvested)
- **Average Root Mass (Wet)**  
(Calculated as the total wet weight of the roots divided by the number of seedlings harvested)
- **Average Root Mass (Dry)**  
(Calculated as the total dry weight of the roots divided by the number of seedlings harvested)
- **Average Total Mass (Wet)**  
(Calculated as the total combined wet weights of the shoots and roots divided by the number of seedlings harvested)
- **Average Total Mass (Dry)**  
(Calculated as the total combined dry weights of the shoots and roots divided by the number of seedlings harvested)
- **Average Shoot Height**  
(Calculated as the total combined height of the tallest shoot of each seedling divided by the number of seedlings harvested)
- **Average Root Length**  
(Calculated as the total combined length of the longest root of each seedling divided by the number of seedlings harvested)

Note: Due to a laboratory error, the Shoot Height for samples J10DT8 and J10LJ5, was measured only for the single tallest shoot in each replicate. Similarly, the Root Length for samples J10DW4, J10DV4, J10DT8, and J10LJ5, was measured only for the single longest root from each replicate. As a result, statistical analysis for these endpoints on these samples was not performed.

Statistical analysis for each endpoint listed comprised of entering the data obtained from each replicate chamber of a test soil and comparing the results to the data from the replicate chambers of the laboratory control. Comparisons were made as a single tailed t-test, evaluating for statistically significant reductions from the control value, using CETIS version 1.1.2. The Equal Variance t Two-Sample test was used. When the assumptions of equality of variance or normality necessary for Equal Variance t Two-Sample test was not met, the Unequal Variance t Two-Sample test or Wilcoxon Rank Sum Two Sample test was used.

The endpoint data and the results statistical analysis are summarized in Table 2 below. The data represents the average value of the replicate chambers used in each test concentration.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Table 2 summarizes the results of the bluegrass tests.

The results for sample J10DW4 indicated a statistically significant reduction in germination, average above ground shoot mass (wet), average above ground shoot mass (dry), average root mass (dry), average total mass (shoots + roots wet), and average total mass (shoots + roots dry) when compared to the laboratory control.

The results for sample J10DV1 indicated a statistically significant reduction in germination, average root length, average above ground shoot mass (wet), average above ground shoot mass (dry), average root mass (wet), average root mass (dry), average total mass (shoots + roots wet), and average total mass (shoots + roots dry) when compared to the laboratory control.

The results for sample J10DV0 indicated a statistically significant reduction in average root length when compared to the laboratory control.


The results for sample J10DT9 indicated a statistically significant reduction in germination and average root length when compared to the laboratory control.

Table 2: Bluegrass Chronic Test Results for Washington Closure Hanford

Bluegrass Chronic Test Results for Washington Closure Hanford											
No significant differences from the control by one of Student's t-test or Tukey-Kramer Test. <sup>a</sup> No significant differences of other type exist - indicated by bracketed test performed.											
Lab ID	Sample Name	Blowdown Concentration (ppm)	Significantly different from Control (ppm)	Blowdown Concentration component in the sample (ppm)	Significantly different from Control (ppm)	Average blowdown concentration (ppm)	Significantly different from Control (ppm)	Average blowdown concentration (ppm)	Significantly different from Control (ppm)	Average blowdown concentration (ppm)	Significantly different from Control (ppm)
Total blowdown on Jun 28, 2009											
Laboratory Control		88	-	45.7	-	125	-	63.9	-	12.4	-
BG1542-01	J10DW4	60	E*	41.4	ns	-	-	40.5	E*	7.30	-
BG1542-02	J10DV4	64	ns	55.3	ns	-	-	76.2	ns	14.9	ns
BG1542-03	J10DT8	84	ns	-	-	-	-	90.9	ns	18.7	ns
BG1542-04	J10DV2	72	ns	48.6	ns	122	ns	58.5	ns	10.7	ns
BG1542-05	J10DV1	38	E*	41.8	ns	91.3	E*	30.8	E*	6.70	E*
BG1542-06	J10DV3	64	ns	47.4	ns	108	ns	60.4	ns	8.92	ns
BG1542-07	J10DV0	78	ns	41.5	ns	80.9	E*	76.9	ns	14.7	ns
BG1542-08	J10LJ5	92	ns	-	-	78.3	ns	11.0	ns	5.37	ns
BG1542-09	J10DT9	48	E*	37.9	ns	85.2	E*	51.0	ns	8.86	ns

### CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

I certify that this data package is in compliance with the Statement of Work, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the Laboratory Manager or a designee, as verified by the following signature:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Linda J. Stanaway". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal stroke extending from the end of the name.

**APPENDIX A  
RAW DATA SHEETS**

## BLUEGRASS GROWTH TEST

Client: Henford Project

Initiate: Day 0 B Day 12 NJ Day 14 3m Day 16 S Day 21 RD Day 26 Bm DM37 sm

Test Start Date: 01/25/2008

at 23-06

Sample ID: Lab Control (70% 70 grade silica sand, 20% clay, 10% peat)									
CONC.	REPLICATE	# seeds germinated		POST-EMERGENCE (18 days after planting) / 2	7-DAYS POST-EMERGENCE 2 (7 days after planting) / 20	14-DAYS POST-EMERGENCE 2 (14 days after planting) / 20	INITIAL (@ planting)	FINAL (28 days after planting)	pH
		PRE-EMERGENCE (12 days after planting)	@ EMERGENCE (14 days after planting)						
Control	A	2	3	5	35	5	6.7	6.4	
	B	1	3	6	37	7.5			
	C	5	6	7	77	5			
	D	0	2	2	44	3			
	E	2	3	3	33	3			

7-Days Post-Emergence: Selectively thin down to 5 Seedlings (Leave the 5 tallest seedlings). Describe shoot appearance:

Bm 2-

- Replicate A 3 large plants w/ green color & multiple stems, 2 smaller  
 Replicate B 7 medium plants, multiple stems - growth down to S (current tallest)  
 Replicate C 1 large, 4 medium w/ green color = 2 dead & brittle (1 medium has 1 brown stalk)  
 Replicate D 1 medium, 2 small (1 w/ 1 brown stalk) + 1 small dead  
 Replicate E 3 medium w/ good condition

14-Days Post-Emergence: Describe shoot appearance:

- Replicate A 3 med + 2 sm - green color - no brown  
 Replicate B 5 med - green color - no brown  
 Replicate C 1 lg + 4 med - green - 1 nut w/ 1 brown shoot  
 Replicate D 1 med + 2 sm - green  
 Replicate E 3 medium + 1 small - green w/ 1 medium having 2 brown shoots

Measure Shoot Height:  
(above ground)

	1st Seeding	2nd Seeding	3rd Seeding	4th Seeding	5th Seeding	# Shoots / Stems
Replicate A	66 mm	43 mm	36 mm	65 mm	43 mm	11, (3, 4, 15, 4
Replicate B	42 mm	43 mm	39 mm	41 mm	44 mm	9, 13, 9, 11, 7
Replicate C	54 mm	57 mm	48 mm	45 mm	45 mm	13, 12, 12, 11, 10
Replicate D	50 mm	23 mm	32 mm	mm	mm	13, 5, 3
Replicate E	64 mm	49 mm	45 mm	16 mm	mm	12, 15, 11, 2

Measure Shoot Weight:  
(above ground)

	Tn Tare Wt. (mg)	Wet Wt. (mg)	Dry Wt. (mg)
Replicate A	993.05	1329.5	1068.91
Replicate B	993.45	1261.4	1046.21
Replicate C	981.31	1363.0	1077.69
Replicate D	1004.53	1224.2	1031.01
Replicate E	977.10	1143.0	1008.91

Describe root appearance:

- Replicate A  
 Replicate B  
 Replicate C  
 Replicate D  
 Replicate E

Measure Root Length:  
(longest root)

	1st Seeding	2nd Seeding	3rd Seeding	4th Seeding	5th Seeding
Replicate A	148 mm	139 mm	74 mm	118 mm	215 mm
Replicate B	133 mm	143 mm	144 mm	135 mm	150 mm
Replicate C	153 mm	153 mm	135 mm	164 mm	156 mm
Replicate D	157 mm	71 mm	70 mm	mm	mm
Replicate E	78 mm	47 mm	76 mm	158 mm	mm

Measure Root Weight:  
(longest root)

	Tn Tare Wt. (mg)	Wet Wt. (mg)	Dry Wt. (mg)
Replicate A	987.99	1704.8	1031.77
Replicate B	977.88	1681.0	1019.85
Replicate C	993.58	1726.2	1084.12
Replicate D	981.03	1197.1	992.37
Replicate E	976.75	1133.3	993.93

Comments: 60g dry wt./mg27-

## BLUEGRASS GROWTH TEST

Client: Hanford Project

Initial Day 0 Brown Day 12 NT Day 14 Brown Day 16 NT Day 21 Dead Day 26 NT

Test Start Date: 01/25/2008

50

CONC.	REPLICATE	# seeds germinated					INITIAL (0 planting)	FINAL (28 days after planting)
		PRE-EMERGENCE (12 days after planting)	EMERGENCE (14 days after planting)	POST- EMERGENCE (16 days after planting)	7-DAYS POST- EMERGENCE (21 days after planting) 30	14-DAYS POST- EMERGENCE (28 days after planting)		
100%	A	0	3	3	3	3	6.9	6.8
	B	1	2	3	3	2		
	C	0	1	1	3	2		
	D	1	2	3	4	4		
	E	0	2	4	4	4		

7-Days Post-Emergence: Selectively thin down to 5 seedlings (leave the 5 tallest seedlings). Describe shoot appearance:

- Replicate A 3 medium plants w/ multiple green shoots  
 Replicate B 1 medium in good shape + 1 medium w/ 3 green + 1 brown shoot, + 2 small dead plants  
 Replicate C 2 medium in good shape + 1 small dead single shoot  
 Replicate D 2 medium in good shape + 2 medium w/ browning tips on 1 shoot each.  
 Replicate E 3 medium in good shape + 1 medium w/ 3 green + 1 brown shoot.

14-Days Post-Emergence: Describe shoot appearance:

- Replicate A 3 medium plants  
 Replicate B 2 small plants: 1 has a brown shoot  
 Replicate C 2 small plants  
 Replicate D 4 small/red plants: 1 has brown shoot  
 Replicate E 3 red /small plant small plant has 4 brown shoots

Measure Shoot Height:  
(above ground)

	1st Seedling	2nd Seedling	3rd Seedling	4th Seedling	5th Seedling	# shoots
Replicate A	41 mm	40 mm	50 mm	— mm	— mm	7, 9, 10
Replicate B	45 mm	34 mm	— mm	— mm	— mm	5, 6
Replicate C	30 mm	37 mm	— mm	— mm	— mm	11, 4
Replicate D	61 mm	40 mm	35 mm	40 mm	— mm	14, 9, 7, 8
Replicate E	55 mm	45 mm	45 mm	40 mm	— mm	5, 6, 10, 9

Measure Shoot Weight:  
(above ground)

	Tin Tare Wt. (mg)	Wet Wt. (mg)	Dry Wt. (mg)
Replicate A	1018.53	1184.9	1050.49
Replicate B	993.52	1032.6	1002.87
Replicate C	999.72	1076.3	1010.18
Replicate D	992.70	1154.4	1019.37
Replicate E	1036.15	1231.8	1077.30

Describe root appearance:

- Replicate A —  
 Replicate B —  
 Replicate C —  
 Replicate D —  
 Replicate E —

Measure Root Length:  
(longest root)

	1st Seedling	2nd Seedling	3rd Seedling	4th Seedling	5th Seedling
Replicate A	115 mm	mm	mm	mm	mm
Replicate B	100 mm	mm	mm	mm	mm
Replicate C	119 mm	mm	mm	mm	mm
Replicate D	87 mm	mm	mm	mm	mm
Replicate E	130 mm	mm	mm	mm	mm

Measure Root Weight:  
(longest root)

	Tin Tare Wt. (mg)	Wet Wt. (mg)	Dry Wt. (mg)
Replicate A	1022.45	1367.6	1036.40
Replicate B	1012.46	1074.5	1017.15
Replicate C	1003.84	1092.6	1007.87
Replicate D	990.52	1324.7	1003.60
Replicate E	988.23	1313.1	1004.41

Comments:

90% dry wt./rep.-12-

## CETIS Test Summary

Report Date: 18 Jul-06 1:29 PM  
 Test Link: 07-4737-3144/B154201psB

Plant Bioassay - Chronic				CH2M Hill		
Test No:	03-0376-5225	Test Type:	Plant Chronic	Duration: N/A		
Start Date:	25 Jan-06	Protocol:	ASTM E1963-02 (2002)	Species: Poa sandbergii		
Ending Date:		Dil Water:		Source:		
Setup Date:	25 Jan-06	Brine:				
Comments:	recalculated Height and Length data July 18, 2006					
Sample No:	18-1426-8954	Code:	B1542-01	Client:		
Sample Date:	31 Oct-05	Material:	Soil	Project:		
Receive Date:		Source:	Hanford			
Sample Age:	86d 0h	Station:				
Comments:	J10DW4, E274801					
Comparison Summary						
Analysis	Endpoint	NOEL	LOEL	ChV	PMSD	Method
04-6686-9248	% Germination	< 100	100	N/A	23.72%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
03-4698-4423	Average Height (mm)	100	> 100	N/A	16.50%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
10-5567-7574	Average AG Wt (Wet, mg)	< 100	100	N/A	27.27%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
19-4244-1707	Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)	< 100	100	N/A	38.24%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
02-5160-3380	Average Root Wt (Wet, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	41.42%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
08-2774-2762	Average Root Wt (Dry, mg)	< 100	100	N/A	45.60%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
14-6818-7289	Average Total Wt (Wet, mg)	< 100	100	N/A	33.72%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
13-2652-1747	Average Total Wt (Dry, mg)	< 100	100	N/A	40.05%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample

## CETIS Test Summary

Report Date: 18 Jul-06 1:29 PM  
 Test Link: 07-4737-3144/B154201psB

% Germination Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.88000	0.60000	1.00000	0.08000	0.17889	20.33%
100		5	0.60000	0.40000	0.80000	0.08944	0.20000	33.33%
Average Height (mm) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	45.68	36.700	55.8	3.3695	7.5344	16.49%
100		5	41.390	33.5	46.25	2.2538	5.0396	12.18%
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	63.903	41.475	82.338	7.1313	15.948	24.85%
100		5	40.525	19.54	55.457	6.0773	13.589	33.53%
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	12.351	7.9275	19.278	2.1347	4.7733	38.65%
100		5	7.2993	3.675	10.637	1.3763	3.0775	42.16%
Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	113.23	51.638	158.52	21.449	47.962	42.36%
100		5	72.356	40.920	111.72	13.274	29.681	41.02%
Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	7.8666	3.78	14.108	1.8639	4.1678	52.98%
100		5	3.268	2.015	4.6500	0.4968	1.1108	33.99%
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	177.14	93.113	240.86	28.086	58.331	32.93%
100		5	112.88	60.46	167.17	18.747	41.919	37.14%
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	20.217	12.223	33.384	3.9407	8.8117	43.58%
100		5	10.567	6.02	15.287	1.8513	4.1395	39.17%

## CETIS Test Summary

Report Date: 18 Jul-08 1:29 PM  
 Test Link: 07-4737-3144/B154201pe8

% Germination Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	0.60000	0.80000
100		0.60000	0.40000	0.40000	0.80000	0.80000
Average Height (mm) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	50.8 ✓	41.8 ✓	55.8 ✓	36.7000 ✓	43.5 ✓
100		43.7000	39.5	33.5	44	46.25
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	67.2900	55.1900	82.338	73.2233	41.4750
100		55.4567	19.54	38.2900	40.4250	48.9125
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	15.1720	10.552	19.278	8.82668	7.92751
100		10.6368	3.67499	5.23001	6.6675	10.2875
Average Root Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	143.362	140.624	158.524	72.0233	51.6375
100		111.717	40.9200	44.38	83.545	81.2175
Average Root Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	8.75601	8.39399	14.108	3.77899	4.295
100		4.65000	2.34500	2.01498	3.28499	4.045
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	210.652	195.814	240.862	145.247	93.1125
100		167.173	60.46	82.6700	123.87	130.130
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	23.9280	18.946	33.384	12.6068	12.2225
100		15.2868	6.01999	7.245	9.95248	14.3325

## CETIS Analysis Detail

### Plant Bioassay - Chronic



CH2M Hill

Endpoint	Analysis Type	Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version						
% Germination	Comparison	07-4737-3144	07-4737-3144	18 Jul-06 1:28 PM	CETISv1.1.2						
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	PMSD			
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Angular (Corrected)		<100	100		N/A	23.72%			
<b>Group Comparisons</b>											
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)					
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	2.36128	1.85955	0.0229	0.24559	Significant Effect					
<b>ANOVA Table</b>											
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)					
Between	0.2431297	0.24313	1	5.58	0.04587	Significant Effect					
Error	0.3488457	0.043606	8								
Total	0.59197535	0.2867354	9								
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>											
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)						
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.04816	23.15450	0.96473	Equal Variances						
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.88173		0.13660	Normal Distribution						
<b>Data Summary</b>			<b>Original Data</b>				<b>Transformed Data</b>				
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	
0	Artificial Soil/Sedi	5	0.88000	0.60000	1.00000	0.17889	1.20581	0.88608	1.34528	0.20635	
100		5	0.60000	0.40000	0.80000	0.20000	0.89396	0.68472	1.10715	0.21126	
<b>Graphics</b>											

## CETIS Analysis Detail

**Comparisons:** Page 2 of 8  
**Report Date:** 18 Jul-06 1:29 PM  
**Analysis:** 03-4698-4423/B154201psB

Plant Bioassay - Chronic						CH2M Hill					
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version					
Average Height (mm)	Comparison		07-4737-3144	07-4737-3144	18 Jul 06 1:28 PM	CETISv1.1.2					
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	PMSD			
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A	16.50%			
Group Comparisons											
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)						
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	1.05828	1.85955	0.1604	7.53817	Non-Significant Effect					
ANOVA Table											
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)					
Between	46.01024	46.01024	1	1.12	0.32083	Non-Significant Effect					
Error	328.68	41.0825	8								
Total	374.670212	87.092735	9								
ANOVA Assumptions											
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)						
Variances	Variance Ratio F	2.23510	23.15450	0.45515	Equal Variances						
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.96425		0.83306	Normal Distribution						
Data Summary			Original Data				Transformed Data				
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	
0	Artificial Soil/Sedi	5	45.68	36.7	55.8	7.5344					
100		5	41.390	33.5	46.25	5.0398					
Graphics											



# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 3 of 8  
 Report Date: 18 Jul-06 1:29 PM  
 Analysis: 10-5567-7574/B154201psB

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average AG Wt (Net, mg)	Comparison		07-4737-3144	07-4737-3144	18 Jul-06 1:28 PM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed	<100	100		N/A	27.27%		
Group Comparisons									
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	2.49513	1.85955	0.0186	17.4233	Significant Effect			
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	1366.377	1366.377	1	6.23	0.03722	Significant Effect			
Error	1755.801	219.4752	8						
Total	3122.17810	1585.852	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.37694	23.15450	0.76412	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.93736		0.52408	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary									
Conc-%		Control Type	Count	Original Data		Transformed Data			
0		Artificial Soil/S	5	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	
100			5	63.903	41.475	82.338	15.946	40.525	
				19.54	55.457	13.589			
Graphics									

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 4 of 8  
 Report Date: 18 Jul-06 1:29 PM  
 Analysis: 19-4244-1707/B154201peB

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M HILL
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version	
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		• 07-4737-3144	07-4737-3144	18 Jul-06 1:28 PM	CETISv1.1.2	
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		<100	100	N/A	38.24%
Group Comparisons							
Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)
Artificial Soil/Sedl		100	1.98886	1.85955	0.0410	4.72307	Significant Effect
ANOVA Table							
Source	Sum of Squares		Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)
Between	63.79421		63.79421	1	3.96	0.08192	Non-Significant Effect
Error	129.0223		16.12778	8			
Total	192.816475		79.921995	9			
ANOVA Assumptions							
Attribute	Test		Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)	
Variances	Variance Ratio F		2.40572	23.15450	0.41603	Equal Variances	
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W		0.91144		0.29103	Normal Distribution	
Data Summary							
Conc-%		Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0		Artificial Soil/S	5	12.351	7.9275	19.276	4.7733
100			5	7.2993	3.675	10.637	3.0775
Graphics							



# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M HILL					
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version						
Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg)	Comparison		07-4737-3144	07-4737-3144	18 Jul-06 1:29 PM	CETISv1.1.2						
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV					
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A					
Group Comparisons												
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)							
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100	1.62059	1.85955	0.0719	46.906	Non-Significant Effect						
ANOVA Table												
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)						
Between	4177.597	4177.597	1	2.63	0.14378	Non-Significant Effect						
Error	12725.4	1590.675	8									
Total	16902.9961	5768.2716	9									
ANOVA Assumptions												
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)							
Variances	Variance Ratio F	2.61121	23.15450	0.37516	Equal Variances							
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.91516		0.31837	Normal Distribution							
Data Summary												
Original Data												
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean					
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	113.23	51.638	158.52	.47.962						
100		5	72.356	40.920	111.72	29.681						
Transformed Data												
Graphics												

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg)	Comparison		07-4737-3144	07-4737-3144	18 Jul-06 1:29 PM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed	<100	100		N/A	45.60%		
Group Comparisons									
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)			
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	2.38399	1.85955	0.0221	3.58697	Significant Effect			
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	52.86784	52.86784	1	5.68	0.04427	Significant Effect			
Error	74.41687	9.302109	8						
Total	127.28471	62.169949	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	14.07658	23.15450	0.02523	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.91314		0.30324	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary									
Conc-%		Control Type	Count	Original Data	Transformed Data				
0		Artificial Soil/S	5	Mean: 7.8666 Min: 3.78 Max: 14.108 SD: 4.1678	Mean:	Minimum:	Maximum:	SD:	
100			5	Mean: 3.268 Min: 2.015 Max: 4.6500 SD: 1.1108					
Graphics									

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic								CH2M Hill							
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version									
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison			07-4737-3144	07-4737-3144	18 Jul-06 1:29 PM	CETISv1.1.2								
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	PMSD							
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed	<100	100		N/A	33.72%								
Group Comparisons															
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)										
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	2.00028	1.85955	0.0402	59.7359	Significant Effect									
ANOVA Table															
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)									
Between	10322.33	10322.33	1	4.00	0.08048	Non-Significant Effect									
Error	20638.88	2579.86	8												
Total	30961.2119	12902.189	9												
ANOVA Assumptions															
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)										
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.93632	23.15450	0.53790	Equal Variances										
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.95158		0.68718	Normal Distribution										
Data Summary															
Original Data			Transformed Data												
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD					
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	177.14	93.113	240.86	58.331									
100		5	112.88	60.46	167.17	41.919									
Graphics															
															
															

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 8 of 8  
 Report Date: 18 Jul-06 1:29 PM  
 Analysis: 13-2652-1747/B154201psB

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		07-4737-3144	07-4737-3144	18 Jul-06 1:29 PM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		<100	100		N/A		
<b>Group Comparisons</b>									
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	2.21643	1.85955	0.0288	8.09629	Significant Effect			
<b>ANOVA Table</b>									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	232.8113	232.8113	1	4.91	0.05750	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	379.1292	47.39115	8						
Total	611.940475	280.20242	9						
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	4.53128	23.15450	0.17247	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.93905		0.54254	Normal Distribution				
<b>Data Summary</b>									
Conc-%		Control Type	Count	Original Data		Transformed Data			
0		Artificial Soil/S	5	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	
100			5	20.217	12.223	33.384	8.8117	10.567	
					6.02	15.287	4.1395		
<b>Graphics</b>									

90 g/dm<sup>3</sup> wt / kg

Comments:

Replicate E	919.97	1254.1	981.99
Replicate D	993.62	1155.7	1011.39
Replicate C	1000.19	1120.5	1013.56
Replicate B	983.40	1122.0	992.50
Replicate A	982.54	1105.7	1024.49

Average Root weight  
(g per plant)

	1st Sampling	2nd Sampling	3rd Sampling	4th Sampling	5th Sampling
Replicate E	146				
Replicate D	101				
Replicate C	101				
Replicate B	100				
Replicate A	112				

Average Root length  
(cm per root)

Replicate E	975.82	1154.5	1005.71
Replicate D	1003.36	11312.7	1070.48
Replicate C	961.05	1076.1	985.85
Replicate B	1007.44	1073.4	1014.75
Replicate A	989.24	1143.0	1073.98

Average Shoot weight  
(above ground)

	1st Sampling	2nd Sampling	3rd Sampling	4th Sampling	5th Sampling
Replicate E	84				
Replicate D	76				
Replicate C	76				
Replicate B	31				
Replicate A	55	75	52	51	51

Average Shoot height  
(above ground)

	1st Sampling	2nd Sampling	3rd Sampling	4th Sampling	5th Sampling
Replicate E	—	—	—	—	—
Replicate D	—	—	—	—	—
Replicate C	—	—	—	—	—
Replicate B	—	—	—	—	—
Replicate A	—	—	—	—	—

Replicate E

Replicate D

Replicate C

Replicate B

Replicate A

Replicate E

Replicate D

Replicate C

Replicate B

Replicate A

Replicate E

Replicate D

Replicate C

Replicate B

Replicate A

Replicate E

Replicate D

Replicate C

Replicate B

Replicate A

Replicate E

Replicate D

Replicate C

Replicate B

Replicate A

Replicate E

Replicate D

Replicate C

Replicate B

Replicate A

Replicate E

Replicate D

Replicate C

Replicate B

Replicate A

Replicate E

Replicate D

Replicate C

Replicate B

Replicate A

Replicate E

Replicate D

Replicate C

Replicate B

Replicate A

Replicate E

Replicate D

Replicate C

Replicate B

Replicate A

Replicate E

Replicate D

Replicate C

Replicate B

Replicate A

Replicate E

Replicate D

Replicate C

Replicate B

Replicate A

Replicate E

Replicate D

Replicate C

Replicate B

Replicate A

Replicate E

Replicate D

Replicate C

Replicate B

Replicate A

Replicate E

Replicate D

Replicate C

Replicate B

Replicate A

Replicate E

Replicate D

Replicate C

Replicate B

Replicate A

Replicate E

Replicate D

Replicate C

Replicate B

Replicate A

Replicate E

Replicate D

Replicate C

Replicate B

Replicate A

Replicate E

Replicate D

Replicate C

Replicate B

Replicate A

Replicate E

Replicate D

Replicate C

Replicate B

Replicate A

Replicate E

Replicate D

Replicate C

Replicate B

Replicate A

Replicate E

Replicate D

Replicate C

Replicate B

Replicate A

Replicate E

Replicate D

Replicate C

Replicate B

Replicate A

Replicate E

Replicate D

Replicate C

Replicate B

Replicate A

Replicate E

Replicate D

Replicate C

Replicate B

Replicate A

Replicate E

Replicate D

Replicate C

Replicate B

Replicate A

Replicate E

Replicate D

Replicate C

Replicate B

Replicate A

Replicate E

Replicate D

Replicate C

Replicate B

Replicate A

Replicate E

Replicate D

Replicate C

Replicate B

Replicate A

Replicate E

Replicate D

Replicate C

Replicate B

Replicate A

Replicate E

Replicate D

Replicate C

Replicate B

Replicate A

Replicate E

Replicate D

Replicate C

Replicate B

Replicate A

Replicate E

Replicate D

Replicate C

Replicate B

Replicate A

Replicate E

Replicate D

Replicate C

Replicate B

Replicate A

Replicate E

Replicate D

Replicate C

Replicate B

Replicate A

Replicate E

Replicate D

Replicate C

Replicate B

Replicate A

Replicate E

Replicate D

Replicate C

Replicate B

Replicate A

Replicate E

Replicate D

Replicate C

Replicate B

Replicate A

Replicate E

Replicate D

Replicate C

Replicate B

Replicate A

Replicate E

Replicate D

Replicate C

Replicate B

Replicate A

Replicate E

Replicate D

Replicate C

Replicate B

Replicate A

Replicate E

Replicate D

Replicate C

Replicate B

Replicate A

Replicate E

Replicate D

Replicate C

Replicate B

Replicate A

Replicate E

Replicate D

Replicate C

Replicate B

Replicate A

Replicate E

Replicate D

Replicate C

Replicate B

Replicate A

Replicate E

Replicate D

Replicate C

Replicate B

Replicate A

Replicate E

Replicate D

Replicate C

Replicate B

Replicate A

Replicate E

Replicate D

Replicate C

Replicate B

Replicate A

Replicate E

Replicate D

Replicate C

Replicate B

Replicate A

Replicate E

Replicate D

Replicate C

Replicate B

Replicate A

Replicate E

## CETIS Test Summary

Plant Bioassay - Chronic				CH2M Hill		
Test No:	16-7149-5301	Test Type:	Plant Chronic	Duration:	N/A	
Start Date:	25 Jan-06	Protocol:	ASTM E1963-02 (2002)	Species:	Poa sandbergii	
Ending Date:		Dil Water:		Source:		
Setup Date:	25 Jan-06	Brine:				
Comments:	recalculated Height and Length data July 18, 2006					
Sample No:	07-3307-9513	Code:	B1542-02	Client:		
Sample Date:	08 Nov-05	Material:	Soil	Project:		
Receive Date:		Source:	Hanford			
Sample Age:	78d 0h	Station:				
Comments:	J10DV4, J10DV5, J10DV6, J10DV7, J10DV8, E280101					
Comparison Summary						
Analysis	Endpoint	NOEL	LOEL	ChV	PMSD	Method
09-8154-0700	% Germination	100	> 100	N/A	37.51%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
08-2016-8600	Average Height (mm)	100	> 100	N/A	35.14%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
02-5598-0334	Average AG Wt (Wet, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	49.80%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
14-0494-7651	Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	59.46%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
10-5875-1041	Average Root Wt (Wet, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	55.35%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
07-0264-8108	Average Root Wt (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	62.43%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
08-1145-5351	Average Total Wt (Wet, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	51.32%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
08-7364-8671	Average Total Wt (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	59.60%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample

## CETIS Test Summary

Report Date: 18 Jul-06 2:17 PM  
 Test Link: 16-8985-7214/B154202psB

% Germination Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.88000	0.60000	1.00000	0.08000	0.17889	20.33%
100		5	0.64000	0.20000	1.00000	0.16000	0.35777	55.90%
Average Height (mm) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	45.88	36.700	55.8	3.3695	7.5344	16.49%
100		5	55.3	28.700	78	7.9481	17.772	32.14%
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	63.903	41.475	82.338	7.1313	15.946	24.95%
100		5	76.157	23.653	115.05	15.595	34.870	45.79%
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	12.351	7.9275	19.278	2.1347	4.7733	38.65%
100		5	14.852	4.1033	24.8	3.3228	7.4301	50.03%
Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	113.23	51.638	158.52	21.449	47.962	42.36%
100		5	122.76	46.4	202.31	25.995	58.126	47.35%
Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	7.8666	3.78	14.108	1.8639	4.1678	52.98%
100		5	6.9781	2.3667	13.37	1.8708	4.1833	59.95%
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	177.14	93.113	240.86	26.086	58.331	32.93%
100		5	198.92	70.053	317.36	41.348	92.456	46.48%
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	20.217	12.223	33.384	3.9407	8.8117	43.58%
100		5	21.830	6.47	38.17	5.1432	11.500	52.68%

## CETIS Test Summary

Report Date: 18 Jul-06 2:17 PM  
 Test Link: 16-8985-7214/B154202psB



% Germination Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	0.60000	0.80000
100		1.00000	0.60000	0.20000	1.00000	0.40000
Average Height (mm) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	50.8	41.8	55.8	38.7000	43.5
100		56.2000	28.7000	61	52.8	78
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	67.2900	55.1900	82.338	73.2233	41.4750
100		90.872	23.6533	115.05	61.868	89.34
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	15.1720	10.552	19.276	8.82668	7.92751
100		16.948	4.10333	24.8	13.4640	14.9450
Average Root Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	143.362	140.624	158.524	72.0233	51.6375
100		130.632	48.4	202.31	92.416	142.065
Average Root Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	8.75601	8.39399	14.108	3.77999	4.295
100		8.39000	2.36668	13.37	4.75400	6.01001
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	210.652	195.814	240.862	145.247	93.1125
100		221.504	70.0533	317.360	154.284	231.405
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	23.9280	18.948	33.384	12.6068	12.2225
100		25.3380	6.46999	38.17	18.2180	20.9550

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
% Germination	Comparison		16-8985-7214	16-8985-7214	18 Jul-06 2:17 PM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Angular (Corrected)		100	>100	1	N/A		
<b>Group Comparisons</b>									
Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)		
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100		1.30924	1.85955	0.1134	0.37044	Non-Significant Effect		
<b>ANOVA Table</b>									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	0.1700585	0.170059	1	1.71	0.22680	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	0.7936922	0.099212	8						
Total	0.96375073	0.2692700	9						
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	3.65997	23.15450	0.23677	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.94392		0.59737	Normal Distribution				
<b>Data Summary</b>									
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Original Data		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.88000	0.60000	1.00000	0.17889	1.20581		
100		5	0.64000	0.20000	1.00000	0.35777	0.94500		
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Transformed Data		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.88608	0.88608	1.34528	0.20635			
100		5	0.46365	0.46365	1.34528	0.39477			
<b>Graphics</b>									

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 2 of 8  
 Report Date: 18 Jul-06 2:17 PM  
 Analysis: 06-2016-8600/B154202psB

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Height (mm)	Comparison		16-8985-7214	16-8985-7214	18 Jul-06 2:17 PM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A		
<b>Group Comparisons</b>									
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	-1.1144	1.85955	0.8513	16.0531	Non-Significant Effect			
<b>ANOVA Table</b>									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	231.361	231.361	1	1.24	0.29748	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	1490.508	186.3135	8						
Total	1721.86696	417.67451	9						
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	5.56415	23.15450	0.12511	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.95255		0.69875	Normal Distribution				
<b>Data Summary</b>									
			Original Data				Transformed Data		
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	45.68	36.7	55.8	7.5344			
100		5	55.3	28.7	78	17.772			
<b>Graphics.</b>									
									

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill				
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version					
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison		18-8985-7214	18-8985-7214	18 Jul-06 2:17 PM	CETISv1.1.2					
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV				
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A				
Group Comparisons											
Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100		-0.7146	1.85955	0.7524	31.8871	Non-Significant Effect				
ANOVA Table											
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)					
Between	375.3645	375.3645	1	0.51	0.49519	Non-Significant Effect					
Error	5880.917	735.1146	8								
Total	6256.28152	1110.4792	9								
ANOVA Assumptions											
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)						
Variances	Variance Ratio F	4.78193	23.15450	0.15878	Equal Variances						
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.95704		0.75167	Normal Distribution						
Data Summary											
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	63.903	41.475	82.338	15.946					
100		5	76.157	23.653	115.05	34.870					
Graphics											

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic								CH2M Hill							
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version									
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison			18-8985-7214	18-8985-7214	18 Jul-06 2:17 PM	CETISv1.1.2								
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	PMSD							
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A	59.46%							
Group Comparisons															
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)										
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	-0.6333	1.85955	0.7279	7.34419	Non-Significant Effect									
ANOVA Table															
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)									
Between	15.64047	15.64047	1	0.40	0.54421	Non-Significant Effect									
Error	311.963	38.99537	8												
Total	327.603419	54.635837	9												
ANOVA Assumptions															
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)										
Variances	Variance Ratio F	2.42296	23.15450	0.41235	Equal Variances										
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.98225		0.97606	Normal Distribution										
Data Summary															
Original Data			Transformed Data												
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD					
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	12.351	7.9275	19.276	4.7733									
100		5	14.852	4.1033	24.8	7.4301									
Graphics															

# CETIS Analysis Detail

## Plant Bioassay - Chronic

CH2M Hill

Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version		
Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg)	Comparison		16-8985-7214	16-8985-7214	18 Jul-06 2:17 PM	CETISv1.1.2		
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	PMSD
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A	55.35%

## Group Comparisons

Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100		-0.2828	1.85955	0.6077	62.6698	Non-Significant Effect

## ANOVA Table



Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)
Between	227.0727	227.0727	1	0.08	0.78452	Non-Significant Effect
Error	22718	2839.5	8			
Total	22943.0766	3068.5732	9			

## ANOVA Assumptions

Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.46872	23.15450	0.71863	Equal Variances
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.95710		0.75234	Normal Distribution

Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Original Data				Transformed Data			
			Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	113.23	51.638	158.52	47.962				
100		5	122.76	46.4	202.31	58.128				

## Graphics



# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 6 of 8  
 Report Date: 18 Jul-06 2:17 PM  
 Analysis: 07-0264-8106/B154202psB

## Plant Bioassay - Chronic

CH2M Hill

Endpoint	Analysis Type	Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version						
Average Root Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison	16-8985-7214	16-8985-7214	18 Jul-06 2:17 PM	CETISv1.1.2						
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	PMSD			
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A	62.43%			
<b>Group Comparisons</b>											
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)					
Artificial Soil/Sed	100	0.33643	1.85955	0.3726	4.91076	Non-Significant Effect					
<b>ANOVA Table</b>											
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)					
Between	1.973415	1.973415	1	0.11	0.74520	Non-Significant Effect					
Error	139.4799	17.43499	8								
Total	141.45335	19.408407	9								
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>											
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)						
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.00746	23.15450	0.99443	Equal Variances						
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.90269		0.23446	Normal Distribution						
<b>Data Summary</b>											
			Original Data			Transformed Data					
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	7.8666	3.78	14.108	4.1678					
100		5	8.9781	2.3667	13.37	4.1833					
<b>Graphics</b>											

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison		16-8985-7214	16-8985-7214	18 Jul-06 2:17 PM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A		
<b>Group Comparisons</b>									
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	-0.4456	1.85955	0.6661	90.9112	Non-Significant Effect			
<b>ANOVA Table</b>									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	1186.341	1186.341	1	0.20	0.66771	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	47802.41	5975.302	8						
Total	48988.7550	7161.6427	9						
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	2.51228	23.15450	0.39408	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.96972		0.88820	Normal Distribution				
<b>Data Summary</b>									
			Original Data			Transformed Data			
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD			
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	177.14	93.113	240.86	58.331			
100		5	198.92	70.053	317.36	92.456			
<b>Graphics</b>									

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 8 of 8  
 Report Date: 18 Jul-06 2:17 PM  
 Analysis: 06-7364-8571/B154202pe8

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		16-8985-7214	16-8985-7214	18 Jul-06 2:17 PM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A		
Group Comparisons									
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sed	100	-0.2489	1.85955	0.5951	12.0488	Non-Significant Effect			
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	6.502588	6.502588	1	0.06	0.80970	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	839.6294	104.9537	8						
Total	846.131982	111.45626	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.70337	23.15450	0.61853	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.96503		0.84128	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary									
Conc-%		Control Type	Count	Original Data		Transformed Data			
0		Artificial Soil/S	5	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	
100			5	20.217	12.223	33.384	8.8117	6.47	
						38.17	11.500		
Graphics									

## BLUEGRASS GROWTH TEST

Client: Hanford Project

Test Start Date: 01/25/2008

Insects: Day 0 Bm Day 12 NT Day 14 Bm Day 18 NT Day 21 ND Day 26 Bm

CONC.	REPLICATE	Sample ID: E283101-SO12 - B15Y2-03						pH
		PRE-EMERGENCE (12 days after planting)	EMERGENCE (14 days after planting)	POST- EMERGENCE (16 days after planting)	7-DAYS POST- EMERGENCE (21 days after planting)	14-DAYS POST- EMERGENCE (28 days after planting)	INITIAL (0 planting)	
100%	A	1	3	4	4	4	4	7.2
	B	4	5	5	6	6	5	
	C	3	3	4	4	3	3	
	D	2	2	3	4	4	4	
	E	3	4	4	4	5	5	

7-Days Post-Emergence: Selectively thin down to 8 seedlings (leave the 8 tallest seedlings). Describe shoot appearance:

Replicate A: 3 medium + 1 small plant in good shape

Replicate B: 6 medium in good shape - smallest removed

Replicate C: 1 large + 2 medium in good shape + 1 single short dead

Replicate D: 3 medium + 1 small in good shape

Replicate E: 4 medium in good shape + 1 small single shoot plant w/ burning tip.

14-Days Post-Emergence: Describe shoot appearance:

Replicate A: 3 medium, all green &amp; good shape, 1 small good shape &amp; green

Replicate B: 4 medium - all green &amp; good shape

Replicate C: 1 large + 2 medium - all green &amp; in good shape

Replicate D: 4 medium - all green &amp; in good shape

Replicate E: 1 large, 3 medium - all green &amp; in good shape, 1 small - w/ 1 brown shoot

Measure Shoot Height:

(above ground)

	1st Seedling	2nd Seedling	3rd Seedling	4th Seedling	5th Seedling	# of shoots
Replicate A	46.0 mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	42
Replicate B	55 mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	76
Replicate C	74 mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	51
Replicate D	55 mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	51
Replicate E	69 mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	

Measure Shoot Weight:

(above ground)

	Tin Tare Wt. (mg)	Wet Wt. (mg)	Dry Wt. (mg)
Replicate A	1003.62	1245.0	1049.42
Replicate B	1020.47	1473.4	1153.86
Replicate C	1018.48	1473.6	1110.39
Replicate D	1002.17	1302.1	1046.68
Replicate E	994.12	1173.1	1066.60

1377.3

Describe root appearance:

Replicate A	mm	mm	mm	mm	mm
Replicate B	mm	mm	mm	mm	mm
Replicate C	mm	mm	mm	mm	mm
Replicate D	mm	mm	mm	mm	mm
Replicate E	mm	mm	mm	mm	mm

Measure Root Length:

(longest root)

	1st Seedling	2nd Seedling	3rd Seedling	4th Seedling	5th Seedling
Replicate A	103 mm	mm	mm	mm	mm
Replicate B	105 mm	mm	mm	mm	mm
Replicate C	137 mm	mm	mm	mm	mm
Replicate D	136 mm	mm	mm	mm	mm
Replicate E	112 mm	mm	mm	mm	mm

Measure Root Weight:

(longest root)

	Tin Tare Wt. (mg)	Wet Wt. (mg)	Dry Wt. (mg)
Replicate A	994.12	1556.2	1046.86
Replicate B	965.79	1826.7	1021.39
Replicate C	1015.00	1826.5	1066.27
Replicate D	991.78	1471.4	1016.98
Replicate E	1000.25	1907.0	1045.22

Tin Tare Wt. (mg)  
1017.14

Comments:

55 g dry wt./rep

## CETIS Test Summary

Report Date: 18 Jul-06 2:22 PM  
 Test Link: 10-7237-4516/B154203psB

Plant Bioassay - Chronic								CH2M Hill
Test No:	12-8841-7685	Test Type:	Plant Chronic		Duration:	N/A		
Start Date:	25 Jan-06	Protocol:	ASTM E1963-02 (2002)		Species:	Poa sandbergii		
Ending Date:		Dil Water:			Source:			
Setup Date:	25 Jan-06	Brine:						
Comments:	recalculated Height and Length data July 18, 2006							
Sample No:	15-5457-5144	Code:	B1542-03		Client:			
Sample Date:	14 Nov-05	Material:	Soil		Project:			
Receive Date:		Source:	Hanford					
Sample Age:	72d 0h	Station:						
Comments:	J10DT8, E283101							
<b>Comparison Summary</b>								
Analysis	Endpoint	NOEL	LOEL	CV	PMSD	Method		
12-3597-7958	% Germination	100	> 100	N/A	22.60%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample		
12-1749-2677	Average AG Wt (Wet, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	50.83%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample		
08-2416-9275	Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	63.25%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample		
08-5621-9654	Average Root Wt (Wet, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	55.58%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample		
01-5964-0324	Average Root Wt (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	61.46%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample		
03-3064-1258	Average Total Wt (Wet, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	51.72%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample		
08-5109-6105	Average Total Wt (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	61.50%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample		
<b>% Germination Summary</b>								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.88000	0.60000	1.00000	0.08000	0.17889	20.33%
100		5	0.84000	0.60000	1.00000	0.07483	0.16733	19.92%
<b>Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Summary</b>								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	63.903	41.475	82.338	7.1313	15.948	24.95%
100		5	90.868	60.345	151.71	15.948	35.657	39.24%
<b>Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Summary</b>								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	12.351	7.9275	19.276	2.1347	4.7733	38.65%
100		5	16.718	11.128	30.637	3.6185	8.0912	48.40%
<b>Average Root Wt (Wet, mg) Summary</b>								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	113.23	51.638	158.52	21.449	47.962	42.36%
100		5	172.14	121.90	270.5	26.184	58.548	34.01%
<b>Average Root Wt (Dry, mg) Summary</b>								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	7.8668	3.78	14.108	1.8639	4.1678	52.98%
100		5	10.003	6.05	16.423	1.8129	4.0538	40.52%
<b>Average Total Wt (Wet, mg) Summary</b>								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	177.14	93.113	240.88	26.088	58.331	32.93%
100		5	263.01	195.11	422.21	41.799	93.465	35.54%
<b>Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Summary</b>								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	20.217	12.223	33.384	3.9407	8.8117	43.58%
100		5	26.721	17.177	47.060	5.4021	12.079	45.21%

**CETIS Test Summary****% Germination Detail**

Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	0.60000	0.80000
100		0.80000	1.00000	0.60000	0.80000	1.00000

**Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Detail**

Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	67.2900	55.1900	82.338	73.2233	41.4750
100		60.3450	90.5860	151.707	75.0575	76.6360

**Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Detail**

Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	15.1720	10.552	19.276	8.82668	7.92751
100		11.4500	18.8780	30.6367	11.1275	13.698

**Average Root Wt (Wet, mg) Detail**

Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	143.362	140.624	158.524	72.0233	51.6375
100		134.785	172.182	270.5	121.905	161.350

**Average Root Wt (Dry, mg) Detail**

Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	8.75601	8.39399	14.108	3.77999	4.295
100		7.42999	11.1200	16.4233	6.04999	8.99399

**Average Total Wt (Wet, mg) Detail**

Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	210.652	195.814	240.862	145.247	93.1125
100		195.11	262.768	422.207	196.963	237.988



**Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Detail**

Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	23.9280	18.948	33.384	12.6066	12.2225
100		18.8800	27.7980	47.0600	17.1775	22.69



## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
% Germination	Comparison		10-7237-4516	10-7237-4516	18 Jul-06 2:22 PM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Angular (Corrected)		100	>100	1	N/A		
<b>Group Comparisons</b>									
Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)		
Artificial Soil/Sedi		100	0.37677	1.85955	0.3581	0.23506	Non-Significant Effect		
<b>ANOVA Table</b>									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	0.0056708	0.005671	1	0.14	0.71614	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	0.3195846	0.039948	8						
Total	0.3252554	0.0456189	9						
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.14108	23.15450	0.90131	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.85889		0.07404	Normal Distribution				
<b>Data Summary</b>									
			Original Data				Transformed Data		
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.88000	0.60000	1.00000	0.17889	1.20581		
100		5	0.84000	0.60000	1.00000	0.16733	1.15818		
							0.88608		
							1.34528		
							0.20635		
							0.19317		
<b>Graphics</b>									

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic								CH2M Hill							
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version									
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison			10-7237-4516	10-7237-4516	18 Jul-06 2:22 PM	CETISv1.1.2								
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	PMSD							
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A	50.83%							
Group Comparisons															
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)										
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	-1.5438	1.85955	0.9194	32.4828	Non-Significant Effect									
ANOVA Table															
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)									
Between	1817.505	1817.505	1	2.38	0.16127	Non-Significant Effect									
Error	6102.717	762.8397	8												
Total	7920.22266	2580.3450	9												
ANOVA Assumptions															
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)										
Variances	Variance Ratio F	5.00000	23.15450	0.14815	Equal Variances										
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.89115		0.17471	Normal Distribution										
Data Summary															
Original Data			Transformed Data												
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD					
0	Artificial Soil/Sedi	5	63.903	41.475	82.338	15.948									
100		5	90.866	60.345	151.71	35.857									
Graphics															
															
															

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic												
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version						
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		10-7237-4516	10-7237-4516	18 Jul-06 2:22 PM	CETISv1.1.2						
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV					
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A					
Group Comparisons												
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)							
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100	-1.0394	1.85955	0.8355	7.8124	Non-Significant Effect						
ANOVA Table												
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)						
Between	47.67259	47.67259	1	1.08	0.32901	Non-Significant Effect						
Error	353.0072	44.1259	8									
Total	400.679794	91.798492	9									
ANOVA Assumptions												
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)							
Variances	Variance Ratio F	2.87331	23.15450	0.33110	Equal Variances							
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.84132		0.04578	Normal Distribution							
Data Summary												
Original Data												
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean					
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	12.351	7.9275	19.276	4.7733						
100		5	16.718	11.128	30.637	8.0912						
Transformed Data												
Graphics												
												
												

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 4 of 7  
 Report Date: 18 Jul-06 2:22 PM  
 Analysis: 06-5621-9654/B154203psB

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg)	Comparison		10-7237-4516	10-7237-4516	18 Jul-06 2:22 PM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A		
<b>Group Comparisons</b>									
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	-1.7403	1.85955	0.9400	Non-Significant Effect				
<b>ANOVA Table</b>									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	8674.86	8674.86	1	3.03	0.11998	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	22913.1	2864.138	8						
Total	31587.9619	11538.998	9						
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.49014	23.15450	0.70857	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.94145		0.56924	Normal Distribution				
<b>Data Summary</b>									
			Original Data			Transformed Data			
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/Sedi	5	113.23	51.638	158.52	47.962			
100		5	172.14	121.91	270.5	58.548			
<b>Graphics</b>									

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill					
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version						
Average Root Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		10-7237-4516	10-7237-4516	18 Jul-06 2:22 PM	CETISv1.1.2						
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zets	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV					
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A					
<b>Group Comparisons</b>												
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)						
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	-0.8218	1.85955	0.7825	4.83508	Non-Significant Effect						
<b>ANOVA Table</b>												
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)						
Between	11.41551	11.41551	1	0.88	0.43498	Non-Significant Effect						
Error	135.2142	16.90177	8									
Total	146.629681	28.31728	9									
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>												
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)							
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.05701	23.15450	0.95844	Equal Variances							
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.87437		0.11238	Normal Distribution							
<b>Data Summary</b>												
Original Data												
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean					
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	7.8666	3.78	14.108	4.1678						
100		5	10.003	6.05	16.423	4.0538						
Transformed Data												
<b>Graphics</b>												

## CETIS Analysis Detail

### Plant Bioassay - Chronic

CH2M Hill

Endpoint	Analysis Type	Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison	10-7237-4516	10-7237-4516	18 Jul-06 2:22 PM	CETISv1.1.2

Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	PMSD
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A	51.72%

### Group Comparisons

Control	vs.	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)
Artificial Soil/Sedi		100	-1.7428	1.85955	0.9402	91.6218	Non-Significant Effect

### ANOVA Table



Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)
Between	18433.81	18433.81	1	3.04	0.11954	Non-Significant Effect
Error	48552.59	6069.074	8			
Total	66986.4004	24502.881	9			

### ANOVA Assumptions

Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)
Variances	Variance Ratio F	2.56740	23.15450	0.38336	Equal Variances
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.92031		0.35952	Normal Distribution

Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Original Data				Transformed Data			
			Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	177.14	93.113	240.86	58.331				
100		5	263.01	195.11	422.21	93.465				

### Graphics



# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		10-7237-4518	10-7237-4518	18 Jul-06 2:22 PM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A		
Group Comparisons									
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)			
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	-0.9726	1.85955	0.8204	12.4342	Non-Significant Effect			
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	105.7444	105.7444	1	0.95	0.35922	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	894.2308	111.7788	8						
Total	999.975182	217.52325	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.87917	23.15450	0.55620	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.86171		0.07992	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary									
Conc-%		Control Type	Count	Original Data		Transformed Data			
0		Artificial Soil/S	5	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	
100			5	20.217	12.223	33.384	8.8117	26.721	
					17.177	47.060	12.079		
Graphics									

## BLUEGRASS GROWTH TEST

Client: Harford Project

Initiate: Day 0 Brown Day 12 NG Day 14 Brown Day 18 NG Day 21 RD

Test Start Date: 01/25/2008

Day 24 Brown

CONC.	REPLICATE	5 seeds germinated					INITIAL (0 planting)	pH	FINAL (28 days after planting)
		PRE-EMERGENCE (12 days after planting)	@ EMERGENCE (14 days after planting)	POST- EMERGENCE (16 days after planting) 21 (23) planting	7-DAYS POST- EMERGENCE (21 days after planting) 30	14-DAYS POST- EMERGENCE (28 days after planting)			
100%	A	2	2	NO X 3	55	3.	3	6.5	7.1
	B	0	2	3	44	4	3		
	C	0	1	2	22	2	3		
	D	3	6	7	77	5	5		
	E	0	1	2	67	7-5	5		

7-Days Post-Emergence: Selectively thin down to 5 Seedlings (leave the 5 tallest seedlings). Describe shoot appearance:

- Replicate A 3 medium plants in seed shape, 1 color + 2 small brown plants (single shoot)  
 Replicate B 1 medium + 1 small/medium w/ green color + 1 small/medium and 1 small w/ brown edges (still alive)  
 Replicate C 2 medium plants in seed shape w/ green color  
 Replicate D 4 medium in seed shape + 1 small w/ 1 green and 1 green shoot, other small plants brown.  
 Replicate E 1 large + 4 medium in seed shape, 2 small single shoot plants in seed shape removed

14-Days Post-Emergence: Describe shoot appearance:

- Replicate A 3 medium plants look good  
 Replicate B 3 medium plants look good  
 Replicate C 2 medium plants look good  
 Replicate D 3-4 not 1 large plant look good  
 Replicate E 1 large plant & 4 medium plants look good, / small plant

Measure Shoot Height:  
(above ground)

	1st Seedling	2nd Seedling	3rd Seedling	4th Seedling	5th Seedling	ft Shoots
Replicate A	40 mm	49 mm	48 mm	— mm	— mm	6, 11, 11
Replicate B	40 mm	40 mm	51 mm	— mm	— mm	4, 12, 4
Replicate C	60 mm	40 mm	— mm	— mm	— mm	4, 9
Replicate D	30 mm	41 mm	50 mm	62 mm	39 mm	2, 13, 13, 12, 7
Replicate E	42 mm	45 mm	50 mm	62 mm	106 mm	10, 4, 10, 8, 12

Measure Shoot Weight:  
(above ground)

	Tm Tare WT (mg)	Wet WT (mg)	Dry WT (mg)
Replicate A	993.35	1186.7	1027.52
Replicate B	1015.99	1156.0	1043.63
Replicate C	995.41	1112.9	1015.78
Replicate D	996.70	1257.3	1037.81
Replicate E	999.42	1332.6	1056.72

Describe root appearance:

- Replicate A  
 Replicate B  
 Replicate C  
 Replicate D  
 Replicate E

Measure Root Length:  
(longest root)

	1st Seedling	2nd Seedling	3rd Seedling	4th Seedling	5th Seedling
Replicate A	137 mm	125 mm	126 mm	mm	mm
Replicate B	144 mm	96 mm	127 mm	mm	mm
Replicate C	157 mm	136 mm	mm	mm	mm
Replicate D	133 mm	131 mm	158 mm	102 mm	27 mm
Replicate E	122 mm	127 mm	125 mm	78 mm	96 mm

Measure Root Weight:  
(longest root)

	Tm Tare WT (mg)	Wet WT (mg)	Dry WT (mg)
Replicate A	975.91	1323.6	995.68
Replicate B	992.17	1237.4	1010.81
Replicate C	998.53	1212.3	1012.18
Replicate D	991.57	1491.2	1024.03
Replicate E	1006.36	1515.1	1040.11

Comments:

90% dry wt./kg

-46-

-47-

## CETIS Test Summary

Report Date: 18 Jul-06 2:29 PM  
 Test Link: 20-0117-8477/B154204.pab

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M HILL
Test No:	07-0052-4534	Test Type:	Plant Chronic	Duration:	N/A		
Start Date:	25 Jan-06	Protocol:	ASTM E1963-02 (2002)	Species:	Poa sandbergii		
Ending Date:		Dil Water:		Source:			
Setup Date:	25 Jan-06	Brine:					
Comments:	recalculated Height and Length data July 18, 2006						
Sample No:	09-5315-2344	Code:	B1542-04	Client:			
Sample Date:	15 Nov-05	Material:	Soil	Project:			
Receive Date:		Source:	Hanford				
Sample Age:	71d 0h	Station:					
Comments:	J10DV2, E284601						
Comparison Summary							
Analysis	Endpoint	NOEL	LOEL	ChV	PMSD	Method	
04-1690-0445	% Germination	100	> 100	N/A	29.92%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample	
08-2900-5287	Average Height (mm)	100	> 100	N/A	19.39%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample	
13-6243-3925	Average Length (mm)	100	> 100	N/A	21.46%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample	
08-7538-5589	Average AG Wt (Wet, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	22.90%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample	
08-4708-2181	Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	32.76%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample	
13-2902-4328	Average Root Wt (Wet, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	36.51%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample	
07-1398-9419	Average Root Wt (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	44.15%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample	
17-2700-9201	Average Total Wt (Wet, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	28.88%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample	
07-7227-4367	Average Total Wt (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	36.53%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample	

## CETIS Test Summary

% Germination Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.88000	0.60000	1.00000	0.08000	0.17889	20.33%
100		5	0.72000	0.40000	1.00000	0.12000	0.26833	37.27%
Average Height (mm) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	45.68	38.700	55.8	3.3695	7.5344	16.49%
100		5	48.560	42.400	61	3.3658	7.5262	15.50%
Average Length (mm) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	124.52	89.800	153.2	12.597	28.167	22.60%
100		5	122.48	109.8	146.5	6.0375	15.513	12.67%
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	63.903	41.475	82.338	7.1313	15.946	24.95%
100		5	58.544	48.670	66.636	3.3307	7.4477	12.72%
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	12.351	7.9275	19.276	2.1347	4.7733	38.65%
100		5	10.669	9.2133	11.46	0.4217	0.9429	8.84%
Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	113.23	51.638	158.52	21.449	47.962	42.36%
100		5	100.99	80.410	115.9	5.8455	13.071	12.94%
Average Root WL (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	7.8666	3.78	14.108	1.0639	4.1678	52.98%
100		5	6.5947	6.2133	6.925	0.1202	0.2689	4.08%
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	177.14	93.113	240.88	26.086	58.331	32.93%
100		5	159.54	127.08	178.35	8.7345	19.531	12.24%
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	20.217	12.223	33.384	3.9407	8.8117	43.58%
100		5	17.264	15.427	18.21	0.4928	1.1019	6.38%

## CETIS Test Summary

Report Date: 18 Jul-06 2:29 PM  
 Test Link: 20-0117-8477/B154204psB

% Germination Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	0.60000	0.80000
100		0.60000	0.60000	0.40000	1.00000	1.00000
Average Height (mm) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	50.6	41.8	55.8	38.7000	43.5
100		45.7000	43.7000	50	42.4000	61
Average Length (mm) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	138.800	142	153.2	99.3000	89.8000
100		129.300	115.7	146.5	111.2	109.8
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	67.2900	55.1900	82.338	73.2233	41.4750
100		62.45	46.6700	58.7450	58.2200	66.6360
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	15.1720	10.552	19.276	8.82666	7.92751
100		11.3900	9.21334	10.2600	11.0220	11.46
Average Root Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	143.362	140.624	158.524	72.0233	51.6375
100		115.897	80.4100	106.985	99.926	101.748
Average Root Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	8.75601	8.39399	14.108	3.77999	4.295
100		6.59334	6.21334	6.92499	6.49200	6.75
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	210.652	195.814	240.862	145.247	93.1125
100		178.347	127.080	165.730	158.146	168.384
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	23.9280	18.946	33.384	12.6066	12.2225
100		17.9834	15.4267	17.185	17.5140	18.21

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 1 of 9  
 Report Date: 18 Jul-06 2:29 PM  
 Analysis: 04-1690-0445/B154204psB

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
% Germination	Comparison		20-0117-8477	20-0117-8477	18 Jul-06 2:25 PM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Angular (Corrected)		100	>100	1	N/A		
Group Comparisons									
Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)		
Artificial Soil/Sed		100	1.08340	1.85955	0.1551	0.30265	Non-Significant Effect		
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	0.0777281	0.077728	1	1.17	0.31020	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	0.529773	0.086222	8						
Total	0.60750108	0.1439497	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	2.11043	23.15450	0.48725	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.90642		0.25730	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary									
			Original Data				Transformed Data		
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.88000	0.60000	1.00000	0.17889	1.20581		
100		5	0.72000	0.40000	1.00000	0.26633	0.68472		
							0.88608 1.34528 0.20635		
							0.90642 1.34528 0.29977		
Graphics									

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 2 of 9  
 Report Date: 18 Jul-06 2:29 PM  
 Analysis: 08-2900-5287/B154204psB

## Plant Bioassay - Chronic

CH2M Hill

Endpoint	Analysis Type	Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version						
Average Height (mm)	Comparison	20-0117-8477	20-0117-8477	18 Jul-06 2:25 PM	CETISv1.1.2						
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	PMSD			
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	NA	19.39%			
<b>Group Comparisons</b>											
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)					
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	-0.6047	1.85955	0.7189	8.85621	Non-Significant Effect					
<b>ANOVA Table</b>											
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)					
Between	20.73602	20.73602	1	0.37	0.56212	Non-Significant Effect					
Error	453.6399	56.70499	8								
Total	474.375938	77.441008	9								
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>											
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)						
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.00219	23.15450	0.99838	Equal Variances						
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.91931		0.35123	Normal Distribution						
<b>Data Summary</b>											
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Original Data				Transformed Data				
			Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	45.68	36.7	55.8	7.5344					
100		5	48.560	42.4	61	7.5262					
<b>Graphics</b>											

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill					
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version						
Average Length (mm)	Comparison		20-0117-8477	20-0117-8477	18 Jul-06 2:28 PM	CETISv1.1.2						
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV					
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A					
Group Comparisons												
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)							
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100	0.15020	1.85955	0.4422	28.7415	Non-Significant Effect						
ANOVA Table												
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)						
Between	11.66402	11.66402	1	0.02	0.88432	Non-Significant Effect						
Error	4136.06	517.0074	8									
Total	4147.72359	528.67147	9									
ANOVA Assumptions												
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)							
Variances	Variance Ratio F	3.29688	23.15450	0.27455	Equal Variances							
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.95238		0.69675	Normal Distribution							
Data Summary												
Original Data												
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean					
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	124.62	89.8	153.2	28.167						
100		5	122.46	109.6	146.5	15.513						
Transformed Data												
Graphics												

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill			
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version				
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison		20-0117-8477	20-0117-8477	18 Jul-06 2:28 PM	CETISv1.1.2				
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV			
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A	22.90%		
Group Comparisons										
Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)			
Artificial Soil/Sedi		100	0.68088	1.85955	0.2576	14.6362	Non-Significant Effect			
ANOVA Table										
Source	Sum of Squares		Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	71.79871		71.79871	1	0.46	0.51517	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	1238.995		154.8743	8						
Total	1310.79348		226.67305	9						
ANOVA Assumptions										
Attribute	Test		Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F		4.58421	23.15450	0.16944	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W		0.96988		0.88975	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary				Original Data						
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	63.903	41.475	82.338	15.946				
100		5	58.544	46.67	66.636	7.4477				
Graphics										

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 5 of 9  
 Report Date: 18 Jul-06 2:29 PM  
 Analysis: 06-4706-2181/B154204psB

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill					
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version						
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		20-0117-8477	20-0117-8477	18 Jul-06 2:28 PM	CETISv1.1.2						
Method	All H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV					
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A					
<b>Group Comparisons</b>												
Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)					
Artificial Soil/Sed		100	0.77289	1.85955	0.2309	4.04627	Non-Significant Effect					
<b>ANOVA Table</b>												
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)						
Between	7.070768	7.070768	1	0.60	0.46181	Non-Significant Effect						
Error	94.69468	11.83683	8									
Total	101.765432	12.716927	9									
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>												
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)							
Variances	Variance Ratio F	25.62671	23.15450	0.00825	Unequal Variances							
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.94329		0.59015	Normal Distribution							
<b>Data Summary</b>												
Original Data												
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	12.351	7.9275	19.276	4.7733						
100		5	10.669	9.2133	11.46	0.9429						
Transformed Data												
Graphics												

# CETIS Analysis Detail

## Plant Bioassay - Chronic

CH2M Hill

Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version		
Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg)	Comparison		20-0117-8477	20-0117-8477	18 Jul-06 2:28 PM	CETISv1.1.2		
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A	36.51%

## Group Comparisons

Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)
Artificial Soil/Sedi		100	0.55061	1.85955	0.2985	41.3408	Non-Significant Effect

## ANOVA Table



Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)
Between	374.6069	374.6069	1	0.30	0.59693	Non-Significant Effect
Error	9884.932	1235.616	8			
Total	10259.5385	1610.2234	9			

## ANOVA Assumptions

Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)
Variances	Variance Ratio F	13.46452	23.15450	0.02738	Equal Variances
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.95666		0.74721	Normal Distribution

Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Original Data				Transformed Data			
			Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	113.23	51.638	158.52	47.962				
100		5	100.99	80.410	115.9	13.071				

## Graphics



# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Root WT (Dry, mg)	Comparison		20-0117-8477	20-0117-8477	18 Jul-06 2:28 PM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A		
Group Comparisons									
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)			
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	0.68096	1.85955	0.2576	3.47318	Non-Significant Effect			
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	4.044082	4.044082	1	0.48	0.51512	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	69.77014	8.721268	8						
Total	73.8142233	12.765349	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	240.25800	23.15450	0.00010	Unequal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.83816		0.04194	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary									
Conc-%		Original Data				Transformed Data			
0	Artificial Soil/S	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	7.8666	3.78	14.108	4.1678			
100	Artificial Soil/S	5	6.5947	6.2133	6.925	0.2689			
Graphics									

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill					
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version						
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison		20-0117-8477	20-0117-8477	18 Jul-06 2:28 PM	CETISv1.1.2						
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV					
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed	100	>100	1	N/A	26.88%					
<b>Group Comparisons</b>												
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)						
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	0.63977	1.85855	0.2701	51.156	Non-Significant Effect						
<b>ANOVA Table</b>												
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)						
Between	774.4072	774.4072	1	0.41	0.54020	Non-Significant Effect						
Error	15135.88	1891.985	8									
Total	15910.2910	2666.3927	9									
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>												
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)							
Variances	Variance Ratio F	8.91986	23.15450	0.05668	Equal Variances							
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.94991		0.66738	Normal Distribution							
<b>Data Summary</b>												
Original Data												
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD						
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	177.14	93.113	240.86	58.331						
100		5	159.54	127.08	178.35	19.531						
Transformed Data												
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD						
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	177.14	93.113	240.86	58.331						
100		5	159.54	127.08	178.35	19.531						
<b>Graphics</b>												

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic									
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		20-0117-8477	20-0117-8477	18 Jul-06 2:28 PM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A		
Group Comparisons									
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	0.74372	1.85955	0.2392	7.38505	Non-Significant Effect			
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	21.80978	21.80978	1	0.55	0.47832	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	315.4434	39.43043	8						
Total	337.253202	61.24021	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	63.94633	23.15450	0.00141	Unequal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.88617		0.15347	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary									
			Original Data			Transformed Data			
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	20.217	12.223	33.384	8.8117			
100		5	17.264	15.427	18.21	1.1019			
Graphics									

## BLUEGRASS GROWTH TEST

Client: Hanford Project

Test Start Date: 01/25/2008

Initial Day 0 Run Day 12 NJ Day 14 3m Day 16 HS Day 21 SD Day 26 Brun

CONC.	REPLICATE	# seeds germinated					pH
		PRE-EMERGENCE (12 days after planting)	EMERGENCE (14 days after planting)	POST- EMERGENCE (18 days after planting) 30	7-DAYS POST- EMERGENCE (21 days after planting) 30	14-DAYS POST- EMERGENCE (28 days after planting)	
100%	A	0	1	4	4	1	6.8
	B	1	3	4	4	2	
	C	0	2	4	5	4	
	D	0	2	3	3	2	
	E	0	0	0	1	0	

7-Days Post-Emergence: Selectively thin down to 5 seedlings (leave the 5 tallest seedlings). Describe shoot appearance:

- Replicate A 1 medium plant in good shape + 3 small, brown dead plants
- Replicate B 1 medium in good shape + 1 medium w/ 1/4 green w/ 1 green shoot + 3 dead scurfy leaf plants
- Replicate C 3 medium in good shape + 1 small w/ 2 green + 1 brown + 1 small stink shoot turning brown
- Replicate D 2 medium w/ 1 brown and 3 green shoots + 1 brown dead, small plant
- Replicate E 1 single short dead plant

14-Days Post-Emergence: Describe shoot appearance:

- Replicate A 1 Small plant, 2 brown shoots - 5 good shoots → total shoots
- Replicate B 1/2 / small & 1 medium plant red plant → 5 brown Shoots
- Replicate C 3 small 1 medium plant each plant has 1 green shoot
- Replicate D 2 small plants 1 has only 1 green shoot, other has 1 brown shoot
- Replicate E No plants

Measure Shoot Height  
(above ground)

	1st Seedling	2nd Seedling	3rd Seedling	4th Seedling	5th Seedling	# Shoots
Replicate A	34 mm	16.5 mm	12.8 mm	— mm	— mm	6
Replicate B	65 mm	36 mm	13.6 mm	— mm	— mm	8
Replicate C	28 mm	66 mm	12.6 mm	40 mm	— mm	4
Replicate D	55 mm	25 mm	10.5 mm	— mm	— mm	5
Replicate E	— mm	—				

Measure Shoot Weight  
(above ground)

	Tn Tare Wt. (mg)	Wet Wt. (mg)	Dry Wt. (mg)
Replicate A	1008.22	1039.2	1013.46
Replicate B	984.32	1060.0	1008.82
Replicate C	998.06	1116.6	1024.06
Replicate D	1006.64	1056.6	1020.26
Replicate E	1012.09	—	—

Describe root appearance:

- Replicate A
- Replicate B
- Replicate C
- Replicate D
- Replicate E

Measure Root Length:  
(longest root)

	1st Seedling	2nd Seedling	3rd Seedling	4th Seedling	5th Seedling
Replicate A	95 mm	— mm	— mm	— mm	— mm
Replicate B	125 mm	96 mm	— mm	— mm	— mm
Replicate C	77 mm	120 mm	43 mm	73 mm	— mm
Replicate D	92 mm	81 mm	— mm	— mm	— mm
Replicate E	— mm				

Measure Root Weight:  
(longest root)

	Tn Tare Wt. (mg)	Wet Wt. (mg)	Dry Wt. (mg)
Replicate A	993.37	1035.6	995.94
Replicate B	1007.69	1145.2	1019.39
Replicate C	1039.63	1153.1	1047.71
Replicate D	988.21	1037.4	993.97
Replicate E	1005.00	—	—

Comments:

## CETIS Test Summary

Report Date: 18 Jul-06 2:52 PM  
 Test Link: 06-7949-1832/B154205psB

CH2M Hill

## Plant Bioassay - Chronic

Test No:	11-2341-2652	Test Type:	Plant Chronic	Duration:	N/A	
Start Date:	25 Jan-06	Protocol:	ASTM E1963-02 (2002)	Species:	Poa sandbergii	
Ending Date:		DIL Water:		Source:		
Setup Date:	25 Jan-06	Brine:				
Comments:	recalculated Height and Length data July 18, 2006					
Sample No:	06-5742-9955	Code:	B1542-05	Client:		
Sample Date:	15 Nov-05	Material:	Soil	Project:		
Receive Date:		Source:	Hanford			
Sample Age:	71d 0h	Station:				
Comments:	J10DV1, E284701					
<b>Comparison Summary</b>						
Analysis	Endpoint	NOEL	LOEL	ChV	PMSD	Method
03-1273-9210	% Germination	< 100	100	N/A	31.94%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
15-6901-9968	Average Height (mm)	100	> 100	N/A	20.15%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
16-8177-0725	Average Length (mm)	< 100	100	N/A	22.34%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
07-6293-8217	Average AG Wt (Wet, mg)	< 100	100	N/A	24.95%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
03-1375-3588	Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)	< 100	100	N/A	38.05%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
05-7553-9392	Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg)	< 100	100	N/A	43.27%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
15-2030-1795	Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg)	< 100	100	N/A	54.04%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
08-5494-7845	Average Total Wt (Wet, mg)	< 100	100	N/A	33.77%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
08-5495-1290	Average Total Wt (Dry, mg)	< 100	100	N/A	43.45%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample

(>100% resp E removed from stats for all but % germination endpoint (0% germ))

Saw

**CETIS Test Summary**

% Germination Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.88000	0.60000	1.00000	0.08000	0.17889	20.33%
100		5	0.36000	0.00000	0.80000	0.13268	0.29665	82.40%
Average Height (mm) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	45.68	36.700	55.8	3.3695	7.5344	16.49%
100		5	41.75	34	50.5	3.4187	6.8374	16.38%
Average Length (mm) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	124.62	89.800	153.2	12.597	28.167	22.60%
100		5	91.325	83.300	100.5	3.9303	7.8606	8.61%
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	63.903	41.475	82.338	7.1313	15.946	24.95%
100		5	30.859	24.98	37.84	2.6585	5.317	17.23%
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	12.351	7.9275	19.276	2.1347	4.7733	38.65%
100		5	6.7013	5.2401	8.25	0.6181	1.2361	18.45%
Average Root Wt (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	113.23	51.638	158.52	21.449	47.962	42.36%
100		5	40.987	24.595	68.755	10.002	20.005	48.81%
Average Root Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	7.8666	3.78	14.108	1.8639	4.1678	52.98%
100		5	3.33	2.02	5.8500	0.8588	1.7172	51.57%
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	177.14	93.113	240.86	26.086	58.331	32.93%
100		5	71.846	49.575	106.59	12.573	25.146	35.00%
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	20.217	12.223	33.384	3.9407	8.8117	43.58%
100		5	10.031	7.8101	14.100	1.4105	2.821	28.12%



## CETIS Test Summary

Report Date: 18 Jul-06 2:52 PM  
 Test Link: 06-7949-1832/B154205psB

% Germination Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	0.60000	0.80000
100		0.20000	0.40000	0.80000	0.40000	0.00000
Average Height (mm) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	50.6	41.8	55.8	38.7000	43.5
100		34	50.5	42.5	40	Missing
Average Length (mm) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	138.800	142	153.2	99.3000	89.8000
100		95	100.5	83.3000	86.5	Missing
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	67.2900	55.1900	62.338	73.2233	41.4750
100		30.98	37.84	29.635	24.98	Missing
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	15.1720	10.552	19.276	8.82666	7.92751
100		5.24005	8.25	6.50499	6.81	Missing
Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	143.362	140.624	158.524	72.0233	51.6375
100		42.23	68.755	28.3675	24.5950	Missing
Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	8.75601	8.39399	14.108	3.77999	4.295
100		2.57001	5.85001	2.01999	2.87997	Missing
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	210.652	195.814	240.862	145.247	93.1125
100		73.21	106.595	58.0025	49.575	Missing
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	23.9280	18.946	33.384	12.6066	12.2225
100		7.81006	14.1000	8.52499	9.88997	Missing

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 1 of 9  
 Report Date: 18 Jul-06 2:52 PM  
 Analysis: 03-1273-0210/8154205psB

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M HILL						
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version							
% Germination	Comparison		06-7949-1832	06-7949-1832	18 Jul-06 2:51 PM	CETISv1.1.2							
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV						
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Angular (Corrected)	<100	100		N/A	31.94%						
Group Comparisons													
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)								
Artificial Soil/Sedi 100	3.31955	1.85955	0.0053	0.3208	Significant Effect								
ANOVA Table													
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)							
Between	0.8198839	0.819864	1	11.02	0.01055	Significant Effect							
Error	0.5952135	0.074402	8										
Total	1.41507733	0.8942655	9										
ANOVA Assumptions													
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)								
Variances	Variance Ratio F	2.49465	23.15450	0.39757	Equal Variances								
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.95095		0.87970	Normal Distribution								
Data Summary													
Original Data													
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD			
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.88000	0.60000	1.00000	0.17889	1.20581	0.88608	1.34528	0.20635			
100		5	0.36000	0.00000	0.80000	0.29665	0.63315	0.22551	1.10715	0.32592			
Transformed Data													
													
													

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 2 of 9  
 Report Date: 18 Jul-06 2:52 PM  
 Analysis: 15-6901-9966/B154205psB

## Plant Bioassay - Chronic

CH2M Hill

Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version						
Average Height (mm)	Comparison		06-7949-1832	06-7949-1832	18 Jul-06 2:51 PM	CETISv1.1.2						
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV					
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A					
<b>Group Comparisons</b>												
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)							
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	0.80875	1.89458	0.2226	9.20842 Non-Significant Effect							
<b>ANOVA Table</b>												
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)						
Between	34.32199	34.32199	1	0.65	0.44527	Non-Significant Effect						
Error	367.318	52.474	7									
Total	401.639954	88.795986	8									
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>												
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)							
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.21427	46.19462	0.90942	Equal Variances							
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.94354		0.61966	Normal Distribution							
<b>Data Summary</b>												
Original Data												
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD		
0	Artificial Soil/Sedi	5	45.68	36.7	55.8	7.5344						
100		4	41.75	34	50.5	6.8374						
Transformed Data												

# CETIS Analysis Detail



Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M HILL					
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version						
Average Length (mm)	Comparison		06-7949-1832	06-7949-1832	18 Jul-06 2:51 PM	CETISv1.1.2						
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV					
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed	<100	100		N/A	22.34%					
Group Comparisons												
Control	vs.	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)					
Artificial Soil/Sedi		100	2.26582	1.89458	0.0269	27.8398	Significant Effect					
ANOVA Table												
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)						
Between	2463.48	2463.48	1	5.13	0.05783	Non-Significant Effect						
Error	3358.855	479.8364	7									
Total	5822.31519	2943.2966	8									
ANOVA Assumptions												
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)							
Variances	Variance Ratio F	12.83999	46.19462	0.06268	Equal Variances							
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.96390		0.83818	Normal Distribution							
Data Summary												
Original Data												
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	124.62	89.8	153.2	28.167						
100		4	91.325	83.3	100.5	7.8608						
Transformed Data												

Graphics	
<p>Average Length (mm)</p> <p>Conc-%</p>	<p>Centred Untransformed</p> <p>Rankits</p>

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 4 of 9  
 Report Date: 18 Jul-06 2:52 PM  
 Analysis: 07-6293-8217/B154205psB

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill					
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version						
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison		06-7949-1832	06-7949-1832	18 Jul-06 2:51 PM	CETISv1.1.2						
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV					
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		<100	100		N/A					
Group Comparisons												
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)						
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100	3.92614	1.89458	0.0029	15.9458	Significant Effect						
ANOVA Table												
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)						
Between	2426.535	2426.535	1	15.41	0.00570	Significant Effect						
Error	1101.93	157.4186	7									
Total	3528.46484	2583.9533	8									
ANOVA Assumptions												
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)							
Variances	Variance Ratio F	8.99470	46.19462	0.10183	Equal Variances							
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.98165		0.97222	Normal Distribution							
Data Summary												
Original Data												
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean					
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	63.903	41.475	82.338	15.946						
100		4	30.859	24.98	37.84	5.317						
Transformed Data												
Graphics												
												

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		06-7949-1832	06-7949-1832	18 Jul-06 2:51 PM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed	<100	100		N/A	38.05%		
<b>Group Comparisons</b>									
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100	2.27746	1.89458	0.0284	4.69977	Significant Effect			
<b>ANOVA Table</b>									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	70.92812	70.92812	1	5.19	0.05685	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	95.72236	13.67462	7						
Total	166.650482	84.602746	8						
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	14.91113	46.19462	0.05089	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.95295		0.72240	Normal Distribution				
<b>Data Summary</b>									
			Original Data			Transformed Data			
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	12.351	7.9275	19.276	4.7733			
100		4	6.7013	5.2401	8.25	1.2361			
<b>Graphics</b>									

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 6 of 9  
 Report Date: 18 Jul-08 2:52 PM  
 Analysis: 05-7553-9392/B154205psB

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill					
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version						
Average Root WL (Wet, mg)	Comparison		06-7949-1832	06-7949-1832	18 Jul-08 2:51 PM	CETISv1.1.2						
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV					
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		<100	100		N/A					
<b>Group Comparisons</b>												
Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)					
Artificial Soil/Sedl		100	2.79386	1.89458	0.0134	48.9926	Significant Effect					
<b>ANOVA Table</b>												
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)						
Between	11599.27	11599.27	1	7.81	0.02676	Significant Effect						
Error	10402.09	1486.014	7									
Total	22001.3672	13085.285	8									
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>												
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)							
Variances	Variance Ratio F	5.74830	48.19462	0.18247	Equal Variances							
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.93481		0.52849	Normal Distribution							
<b>Data Summary</b>												
Original Data												
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	113.23	51.638	158.52	47.962						
100		4	40.987	24.595	68.755	20.005						
Transformed Data												
Graphics												

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version	
Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg)	Comparison		06-7949-1832	06-7949-1832	18 Jul-06 2:51 PM	CETISv1.1.2	
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed	<100	100		N/A	54.04%
Group Comparisons							
Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)
Artificial Soil/Sedi		100	2.0217	1.89458	0.0415	4.25136	Significant Effect
ANOVA Table							
Source	Sum of Squares		Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)
Between	45.73503		45.73503	1	4.09	0.08202	Non-Significant Effect.
Error	78.32761		11.18968	7			
Total	124.062641		56.924688	8			
ANOVA Assumptions							
Attribute	Test	Statistic		Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)	
Variances	Variance Ratio F	5.89043		46.19462	0.17693	Equal Variances	
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.94719			0.65928	Normal Distribution	
Data Summary				Original Data			
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	7.8668	3.78	14.108	4.1678	
100		4	3.33	2.02	5.8500	1.7172	
Transformed Data				Minimum	Maximum	SD	

Graphics	

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic						CH2M Hill
Endpoint	Analysis Type	Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version	
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison	06-7949-1832	06-7949-1832	18 Jul-06 2:51 PM	CETISv1.1.2	
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed	<100	100	N/A	ChV
						PMSD
Group Comparisons						
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	3.33482	1.89458	0.0083	59.8184	Significant Effect
ANOVA Table						
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)
Between	24636.38	24636.38	1	11.12	0.01251	Significant Effect
Error	15507.06	2215.294	7			
Total	40143.4365	26851.673	8			
ANOVA Assumptions						
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)	
Variances	Variance Ratio F	5.38092	46.19462	0.19823	Equal Variances	
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.97138		0.90629	Normal Distribution	
Data Summary						
Original Data						
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	177.14	93.113	240.86	58.331
100		4	71.846	49.575	106.59	25.148
Transformed Data						
Graphics						

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill					
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version						
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		06-7949-1832	06-7949-1832	18 Jul-06 2:51 PM	CETISv1.1.2						
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV					
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed	<100	100		N/A	43.45%					
<b>Group Comparisons</b>												
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)							
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	2.19676	1.89458	0.0320	8.78501	Significant Effect						
<b>ANOVA Table</b>												
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)						
Between	230.5735	230.5735	1	4.83	0.06404	Non-Significant Effect						
Error	334.4602	47.78003	7									
Total	565.033722	278.35357	8									
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>												
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)							
Variances	Variance Ratio F	9.75715	46.19462	0.09127	Equal Variances							
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.91888		0.38302	Normal Distribution							
<b>Data Summary</b>												
<b>Original Data</b>												
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD						
0	Artificial Soil/Sedi	5	20.217	12.223	33.384	8.8117						
100		4	10.031	7.8101	14.100	2.821						
<b>Transformed Data</b>												
<b>Graphics</b>												

\* Rep C dry 33. 1.1% 15 cmbs is germinated on chips 16233 with inhibited  
at 9 squares seeds (20 cm full acreage 33). Germinated on chips 16233

CONTINUE

-74-

90 plants dry 1/20.

Replicate E	1002.78	1131.4	1011.75
Replicate D	992.16	1304.1	1006.98
Replicate C	1000.09	1455.3	1030.67
Replicate B	966.35	1292.9	986.11
Replicate A	958.26	1056.2	944.56

Hedgehog Root Weight  
(grams root)

Replicate E	123 mm	77 mm	
Replicate D	114 mm	102 mm	
Replicate C	97 mm	101 mm	
Replicate B	94 mm	101 mm	136 mm
Replicate A	143 mm	94 mm	95 mm

Hedgehog Root Length  
(centimeters)

Replicate E			
Replicate D			
Replicate C			
Replicate B			
Replicate A			

Dwarfed root appearance

Replicate E	1005.79	1020.6	1024.71
Replicate D	1002.13	1201.0	1034.73
Replicate C	998.00	1253.2	1046.67
Replicate B	1008.30	1191.2	1043.83
Replicate A	1002.83	1113.5	1011.77

Hedgehog Root Weight  
(grams plant)

Replicate E	46 mm	35 mm	
Replicate D	50 mm	40 mm	67 mm
Replicate C	39 mm	41 mm	41 mm
Replicate B	46 mm	38 mm	22 mm
Replicate A	44 mm	36 mm	28 mm

Hedgehog Root Length  
(centimeters)

Replicate E			
Replicate D			
Replicate C			
Replicate B			
Replicate A			

Dwarfed root appearance

Replicate E			
Replicate D			
Replicate C			
Replicate B			
Replicate A			

Hedgehog Root Weight  
(grams plant)

Replicate E			
Replicate D			
Replicate C			
Replicate B			
Replicate A			

Hedgehog Root Weight  
(grams plant)

Replicate E			
Replicate D			
Replicate C			
Replicate B			
Replicate A			

Hedgehog Root Weight  
(grams plant)

CONC	REPLICATE	PRE-BERGERONCE (12 days after germination) (%)	BERGERONCE (14 days after germination) (%)	BERGERONCE (16 days after germination) (%)	POST- GERMATION 10 DAYS POST- GERMATION	POST- GERMATION 14 DAYS POST- GERMATION	POST- GERMATION 16 DAYS POST- GERMATION	100%
73	73	1	3	4	1	2	3	
		1	3	4	1	2	3	
		0	5	5	0	5	5	
		3	5	5	3	5	5	
		1	1	1	1	1	1	

Hedgehog Root Weight  
(grams plant)

Date 09/12/05 Time 09:45 AM Date 09/12/05 Time 09:45 AM

BLUGRASS GROWTH TEST

## CETIS Test Summary

Report Date: 18 Jul-06 3:05 PM  
 Test Link: 16-3653-4731/B154206psB

Plant Bioassay - Chronic				CH2M Hill		
Test No:	19-1698-5639	Test Type:	Plant Chronic	Duration: N/A		
Start Date:	25 Jan-06	Protocol:	ASTM E1963-02 (2002)	Species: Poa sandbergii		
Ending Date:		Dil Water:		Source:		
Setup Date:	25 Jan-06	Brine:				
Comments:	recalculated Height and Length data July 18, 2006					
Sample No:	09-1115-2498	Code:	B1542-06	Client:		
Sample Date:	16 Nov-05	Material:	Soil	Project:		
Receive Date:		Source:	Hanford			
Sample Age:	70d 0h	Station:				
Comments:	J10DV3, E285701					
Comparison Summary						
Analysis	Endpoint	NOEL	LOEL	ChV	PMSD	Method
11-4813-1916	% Germination	100	> 100	N/A	37.51%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
09-3544-9763	Average Height (mm)	100	> 100	N/A	25.79%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
01-3057-6016	Average Length (mm)	100	> 100	N/A	24.52%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
09-4937-3263	Average AG Wt (Wet, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	44.89%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
17-9077-0135	Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	33.63%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
10-4892-3288	Average Root Wt (Wet, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	37.75%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
13-4344-1355	Average Root Wt (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	45.34%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
07-1360-0140	Average Total Wt (Wet, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	34.83%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
06-4394-4993	Average Total Wt (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	37.33%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample

## CETIS Test Summary

% Germination Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.88000	0.60000	1.00000	0.08000	0.17889	20.33%
100		5	0.84000	0.20000	1.00000	0.16000	0.35777	55.90%
Average Height (mm) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	45.68	36.700	55.8	3.3695	7.5344	16.49%
100		5	47.38	38	64	5.3659	11.998	25.33%
Average Length (mm) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	124.62	89.800	153.2	12.597	28.167	22.60%
100		5	107.74	87.8	148	10.557	23.607	21.91%
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	63.903	41.475	82.338	7.1313	15.946	24.95%
100		5	60.421	36.58	110.67	13.681	30.591	50.63%
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	12.351	7.9275	19.278	2.1347	4.7733	38.65%
100		5	8.9213	7.106	10.867	0.6582	1.4718	16.50%
Average Root WL (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	113.23	51.638	158.52	21.449	47.962	42.36%
100		5	84.544	64.510	103.88	8.2686	18.489	21.87%
Average Root Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	7.8666	3.78	14.108	1.8639	4.1678	52.98%
100		5	5.1266	3.9520	6.24	0.4523	1.0114	19.73%
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	177.14	93.113	240.86	26.086	58.331	32.93%
100		5	144.97	101.89	208.61	20.496	45.832	31.62%
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	20.217	12.223	33.384	3.9407	8.8117	43.58%
100		5	14.048	11.058	15.850	0.9693	2.1673	15.43%

## CETIS Test Summary

## % Germination Detail

Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	0.60000	0.80000
100		0.20000	1.00000	1.00000	0.60000	0.40000

## Average Height (mm) Detail

Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	50.6	41.8	55.8	36.7000	43.5
100		64	38.8	38	55.7000	42.5

## Average Length (mm) Detail

Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	138.800	142	153.2	99.3000	89.8000
100		148	87.6	95.8000	107.300	100

## Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Detail

Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	67.2900	55.1900	82.338	73.2233	41.4750
100		110.67	36.58	51.1600	68.2900	37.405

## Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Detail

Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	15.1720	10.552	19.276	8.82666	7.92751
100		8.94000	7.10599	9.73401	10.8667	7.96002

## Average Root Wt (Wet, mg) Detail

Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	143.362	140.624	158.524	72.0233	51.6375
100		97.9399	65.3100	91.082	103.88	64.5100

## Average Root Wt (Dry, mg) Detail

Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	8.75601	8.39399	14.108	3.77999	4.295
100		6.23999	3.95200	6.11600	4.83999	4.48499



## Average Total Wt (Wet, mg) Detail

Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	210.652	195.814	240.862	145.247	93.1125
100		208.61	101.890	142.242	170.17	101.915

## Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Detail

Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	23.9280	18.946	33.384	12.6066	12.2225
100		15.18	11.058	15.8500	15.7066	12.4450

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill								
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version									
% Germination	Comparison		16-3653-4731	16-3653-4731	18 Jul-06 3:05 PM	CETISv1.1.2									
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	PMSD							
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Angular (Corrected)		100	>100	1	N/A	37.51%							
Group Comparisons															
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)									
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	1.30924	1.85955	0.1134	0.37044	Non-Significant Effect									
ANOVA Table															
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)									
Between	0.1700585	0.170059	1	1.71	0.22680	Non-Significant Effect									
Error	0.7936922	0.099212	8												
Total	0.96375073	0.2692700	9												
ANOVA Assumptions															
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)										
Variances	Variance Ratio F	3.65997	23.15450	0.23677	Equal Variances										
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.94392		0.59737	Normal Distribution										
Data Summary															
Original Data			Transformed Data												
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD					
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.88000	0.60000	1.00000	0.17889	1.20581	0.88608	1.34528	0.20635					
100		5	0.64000	0.20000	1.00000	0.35777	0.94500	0.46365	1.34528	0.39477					
Graphics															
															
															

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M HILL	
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version		
Average Height (mm)	Comparison		16-3653-4731	16-3653-4731	18 Jul-06 3:05 PM	CETISv1.2		
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1		
ChV	PMSD	N/A	25.79%					
Group Comparisons								
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)			
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100	-0.2651	1.85955	0.6012	11.7823	Non-Significant Effect		
ANOVA Table								
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)		
Between	7.056003	7.056003	1	0.07	0.79760	Non-Significant Effect		
Error	802.92	100.385	8					
Total	809.975986	107.42100	9					
ANOVA Assumptions								
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)			
Variances	Variance Ratio F	2.53603	23.15450	0.38939	Equal Variances			
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.92698		0.41889	Normal Distribution			
Data Summary								
Original Data								
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	45.68	36.7	55.8	7.5344		
100		5	47.36	36	64	11.998		
Transformed Data								
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	45.68	36.7	55.8	7.5344		
100		5	47.36	36	64	11.998		
Graphics								

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Length (mm)	Comparison		16-3653-4731	16-3653-4731	18 Jul-08 3:05 PM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A		
<b>Group Comparisons</b>									
Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)		
Artificial Soil/Sedi		100	1.02703	1.85955	0.1672	30.563	Non-Significant Effect		
<b>ANOVA Table</b>									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	712.336	712.336	1	1.05	0.33445	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	5402.64	675.33	8						
Total	6114.97565	1387.666	9						
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.42363	23.15450	0.74048	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.96884		0.87988	Normal Distribution				
<b>Data Summary</b>									
			Original Data				Transformed Data		
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	124.62	89.8	153.2	28.167			
100		5	107.74	87.6	148	23.607			
<b>Graphics</b>									

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 4 of 9  
 Report Date: 18 Jul-06 3:05 PM  
 Analysis: 09-4937-3263/B154206psB

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill					
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version						
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison		18-3853-4731	16-3653-4731	18 Jul-06 3:05 PM	CETISv1.1.2						
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV					
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A					
<b>Group Comparisons</b>												
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)							
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100	0.22571	1.85955	0.4135	28.689	Non-Significant Effect						
<b>ANOVA Table</b>												
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)						
Between	30.31542	30.31542	1	0.05	0.82709	Non-Significant Effect						
Error	4760.421	595.0527	8									
Total	4790.73680	625.36809	9									
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>												
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)							
Variances	Variance Ratio F	3.68029	23.15450	0.23489	Equal Variances							
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.89548		0.19531	Normal Distribution							
<b>Data Summary</b>												
Original Data												
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean					
0	Artificial Soils	5	63.903	41.475	82.338	15.946						
100		5	60.421	36.58	110.87	30.591						
Transformed Data												
Graphics												

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		16-3653-4731	16-3653-4731	18 Jul-06 3:05 PM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A		
Group Comparisons									
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	1.53523	1.85955	0.0818	4.15398	Non-Significant Effect			
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	29.40357	29.40357	1	2.36	0.16328	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	99.80294	12.47537	8						
Total	129.206511	41.878938	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	10.51840	23.15450	0.04261	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.95529		0.73115	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary									
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	12.351	7.9275	18.276	4.7733			
100		5	8.9213	7.108	10.887	1.4718			
Transformed Data									
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	12.351	7.9275	18.276	4.7733			
100		5	8.9213	7.108	10.887	1.4718			
Graphics									

# CETIS Analysis Detail



Comparisons: Page 6 of 9  
 Report Date: 18 Jul-06 3:05 PM  
 Analysis: 10-4892-3286/B154206psB

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill			
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version				
Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg)	Comparison		16-3653-4731	16-3653-4731	18 Jul-06 3:05 PM	CETISv1.1.2				
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV			
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A			
<b>Group Comparisons</b>										
Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)			
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100		1.24804	1.85955	0.1237	42.7472	Non-Significant Effect			
<b>ANOVA Table</b>										
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)				
Between	2057.758	2057.758	1	1.56	0.24731	Non-Significant Effect				
Error	10568.92	1321.115	8							
Total	12626.6821	3378.8738	9							
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>										
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)					
Variances	Variance Ratio F	6.72930	23.15450	0.09177	Equal Variances					
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.94554		0.61618	Normal Distribution					
<b>Data Summary</b>		Original Data				Transformed Data				
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	113.23	51.638	158.52	47.962				
100		5	84.544	64.510	103.88	18.489				
<b>Graphics</b>										

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Root WT (Dry, mg)	Comparison		18-3653-4731	18-3653-4731	18 Jul-06 3:05 PM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A		
Group Comparisons									
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100	1.42859	1.85955	0.0955	3.56658	Non-Significant Effect			
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	18.76904	18.76904	1	2.04	0.19088	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	73.573	9.196625	8						
Total	92.3420410	27.965668	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	16.97948	23.15450	0.01787	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.90304		0.23651	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary									
Conc-%		Control Type	Count	Original Data		Transformed Data			
0		Artificial Soil/S	5	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	
100			5	7.8666	3.78	14.108	4.1678	5.1266	
				3.9520	6.24		1.0114	3.9520	
Graphics									

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill			
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version				
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison		18-3653-4731	18-3653-4731	18 Jul-06 3:05 PM	CETISv1.1.2				
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV			
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A			
<b>Group Comparisons</b>										
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedt	100	0.96975	1.85955	0.1803	61.6913	Non-Significant Effect				
<b>ANOVA Table</b>										
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)				
Between	2587.601	2587.601	1	0.94	0.36057	Non-Significant Effect				
Error	22012.2	2751.524	8							
Total	24599.7961	5339.1252	9							
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>										
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)					
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.61984	23.15450	0.65173	Equal Variances					
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.94404		0.59876	Normal Distribution					
<b>Data Summary</b>										
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soils	5	177.14	93.113	240.86	58.331				
100		5	144.97	101.89	208.61	45.832				
<b>Graphics</b>										
										
										

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 9 of 9  
 Report Date: 18 Jul-06 3:05 PM  
 Analysis: 06-4394-4993/B154206psB

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		16-3653-4731	16-3653-4731	18 Jul-06 3:05 PM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A		
Group Comparisons									
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	1.52026	1.85955	0.0835	7.54637	Non-Significant Effect			
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	95.15679	95.15679	1	2.31	0.16893	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	329.3759	41.17199	5						
Total	424.532677	136.32878	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	16.52981	23.15450	0.01878	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.91804		0.34089	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary									
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	20.217	12.223	33.384	8.8117			
100		5	14.048	11.058	15.850	2.1673			
Transformed Data									
Graphics									

## BLUEGRASS GROWTH TEST

Client: Hanford Project

Test Start Date: 01/23/2008

Materials: Day 0 3m Day 12 NJ Day 14 3m Day 18 NJ Day 21 (A) Day 24 3m

CONC.	REPLICATE	# seeds germinated					INITIAL (0 planting)	pH	FINAL (28 days after planting)
		PRE-EMERGENCE (12 days after planting)	EMERGENCE (14 days after planting)	POST- EMERGENCE (16 days after planting)	7-DAYS POST- EMERGENCE (21 days after planting)	14-DAYS POST- EMERGENCE (28 days after planting)			
100%	A	5	5	5	5	5	6.9	6.8	
	B	3	5	6	6	6+5			
	C	1	1	1	1	2			
	D	4	4	6	7	6+5			
	E	4	5	5	5	3			

7-Days Post-Emergence: Selectively thin down to 5 seedlings (leave the 5 tallest seedlings). Describe shoot appearance:

- Replicate A 3 medium in good shape + 1 small w/ 3 green + 1 brown + 1 small 2 shoots green
- Replicate B 5 medium in good shape + 1 small in good shape - small plant removed
- Replicate C 1 medium + 1 small in good shape
- Replicate D 4 medium + 2 small in good shape + 1 small dead - 1 small good shape removed
- Replicate E 3 medium in good shape + 3 small dead plants

14-Days Post-Emergence: Describe shoot appearance:

- Replicate A 3 medium & 1 small plant, all in good shape
- Replicate B 4 medium & 1 small plant, all in good shape
- Replicate C 2 Small plants, 1 browning at tips
- Replicate D 3 medium, 1 small plant all look good
- Replicate E 3 medium plants all look good

Measure Shoot Height:  
(above ground)

	1st Seedling	2nd Seedling	3rd Seedling	4th Seedling	5th Seedling	# of Shoots
Replicate A	44 mm	55 mm	45 mm	29 mm	— mm	11, 14, 19, 5
Replicate B	40 mm	41 mm	45 mm	40 mm	22 mm	8, 11, 17, 19, 5
Replicate C	40 mm	17 mm	— mm	— mm	— mm	4, 17, 9 ms
Replicate D	58 mm	39 mm	22 mm	56 mm	45 mm	15, 19, 4, 16, 15
Replicate E	60 mm	57 mm	45 mm	— mm	— mm	10, 11, 11

Measure Shoot Weight:  
(above ground)

	Tin Tare Wt. (mg)	Wet Wt. (mg)	Dry Wt. (mg)
Replicate A	1007.68	1502.0	1084.10
Replicate B	984.36	1298.9	1041.08
Replicate C	1004.73	1069.5	1025.72
Replicate D	1022.04	1428.1	1104.91
Replicate E	995.72	1249.3	1042.75

Describe root appearance:

- Replicate A
- Replicate B
- Replicate C
- Replicate D "bushy" many branches
- Replicate E

Measure Root Length:  
(longest root)

	1st Seedling	2nd Seedling	3rd Seedling	4th Seedling	5th Seedling
Replicate A	110 mm	95 mm	97 mm	45 mm	— mm
Replicate B	75 mm	86 mm	70 mm	101 mm	45 mm
Replicate C	91 mm	37 mm	— mm	— mm	— mm
Replicate D	89 mm	97 mm	95 mm	65 mm	45 mm
Replicate E	103 mm	95 mm	110 mm	— mm	— mm

Measure Root Weight:  
(longest root)

	Tin Tare Wt. (mg)	Wet Wt. (mg)	Dry Wt. (mg)
Replicate A	1013.67	1919.2	1069.22
Replicate B	968.36	1456.7	1001.71
Replicate C	1011.03	1087.0	1023.96
Replicate D	1006.99	1716.4	1061.36
Replicate E	999.37	1346.2	1022.16

Comments: 90% dry wt./rep. 2-4 wks removed older growing plants (broad leaves)

## CETIS Test Summary

Plant Bioassay - Chronic						CH2M Hill
Test No:	12-6229-3369	Test Type:	Plant Chronic	Duration:	N/A	
Start Date:	25 Jan-08	Protocol:	ASTM E1963-02 (2002)	Species:	Poa sandbergii	
Ending Date:		Dil Water:		Source:		
Setup Date:	25 Jan-08	Brine:				
Comments: recalculated Height and Length data July 18, 2006						
Sample No:	05-1608-3151	Code:	B1542-07	Client:		
Sample Date:	21 Nov-05	Material:	Soil	Project:		
Receive Date:		Source:	Hanford			
Sample Age:	65d 0h	Station:				
Comments: J100V0, E287701						
Comparison Summary						
Analysis	Endpoint	NOEL	LOEL	ChV	PMSD	Method
07-1683-8343	% Germination	100	> 100	N/A	29.15%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
05-9865-5168	Average Height (mm)	100	> 100	N/A	21.88%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
11-1712-0853	Average Length (mm)	< 100	100	N/A	21.06%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
14-2807-8527	Average AG Wt (Wet, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	48.02%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
07-3121-1221	Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	40.28%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
01-1999-8693	Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	61.83%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
11-1044-3638	Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	55.59%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
10-3674-4783	Average Total Wt (Wet, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	55.04%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
09-9540-8099	Average Total Wt (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	45.41%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample

## CETIS Test Summary

<b>% Germination Summary</b>								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.88000	0.60000	1.00000	0.08000	0.17889	20.33%
100		5	0.76000	0.40000	1.00000	0.11662	0.26077	34.31%
<b>Average Height (mm) Summary</b>								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	45.68	36.700	55.8	3.3695	7.5344	16.49%
100		5	41.47	28.5	54	4.1819	9.3510	22.55%
<b>Average Length (mm) Summary</b>								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	124.62	89.800	153.2	12.597	28.187	22.50%
100		5	80.92	64	102.7	6.3638	14.23	17.59%
<b>Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Summary</b>								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	63.903	41.475	82.338	7.1313	15.946	24.95%
100		5	76.856	32.385	123.58	14.88	33.272	43.29%
<b>Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Summary</b>								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	12.351	7.9275	19.276	2.1347	4.7733	38.65%
100		5	14.659	10.595	19.105	1.6121	3.6047	24.59%
<b>Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg) Summary</b>								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	113.23	51.638	158.52	21.449	47.962	42.36%
100		5	123.91	37.985	228.38	30.793	68.855	55.57%
<b>Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg) Summary</b>								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	7.8668	3.78	14.108	1.8639	4.1678	52.98%
100		5	9.1010	6.465	13.887	1.4338	3.2081	35.23%
<b>Average Total Wt. (Wet, mg) Summary</b>								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	177.14	93.113	240.86	26.086	58.331	32.93%
100		5	200.76	70.37	349.96	45.485	101.71	50.66%
<b>Average Total Wt. (Dry, mg) Summary</b>								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	20.217	12.223	33.384	3.9407	8.8117	43.58%
100		5	23.76	17.060	32.992	2.9736	8.6492	27.98%

## CETIS Test Summary

% Germination Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	0.60000	0.80000
100		0.80000	1.00000	0.40000	1.00000	0.60000
Average Height (mm) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	50.6	41.8	55.8	36.7000	43.5
100		43.25	37.6	28.5	44	54
Average Length (mm) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	138.800	142	153.2	99.3000	89.8000
100		84.3000	75.4000	64	78.2	102.7
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	67.2900	55.1900	82.338	73.2233	41.4750
100		123.580	62.9080	32.3850	81.212	84.1934
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	15.1720	10.552	19.278	8.82666	7.92751
100		19.105	11.344	10.5950	16.5740	15.6767
Average Root Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	143.362	140.624	158.524	72.0233	51.6375
100		226.382	97.668	37.985	141.882	115.61
Average Root Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	8.75601	8.39399	14.108	3.77999	4.295
100		13.8875	6.68201	6.465	10.874	7.59666
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	210.652	195.814	240.862	145.247	93.1125
100		349.963	160.578	70.37	223.094	199.803
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	23.9280	18.946	33.384	12.6066	12.2225
100		32.9925	18.0260	17.0801	27.448	23.2733

## CETIS Analysis Detail

### Plant Bioassay - Chronic

CH2M Hill

Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version					
% Germination	Comparison		04-8263-2299	04-8263-2299	18 Jul-06 3:14 PM	CETISv1.1.2					
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	PMSD			
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Angular (Corrected)		100	>100	1	N/A	29.15%			
<b>Group Comparisons</b>											
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)						
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	0.83103	1.85955	0.2150	0.28562	Non-Significant Effect					
<b>ANOVA Table</b>											
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)					
Between	0.0436344	0.043634	1	0.69	0.43008	Non-Significant Effect					
Error	0.5054631	0.063183	8								
Total	0.54909750	0.1068173	9								
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>											
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)						
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.96770	23.15450	0.52822	Equal Variances						
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.90703		0.26121	Normal Distribution						
<b>Data Summary</b>											
		Original Data					Transformed Data				
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.88000	0.60000	1.00000	0.17889	1.20581	0.88608	1.34528	0.20635	
100		5	0.76000	0.40000	1.00000	0.26077	1.07370	0.68472	1.34528	0.28946	
<b>Graphics</b>											

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 1 of 1  
 Report Date: 18 Jul-06 3:18 PM  
 Analysis: 05-9865-5166/B154207psB

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Height (mm)	Comparison		04-8263-2299	04-8263-2299	18 Jul-06 3:18 PM	CETISv1.12			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A		
Group Comparisons									
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)			
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100	0.78392	1.85955	0.2278	9.98662	Non-Significant Effect			
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	44.31025	44.31025	1	0.61	0.45567	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	576.836	72.1045	8						
Total	621.146244	118.41475	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.54037	23.15450	0.68574	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.97738		0.94947	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary									
Conc-%		Original Data				Transformed Data			
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soils	5	45.68	36.7	55.8	7.5344			
100		5	41.47	28.5	54	9.3510			
Graphics									

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Length (mm)	Comparison		04-8263-2299	04-8263-2299	18 Jul-06 3:14 PM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed	<100	100		N/A	21.06%		
Group Comparisons									
Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)		
Artificial Soil/Sedl		100	3.09848	1.85955	0.0074	26.2435	Significant Effect		
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	4774.225	4774.225	1	9.59	0.01474	Significant Effect			
Error	3983.438	497.9294	8						
Total	8757.66064	5272.1545	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	3.91814	23.15450	0.21443	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.96138		0.80147	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary				Original Data					
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	124.62	89.8	153.2	28.167			
100		5	80.92	64	102.7	14.23			
Graphics									



## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison		04-8263-2299	04-8263-2299	18 Jul-06 3:14 PM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A		
Group Comparisons									
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100	-0.785	1.85955	0.7725	30.6833	Non-Significant Effect			
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	419.4125	419.4125	1	0.62	0.45508	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	5445.28	680.68	8						
Total	5864.69229	1100.0725	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variance	Variance Ratio F	4.35362	23.15450	0.18327	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.97222		0.91060	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary									
			Original Data			Transformed Data			
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD			
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	63.903	41.475	82.338	15.946			
100		5	76.856	32.385	123.58	33.272			
Graphics									

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		04-8263-2299	04-8263-2299	18 Jul-06 3:14 PM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A		
<b>Group Comparisons</b>									
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Sol/Sedi	100	-0.8628	1.85955	0.7933	4.97430	Non-Significant Effect			
<b>ANOVA Table</b>									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	13.31844	13.31844	1	0.74	0.41334	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	143.1132	17.88915	8						
Total	156.431646	31.207592	9						
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.75351	23.15450	0.59977	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.91217		0.29621	Normal Distribution				
<b>Data Summary</b>									
		Original Data				Transformed Data			
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Sol/S	5	12.351	7.9275	19.276	4.7733			
100		5	14.659	10.595	19.105	3.6047			
<b>Graphics</b>									

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill					
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version						
Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg)	Comparison		04-8263-2299	04-8263-2299	18 Jul-06 3:14 PM	CETISv1.1.2						
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV					
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A	61.63%				
Group Comparisons												
Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)					
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100		-0.2844	1.85955	0.6083	69.7836	Non-Significant Effect					
ANOVA Table												
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)						
Between	284.6931	284.6931	1	0.08	0.78335	Non-Significant Effect						
Error	28165.79	3520.723	8									
Total	28450.4782	3805.4162	9									
ANOVA Assumptions												
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)							
Variances	Variance Ratio F	2.08099	23.15450	0.50090	Equal Variances							
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.98045		0.96755	Normal Distribution							
Data Summary												
Original Data			Transformed Data									
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	113.23	51.638	156.52	47.962						
100		5	123.91	37.985	226.38	68.855						
Graphics												
												

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill			
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version				
Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg)	Comparison		04-8263-2299	04-8263-2299	18 Jul-06 3:14 PM	CETISv1.1.2				
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units				
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1				
N/A										
55.59%										
Group Comparisons										
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100	-0.5249	1.85965	0.6931	4.37287	Non-Significant Effect				
ANOVA Table										
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)				
Between	3.809574	3.809574	1	0.28	0.61386	Non-Significant Effect				
Error	110.5981	13.82476	8							
Total	114.407681	17.634337	9							
ANOVA Assumptions										
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)					
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.68983	23.15450	0.62375	Equal Variances					
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.92375		0.38925	Normal Distribution					
Data Summary										
Conc-%		Original Data			Transformed Data					
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soils/Sedl	5	7.8666	3.78	14.108	4.1678				
100		5	9.1010	6.465	13.888	3.2061				
Graphics										

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 8 of 9  
 Report Date: 18 Jul-06 3:14 PM  
 Analysis: 10-3674-4783/B154207psB

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill				
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version					
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison		04-8263-2299	04-8263-2299	18 Jul-06 3:14 PM	CETISv1.12					
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV				
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A				
Group Comparisons											
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)					
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100	-0.4505	1.85955	0.6679	97.5044	Non-Significant Effect					
ANOVA Table											
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)					
Between	1395.204	1395.204	1	0.20	0.66428	Non-Significant Effect					
Error	54987.49	6873.436	8								
Total	56382.6920	8268.6398	9								
ANOVA Assumptions											
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)						
Variances	Variance Ratio F	3.04021	23.15450	0.30692	Equal Variances						
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.98099		0.97023	Normal Distribution						
Data Summary				Original Data							
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	
0	Artificial Soils/S	5	177.14	93.113	240.88	58.331					
100		5	200.78	70.37	349.98	101.71					
Graphics											

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill					
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version						
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		04-8263-2299	04-8263-2299	18 Jul-06 3:14 PM	CETISv1.1.2						
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV					
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A					
Group Comparisons												
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)							
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100	-0.7176	1.85955	0.7533	9.18014	Non-Significant Effect						
ANOVA Table												
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)						
Between	31.37398	31.37398	1	0.51	0.49343	Non-Significant Effect						
Error	487.4312	60.9289	8									
Total	518.805197	92.302885	9									
ANOVA Assumptions												
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)							
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.75627	23.15450	0.59878	Equal Variances							
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.91351		0.30598	Normal Distribution							
Data Summary												
Original Data												
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD						
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	20.217	12.223	33.384	8.8117						
100		5	23.76	17.060	32.992	6.6491						
Transformed Data												
Graphics												

## BLUEGRASS GROWTH TEST

Client: Henford Project

Test Start Date: 01/25/2008

Initial Day 0 3pm Day 12 N Day 14 9am Day 18 MT Day 21 3pm  
Day 28 8am

		Sample ID: E289701-SO12 31542-08						
CONC.	REPLICATE	PRE-EMERGENCE (12 days after planting)	EMERGENCE (14 days after planting)	POST- EMERGENCE (18 days after planting) 6/1 23	7-DAYS POST- EMERGENCE (21 days after planting) 7-05	14-DAYS POST- EMERGENCE (28 days after planting) 7-05	INITIAL (# plated)	FINAL (# plated)
100%	A	2	3	4 4 7 5			73	6.8
	B	2	3	5 5 5 5				
	C	3	5	7 7 7 7-05				
	D	1	1	2 4 4 3				
	E	4	4	4 4 7 7-05				

7-Days Post-Emergence: Selectively thin down to 5 seedlings (leave the 8 tallest seedlings). Describe shoot appearance:

Replicate A 3 medium in good shape + 2 small w/ browning edges.

Replicate B 2 medium in good shape + 3 small brown plants - 1 broadleaf plant removed

Replicate C 3 large + 2 medium in good shape - 2 small plants and 1 broadleaf plant removed

Replicate D 1 medium in good shape, 1 small in good shape, 1 small turning brown, 3 broadleaf + 1 very long single stem plant removed

Replicate E 2 large + 3 medium in good shape, 2 small removed + 1 broadleaf plant removed

14-Days Post-Emergence: Describe shoot appearance:

Replicate A 3 medium in good shape - all green + 1 small but green &amp; 1 small brown

Replicate B 1 small in good shape or 1 small w/ 2 browning shoots + 2 small brown

Replicate C 3 large + 2 medium - all green and in good shape

Replicate D 1 medium in good shape, 2 small; one with 2 brown tips on shoots, 1 small brown shoot

Replicate E 1 large + 3 medium - all green &amp; in good shape.

Measure Shoot Height:

(above ground)

	1st Seedling	2nd Seedling	3rd Seedling	4th Seedling	5th Seedling	# of shoots
Replicate A	55.7 mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	46
Replicate B	240.5 mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	26
Replicate C	80.0 mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	68
Replicate D	57.6 mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	22
Replicate E	98.0 mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	84

Measure Shoot Weight:

(above ground)

	Tn Tare WL (mg)	Wet Wt (mg)	Dry Wt (mg)
Replicate A	986.95	1277.6	1038.76
Replicate B	978.08	1040.6	972.01
Replicate C	1013.99	1578.3	1075.87
Replicate D	976.55	1071.9	995.07
Replicate E	1012.66	1879.0	1108.04

Describe root appearance:

Replicate A

Replicate B

Replicate C

Replicate D

Replicate E

Measure Root Length:

(longest root)

	1st Seedling	2nd Seedling	3rd Seedling	4th Seedling	5th Seedling
Replicate A	175 mm	mm	mm	mm	mm
Replicate B	450 mm	mm	mm	mm	mm
Replicate C	178 mm	mm	mm	mm	mm
Replicate D	122 mm	mm	mm	mm	mm
Replicate E	210 mm	mm	mm	mm	mm

Measure Root Weight:

(longest root)

	Tn Tare WL (mg)	Wet Wt (mg)	Dry Wt (mg)
Replicate A	967.35	1731.0	939.02
Replicate B	1024.31	1102.5	1031.92
Replicate C	964.73	1911.4	1003.88
Replicate D	1003.90	1096.2115.2	1091.33
Replicate E	997.67	2177.7	1084.86

Comments:

90% day at replicate, broadleaf plants were 2-4x

## CETIS Test Summary

## Plant Bioassay - Chronic

CH2M Hill

Test No:	04-2827-7585	Test Type:	Plant Chronic	Duration:	N/A
Start Date:	25 Jan-06	Protocol:	ASTM E1963-02 (2002)	Species:	Poa sandbergii
Ending Date:		Dil Water:		Source:	
Setup Date:	25 Jan-06	Brine:			
Comments:	recalculated Height and Length data July 18, 2006				
Sample No:	15-5450-5055	Code:	B1542-08	Client:	
Sample Date:	28 Nov-05	Material:	Soil	Project:	
Receive Date:		Source:	Hanford		
Sample Age:	58d 0h	Station:			
Comments:	J10LJ5, E289701				

## Comparison Summary

Analysis	Endpoint	NOEL	LOEL	ChV	PMSD	Method
08-3098-8743	% Germination	100	> 100	N/A	23.35%	Wilcoxon Rank Sum Two-Sample
17-4986-7382	Average AG Wt (Wet, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	85.91%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
08-3301-7710	Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	55.97%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
09-1519-5887	Average Root Wt (Wet, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	72.60%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
19-1974-3648	Average Root Wt (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	52.48%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
01-8008-0233	Average Total Wt (Wet, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	74.97%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
07-1829-7693	Average Total Wt (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	52.86%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample

## % Germination Summary

Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.88000	0.60000	1.00000	0.08000	0.17889	20.33%
100		5	0.92000	0.60000	1.00000	0.08000	0.17889	19.44%

## Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Summary

Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	63.903	41.475	82.338	7.1313	15.946	24.95%
100		5	78.309	16.504	172.27	28.648	64.058	81.80%

## Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Summary

Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	12.351	7.9275	19.276	2.1347	4.7733	38.85%
100		5	10.963	2.788	19.076	3.0434	8.8052	62.08%

## Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg) Summary

Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	113.23	51.638	158.52	21.449	47.962	42.36%
100		5	127.49	15.638	238.01	38.654	86.433	67.79%

## Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg) Summary

Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	7.8866	3.78	14.108	1.8639	4.1678	52.98%
100		5	5.3735	1.522	8.0380	1.2063	2.8973	50.20%

## Average Total Wt (Wet, mg) Summary

Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	177.14	93.113	240.88	26.086	58.331	32.93%
100		5	205.80	32.142	408.27	66.483	148.66	72.23%

## Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Summary

Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	20.217	12.223	33.384	3.9407	8.8117	43.58%
100		5	16.336	4.3080	27.114	4.1865	9.3612	57.30%

## CETIS Test Summary



Report Date: 18 Jul-06 3:22 PM  
 Test Link: 08-1616-5737/B154208ps8

% Germination Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	0.60000	0.80000
100		1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	0.60000	1.00000
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	67.2900	55.1900	82.338	73.2233	41.4750
100		58.13	16.504	112.862	31.7833	172.268
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	15.1720	10.552	19.276	8.82668	7.92751
100		10.402	2.786	16.3760	6.17334	19.0760
Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	143.362	140.624	158.524	72.0233	51.6375
100		92.7300	15.638	189.334	103.767	236.006
Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	8.75601	8.39399	14.108	3.77999	4.295
100		4.33401	1.522	7.83000	5.14333	8.03800
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	210.652	195.814	240.862	145.247	93.1125
100		150.86	32.142	302.196	135.550	408.274
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	23.9280	18.948	33.384	12.6068	12.2225
100		14.7380	4.30801	24.2060	11.3167	27.1140

# CETIS Analysis Detail

## Plant Bioassay - Chronic

CH2M Hill

Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version					
% Germination	Comparison		08-1618-5737	08-1618-5737	18 Jul-06 3:22 PM	CETISv1.1.2					
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	PMSD			
Wilcoxon Rank Sum Two-Sample	C > T	Rank		100	>100	1	N/A	23.35%			
<b>Group Comparisons</b>											
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Ties	Decision(0.05)					
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100	29.5		0.5794	3	Non-Significant Effect					
<b>ANOVA Table</b>											
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)					
Between	0.0056708	0.005671	1	0.13	0.72399	Non-Significant Effect					
Error	0.3390172	0.042377	8								
Total	0.344688800	0.0480479	9								
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>											
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)						
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.00963	23.15450	0.99281	Equal Variances						
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.71659		0.00140	Non-normal Distribution						
Data Summary			Original Data				Transformed Data				
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.88000	0.60000	1.00000	0.17889	5.10000	1.50000	7.00000	2.65518	
100		5	0.92000	0.60000	1.00000	0.17889	5.90000	1.50000	7.00000	2.45967	
<b>Graphics</b>											
											
											

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M HILL					
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version						
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison		08-1616-5737	08-1616-5737	18 Jul-06 3:22 PM	CETISv1.1.2						
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV					
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A					
PMSD 85.91%												
Group Comparisons												
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)						
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	-0.488	1.85955	0.6807	54.8976	Non-Significant Effect						
ANOVA Table												
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)						
Between	518.847	518.847	1	0.24	0.63866	Non-Significant Effect						
Error	17431.01	2178.877	8									
Total	17949.8607	2697.7238	9									
ANOVA Assumptions												
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)							
Variances	Variance Ratio F	16.13761	23.15450	0.01963	Equal Variances							
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.95324		0.70689	Normal Distribution							
Data Summary												
Original Data												
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean					
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	63.903	41.475	82.338	15.946						
100		5	78.309	18.504	172.27	64.058						
Transformed Data												
Graphics												

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill			
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version				
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		08-1616-5737	08-1616-5737	18 Jul-06 3:22 PM	CETISv1.1.2				
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV			
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A			
Group Comparisons							PMSD			
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)		55.97%			
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	0.37342	1.85955	0.3593	Non-Significant Effect					
ANOVA Table										
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)				
Between	4.817486	4.817486	1	0.14	0.71853	Non-Significant Effect				
Error	276.3788	34.54735	8							
Total	281.19627	39.364834	9							
ANOVA Assumptions										
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)					
Variances	Variance Ratio F	2.03252	23.15450	0.50901	Equal Variances					
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.94217		0.57742	Normal Distribution					
Data Summary							Transformed Data			
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	12.351	7.9275	19.276	4.7733				
100		5	10.963	2.786	19.076	6.8052				
Graphics										

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M HILL			
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version				
Average Root WL (Wet, mg)	Comparison		08-1618-5737	08-1618-5737	18 Jul-06 3:22 PM	CETISv1.1.2				
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units				
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1				
N/A						72.60%				
Group Comparisons										
Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD				
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100		-0.3226	1.85955	0.6224	82.2037				
Non-Significant Effect										
ANOVA Table										
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)				
Between	508.4237	508.4237	1	0.10	0.75527	Non-Significant Effect				
Error	39083.88	4885.484	8							
Total	39592.2987	5393.9081	9							
ANOVA Assumptions										
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)					
Variances	Variance Ratio F	3.24754	23.15450	0.28037	Equal Variances					
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.97884		0.95867	Normal Distribution					
Data Summary										
Original Data			Transformed Data							
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	113.23	51.638	158.52	47.962				
100		5	127.49	15.638	238.01	86.433				
Graphics										

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Root Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		08-1616-5737	08-1616-5737	18 Jul-06 3:22 PM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A		
Group Comparisons									
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	1.12295	1.85955	0.1470	4.1285	Non-Significant Effect			
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	15.53922	15.53922	1	1.26	0.29403	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	98.58252	12.32281	8						
Total	114.121741	27.662037	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	2.38753	23.15450	0.41996	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.94036		0.55702	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary				Original Data					
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	7.8666	3.78	14.108	4.1678			
100		5	5.3735	1.522	8.038	2.6973			
Transformed Data									
Graphics									

## CETIS Analysis Detail

**Comparisons:** \* Page 6 of 7  
**Report Date:** 18 Jul-06 3:22 PM  
**Analysis:** 01-8008-0233/B154208psB

### **Plant Bioassay - Chronic**

CH<sub>2</sub>M H<sub>11</sub>

Endpoint	Analysis Type	Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison	08-1616-5737	08-1616-5737	18 Jul-06 3:22 PM	CETISv1.1.2

Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	PMSD
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A	74.97%

## Group Comparisons

Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)
Artificial Sci/Sedi	100		-0.4014	1.85955	0.6507	132.804	Non-Significant Effect

## **ANOVA Table**

Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)
Between	2054.488	2054.488	1	0.16	0.69863	Non-Significant Effect
Error	102008.6	12751.07	8			
Total	104063.043	14805.558	9			



## ANOVA Assumptions

Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)
Variances	Variance Ratio F	6.49508	23.15450	0.09731	Equal Variances
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.98356		0.98135	Normal Distribution

## Data Summary

Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	177.14	93.113	240.88	58.331				
100		5	205.80	32.142	408.27	148.65				

## Graphics -



## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill					
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version						
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		08-1616-5737	08-1616-5737	18 Jul-06 3:22 PM	CETISv1.1.2						
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV					
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A					
Group Comparisons												
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)						
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	0.67508	1.85955	0.2593	10.6913	Non-Significant Effect						
ANOVA Table												
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)						
Between	37.66095	37.66095	1	0.46	0.51866	Non-Significant Effect						
Error	661.1147	82.63934	8									
Total	698.7757	120.3003	9									
ANOVA Assumptions												
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)							
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.12860	23.15450	0.90949	Equal Variances							
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.94792		0.64398	Normal Distribution							
Data Summary												
Original Data												
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean					
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	20.217	12.223	33.384	8.8117						
100		5	16.338	4.3080	27.114	9.3612						
Transformed Data												
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean					
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	20.217	12.223	33.384	8.8117						
100		5	16.338	4.3080	27.114	9.3612						
Graphics												

## BLUEGRASS GROWTH TEST

Client: Harford Project

Initial: Day 0 Brown Day 12 NJ Day 14 Brown Day 18 MT Day 21 MT  
Test Start Date: 01/25/2006  
200  
Day 28 Brown

Sample ID: E295301-SO12

Test Start Date: 01/25/2006  
200  
Day 28 Brown

CONC.	REPLICATE	PRE-EMERGENCE (12 days after planting)	EMERGENCE (14 days after planting)	POST- EMERGENCE (16 days after planting)	7-DAYS POST- EMERGENCE (18 days after planting)	14-DAYS POST- EMERGENCE (28 days after planting)	PH	
							# seeds germinated	alive
100%	A	1	1	1	1	2	7.4	7.2
	B	1	1	3	3	3		
	C	0	2	2	3	3		
	D	0	1	1	2	3		
	E	0	2	3	5	3		

PH 7.1

- 7-Days Post-Emergence: Selectively thin down to 5 seedlings (leave the 5 tallest seedlings). Describe shoot appearance:
- Replicate A 1 medium + 1 small (only 2 shoots) w/ green color - 1 broadleaf plant removed
  - Replicate B 1 large + 2 small - all w/ green color
  - Replicate C 2 medium + 1 small - green color
  - Replicate D 3 medium plants w/ green color + 1 seedling just emerging
  - Replicate E 1 medium + 1 small w/green color + 1 small brown single shoot.

14-Days Post-Emergence: Describe shoot appearance:

- Replicate A 2 small plants / looks poor only 3 shoots / brown
- Replicate B 2 small / large - small look poor / large look good
- Replicate C 2 medium / small look good
- Replicate D 2 medium look good
- Replicate E 3 plants / small / medium

Measure Shoot Height:

(above ground)

	1st Seedling	2nd Seedling	3rd Seedling	4th Seedling	5th Seedling	# Shoots/植株
Replicate A	40 mm	27 mm	— mm	— mm	— mm	2/10
Replicate B	20 mm	21 mm	68 mm	— mm	— mm	2/2/19
Replicate C	46 mm	50 mm	15 mm	— mm	— mm	12/17/3
Replicate D	55 mm	46 mm	— mm	— mm	— mm	8/14/1
Replicate E	36 mm	29 mm	— mm	— mm	— mm	10/4/5 ms

Measure Shoot Weight:

(above ground)

	Tot Tare Wt. (mg)	Wet Wt. (mg)	Dry Wt. (mg)
Replicate A	974.32	1014.3	981.89
Replicate B	982.82	1204.1	1019.02
Replicate C	989.34	1196.7	1022.48
Replicate D	986.00	1127.6	1009.69
Replicate E	983.66	1031.8	992.79

Describe root appearance:

Replicate A

Replicate B

Replicate C

Replicate D

Replicate E

1 large root system - pale & color thru normal, 2 small ccc.

Measure Root Length:

(longest root)

	1st Seedling	2nd Seedling	3rd Seedling	4th Seedling	5th Seedling
Replicate A	55 mm	122 mm	— mm	— mm	— mm
Replicate B	209 mm	33 mm	32 mm	— mm	— mm
Replicate C	100 mm	27 mm	110 mm	— mm	— mm
Replicate D	93 mm	2234 mm	104 mm	— mm	— mm
Replicate E	80 mm	60 mm	— mm	— mm	— mm

Measure Root Weight:

(longest root)

	Tot Tare Wt. (mg)	Wet Wt. (mg)	Dry Wt. (mg)
Replicate A	1018.20	1130.0	1025.72
Replicate B	1016.76	1310.8	1030.89
Replicate C	1028.37	1398.6	1044.24
Replicate D	1008.21	1293.4	1074.74
Replicate E	1017.37	1119.6	1023.91

Comments:

85 g dry wt. 1kg, broad leafed seedlings removed 2-14-06

-106-

## CETIS Test Summary

Plant Bioassay - Chronic				CH2M Hill		
Test No:	08-0327-1621	Test Type:	Plant Chronic	Duration: N/A		
Start Date:	25 Jan-06	Protocol:	ASTM E1963-02 (2002)	Species: Poa sandbergii		
Ending Date:		Dil Water:		Source:		
Setup Date:	25 Jan-06	Brine:				
Comments:	recalculated Height and Length data July 18, 2006					
Sample No:	07-3184-0122	Code:	B1542-09	Client:		
Sample Date:	08 Dec-05	Material:	Soil	Project:		
Receive Date:		Source:	Hanford			
Sample Age:	50d 0h	Station:				
Comments:	J100T9, E295301					
Comparison Summary						
Analysis	Endpoint	NOEL	LOEL	ChV	PMSD	Method
03-9052-5158	% Germination	< 100	100	N/A	18.38%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
02-7014-0591	Average Height (mm)	100	> 100	N/A	18.77%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
02-3798-4672	Average Length (mm)	< 100	100	N/A	20.14%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
17-3204-0544	Average AG Wt (Wet, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	40.33%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
05-2477-1090	Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	42.47%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
04-2228-1027	Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	45.20%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
03-5331-7481	Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	48.68%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
06-4830-6917	Average Total Wt (Wet, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	40.21%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
04-0188-8910	Average Total Wt (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	43.16%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample

## CETIS Test Summary

% Germination Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.88000	0.60000	1.00000	0.08000	0.17889	20.33%
100		5	0.48000	0.40000	0.60000	0.04689	0.10854	22.82%
Average Height (mm) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	45.68	38.700	55.8	3.3695	7.5344	16.49%
100		5	37.86	32.5	50	3.1487	7.0408	18.60%
Average Length (mm) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	124.62	89.800	153.2	12.597	28.167	22.60%
100		5	85.160	70	98	4.8468	10.842	12.73%
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	63.903	41.475	82.338	7.1313	15.946	24.95%
100		5	51.001	19.99	73.78	11.883	28.571	52.10%
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	12.351	7.9275	19.276	2.1347	4.7733	38.65%
100		5	8.6617	3.7850	12.067	1.8438	4.1225	47.59%
Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	113.23	51.638	158.52	21.449	47.962	42.36%
100		5	80.707	51.115	145.1	17.251	38.574	42.53%
Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	7.8666	3.78	14.108	1.8639	4.1678	52.98%
100		5	5.059	3.27	8.265	0.8758	1.9584	38.71%
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	177.14	83.113	240.86	26.086	58.331	32.93%
100		5	141.71	75.185	213.39	28.05	62.721	44.26%
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	20.217	12.223	33.384	3.9407	8.8117	43.58%
100		5	13.721	7.5450	20.11	2.5474	5.6962	41.52%

## CETIS Test Summary

% Germination Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	0.60000	0.80000
100		0.40000	0.60000	0.60000	0.40000	0.40000
Average Height (mm) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	50.8	41.8	55.8	35.7000	43.5
100		33.5	38.3	37	50	32.5
Average Length (mm) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	138.800	142	153.2	99.3000	89.8000
100		88.5	90.3000	79	98	70
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	67.2900	55.1900	82.338	73.2233	41.4750
100		19.99	73.76	68.8887	68.3	24.0700
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	15.1720	10.552	19.276	8.82666	7.92751
100		3.78500	12.0667	11.0467	11.8450	4.56500
Average Root Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	143.362	140.624	158.524	72.0233	51.6375
100		55.9	98.0133	103.41	145.095	51.115
Average Root Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	8.75601	8.39399	14.108	3.77999	4.295
100		3.75998	4.71000	5.29	8.26498	3.26999
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	210.652	195.814	240.862	145.247	93.1125
100		75.89	171.773	172.297	213.395	75.185
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	23.9280	18.948	33.384	12.6066	12.2225
100		7.54501	16.7767	16.3366	20.11	7.83502

-112-

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
% Germination	Comparison		06-6325-6028	06-6326-6028	18 Jul-06 3:46 PM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance   Two-Sample	C > T.	Angular (Corrected)	<100	100		N/A	18.38%		
Group Comparisons									
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedi 100	4.21032	1.85955	0.0015	0.19458	Significant Effect				
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	0.4852164	0.485216	1	17.73	0.00295	Significant Effect			
Error	0.2189753	0.027372	8						
Total	0.70419164	0.5125883	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	3.50066	23.15450	0.25233	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.81229		0.02044	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary									
			Original Data				Transformed Data		
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.88000	0.60000	1.00000	0.17889	1.20581		
100		5	0.48000	0.40000	0.60000	0.10954	0.76526		
Graphics									

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M HILL					
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version						
Average Height (mm)	Comparison		06-6326-6028	06-6326-6028	18 Jul-06 3:46 PM	CETISv1.1.2						
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	PMSD				
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A	18.77%				
Group Comparisons												
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)							
Artificial Soil/Sedi 100	1.69568	1.85955	0.0642	8.57572	Non-Significant Effect							
ANOVA Table												
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)						
Between	152.881	152.881	1	2.88	0.12839	Non-Significant Effect						
Error	425.36	53.16999	8									
Total	578.240936	206.05098	9									
ANOVA Assumptions												
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)							
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.14512	23.15450	0.89868	Equal Variances							
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.90260		0.23393	Normal Distribution							
Data Summary												
Original Data												
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD						
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	45.68	36.7	55.8	7.5344						
100		5	37.86	32.5	50	7.0408						
Transformed Data												
Graphics												

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill			
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version				
Average Length (mm)	Comparison		06-6326-6028	06-6326-6028	18 Jul-06 3:46 PM	CETISv1.1.2				
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV			
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed	<100	100	N/A		PMSD 20.14%			
Group Comparisons										
Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)			
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100		2.92349	1.85953	0.0096	25.0994	Significant Effect			
ANOVA Table										
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)				
Between	3892.729	3892.729	1	8.55	0.01919	Significant Effect				
Error	3643.699	455.4624	8							
Total	7536.42847	4348.1914	9							
ANOVA Assumptions										
Attribute	Test		Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F		6.74906	23.15450	0.09132	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W		0.95907		0.77517	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary										
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Original Data			Transformed Data				
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
100		5	124.62	89.8	153.2	28.167				
			85.160	70	98	10.842				
Graphics										

# CETIS Analysis Detail

## Plant Bioassay - Chronic

CH2M Hill

Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version		
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison		06-6326-6028	06-6326-6028	18 Jul-08 3:46 PM	CETISv1.1.2		
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A	40.33%

## Group Comparisons

Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100		0.93097	1.85955	0.1896	25.7707	Non-Significant Effect

## ANOVA Table



Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)
Between	416.1495	416.1495	1	0.87	0.37911	Non-Significant Effect
Error	3841.203	480.1504	8			
Total	4257.35239	896.29987	9			

## ANOVA Assumptions

Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)
Variances	Variance Ratio F	2.77655	23.15450	0.34643	Equal Variances
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.87112		0.10300	Normal Distribution

Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Original Data				Transformed Data			
			Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/Sedi	5	63.903	41.475	82.338	15.946				
100		5	51.001	19.99	73.76	26.571				

## Graphics



# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		06-6326-6028	06-6326-6028	18 Jul-06 3:46 PM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed	100	>100	1	N/A	42.47%		
Group Comparisons									
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	1.30792	1.85955	0.1136	Non-Significant Effect				
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	34.02485	34.02485	1	1.71	0.22723	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	159.1186	19.88983	8						
Total	193.143456	53.914575	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.34066	23.15450	0.78323	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.88902		0.14132	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary									
Conc-%		Control Type	Count	Original Data	Transformed Data				
0		Artificial Soil/S	5	Mean: 12.351, Min: 7.9273, Max: 19.278, SD: 4.7733	Mean:	Minimum:	Maximum:		
100			5	Mean: 8.6617, Min: 3.7850, Max: 12.067, SD: 4.1225	Mean:	Minimum:	Maximum:		
Graphics									

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg)	Comparison		06-6326-6028	06-6326-6028	18 Jul-06 3:46 PM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A		
Group Comparisons									
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedi 100	0.81841	1.85955	0.2184	51.1858	Non-Significant Effect				
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	1268.721	1268.721	1	0.67	0.43682	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	15153.4	1894.175	8						
Total	16422.1190	3162.8954	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.54599	23.15450	0.68325	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.91570		0.32247	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary									
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Original Data			Transformed Data			
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
100		5	90.707	51.115	145.1	38.574	Minimum		
							Maximum		
							SD		

Graphics	
<p>Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg)</p> <p>Conc-%</p>	<p>Centered Untransformed</p> <p>Ranks</p>

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic

CH2M Hill

Endpoint	Analysis Type	Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version						
Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg)	Comparison	06-6328-6028	06-6328-6028	18 Jul-06 3:46 PM	CETISv1.1.2						
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	PMSD			
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A	48.68%			
<b>Group Comparisons</b>											
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)					
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100	1.36331	1.85955	0.1050	3.82955	Non-Significant Effect					
<b>ANOVA Table</b>											
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)					
Between	19.70663	19.70663	1	1.86	0.20991	Non-Significant Effect					
Error	84.82248	10.60281	8								
Total	104.529087	30.309438	9								
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>											
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)						
Variances	Variance Ratio F	4.52895	23.15450	0.17261	Equal Variances						
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.94997		0.66819	Normal Distribution						
<b>Data Summary</b>											
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	7.8666	3.78	14.108	4.1678					
100		5	5.059	3.27	8.265	1.9584					
<b>Graphics</b>											

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version	
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison		06-6326-6028	06-6326-6028	18 Jul-06 3:48 PM	CETISv1.1.2	
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	
Group Comparisons							
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)		
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	0.92492	1.85955	0.1910	712307	Non-Significant Effect	
ANOVA Table							
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)	
Between	3138.11	3138.11	1	0.86	0.38206	Non-Significant Effect	
Error	29346.01	3668.251	8				
Total	32484.1157	6806.3606	9				
ANOVA Assumptions							
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)		
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.15620	23.15450	0.89153	Equal Variances		
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.88991		0.16917	Normal Distribution		
Data Summary							
			Original Data			Transformed Data	
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	177.14	93.113	240.88	58.331	
100		5	141.71	75.165	213.4	62.721	
Graphics							

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		08-6326-6028	08-6326-6028	18 Jul-06 3:48 PM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A		
Group Comparisons									
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedi 100	1.38453	1.85955	0.1018	8.72574	Non-Significant Effect				
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	105.52	105.52	1	1.92	0.20359	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	440.3715	55.04644	8						
Total	545.891441	160.66639	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	2.39308	23.15450	0.41876	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.91918		0.35017	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary									
			Original Data				Transformed Data		
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	20.217	12.223	33.384	8.8117			
100		5	13.721	7.5450	20.11	5.6962			
Graphics									

**APPENDIX B**  
**CHAIN OF CUSTODY**

E 2748

Washington Closure Hanford		CHAIN OF CUSTODY/SAMPLE ANALYSIS REQUEST					RC-051-3	Page 1 of 1	
Collector COLLUM	Company Contact JOAN KESSNER	Telephone No. 375-4688		Project Coordinator KESSNER, JH		Price Code 8L	Data Turnaround 45 Days		
Project Designation 100 & 300 Area Component of the RCBRA - Incremental So	Sampling Location 600-131		SAF No. RC-051						
Ice Chest No.	Field Logbook No. EL-1596		COA BESRAS6520		Method of Shipment				
Shipped To CH2MHILL	Offsite Property No. A060151		Bill of Lading/Air Bill No.						
POSSIBLE SAMPLE HAZARDS/REMARKS <b>NONE</b>		Preservation	None	None					
Special Handling and/or Storage <b>NONE</b>		Type of Container	G/P	P/G					
		No. of Container(s)	1	1					
		Volume	1000g	2000g 400cc	500g 100cc	11/8/05			
SAMPLE ANALYSIS 11-1-05 LAC			See Item (1) in Special Instructions.	Soil Plant Toughy ASTM E1963; Soil Nernstode Toughy ASTM E2172					
Sample No.	Matrix *	Sample Date	Sample Time						
J10DW0- J10DW4	SOIL	10-31-05	1530	X	X				
J10DW0-	SOIL								
J10DW1-	SOIL								
J10DW2	SOIL								
J10DW3	SOIL								
CHAIN OF POSSESSION				Sign/Print Names				SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS	Matrix *
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time	(1) Particle Size (Dry Sieve) - D422; Moisture Content - D2216; TOC - 9060; pH (Soil) - 9045; Nitrogen by Kjeldahl - 351.2; Ammonia - 350.3; IC Anions - 300.0; Percent Solids  Biocassay ID = B1542-01				B=Soil S=Solid D=Solid G=Gravel W=Water O=Oil A=Air O=Organic Solids L=Organic Liquids T=Toxic W=Wipe L=Liquid V=Vegetation X=Other	
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time						
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time						
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time						
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time						
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time						
LABORATORY SECTION	Received By	Title				Date/Time			
FINAL SAMPLE DISPOSITION	Disposal Method	Disposed By				Date/Time			

E 2801

Washington Closure Hanford		CHAIN OF CUSTODY/SAMPLE ANALYSIS REQUEST				RC-051-4	Page. 1 of 1	
Collector J. COLLOM	Company Contact JOAN KESSNER	Telephone No. 375-4688		Project Coordinator KESSNER, JH		Price Code 8L	Data Turnaround 45 Days	
Project Designation 100 & 300 Area Component of the RCBRA - Incremental So	Sampling Location PIT 23			SAF No. RC-051		Air Quality 1		
Ice Chest No.	Field Logbook No. EL-1596		COA BESRAS6520		Method of Shipment			
Shipped To CH2MHILL	Offsite Property No. A060131			Bill of Lading/Air Bill No.				
POSSIBLE SAMPLE HAZARDS/REMARKS NONE		Preservation	None	None				
Special Handling and/or Storage NONE		Type of Container	G/P	PQ				
		No. of Container(s)	1	1				
		Volume	1000g	3000g 1000g	2/10/05 11-8-05			
SAMPLE ANALYSIS				See Item (1) in Special Instructions:	Soil Plant Toxicity ASTM E1961; Soil Nematode Toxicity ASTM E2122			
Sample No.	Matrix *	Sample Date	Sample Time					
J10DV4	SOIL	11-8-05	16:00	1	1			-1
J10DV5	SOIL							
J10DV6	SOIL							
J10DV7	SOIL							
J10DV8	SOIL							
CHAIN OF POSSESSION				Sign/Print Names				
Relinquished By/Removed From <i>Elizabeth M. Tippins</i>	Date/Time 11-9-05 12:00	Received By/Stored In <i>Melody Johnson</i>	Date/Time 11-9-05 12:00	SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS This chain of custody form documents the transfer of bulk field collected soils to the CH2M Hill Corvallis laboratory for incremental preparation and aliquoting.				
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time	(1) Particle Size (Dry Sieve) - D422; Moisture Content - D2216; TOC - 9060; pH (Soil) - 9045; Nitrogen by Kjeldahl - 3312; Ammonia - 3503; IC Anions - 3000; Percent Solids				
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time	<i>ST 11/10/05 as per Rich Weinstock</i>				
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time	<i>B. davison ID = B1542-02</i>				
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time					
LABORATORY SECTION	Received By	Title			Date/Time			
FINAL SAMPLE DISPOSITION	Disposal Method	Disposed By			Date/Time			

\* Matrix  
 S=Soil  
 L=Leachate  
 SO=Soil  
 ST=Storage  
 W=Water  
 O=Oil  
 A=Air  
 DS=Dry Solids  
 DL=Dry Liquids  
 T=Trace  
 WH=Wipe  
 L=Liquid  
 V=Vigorous  
 X=Other

E2P31

Washington Closure Hanford		CHAIN OF CUSTODY/SAMPLE ANALYSIS REQUEST				RC-051-9	Page 1 of 1
Collector I. COLIJOM	Company Contact JOAN KESSNER	Telephone No. 375-4688	Project Coordinator KESSNER, JII		Price Code 8L	Data Turnaround	
Project Designation 100 & 300 Area Component of the RCRA - Incremental Su	Sampling Location Upland Backfill Elevated-100-F-2			SAF No. RC-051	Air Quality	45 Days	
Ice Chest No.	Field Logbook No. EL-1596	COA BESRAS6520		Method of Shipment			
Shipped To CH2MHILL	Offsite Property No. A060151			Bill of Lading/Air Bill No.			
POSSIBLE SAMPLE HAZARDS/REMARKS <i>Potentially Radioactive.</i>  Special Handling and/or Storage <i>NONE</i>	Preservation	None	None				
	Type of Container	G/P	P/G				
	No. of Container(s)	1	1				
	Volume	1000g	4000g				
SAMPLE ANALYSIS				See Item (1) in Special Instructions.	Soil Plant Toxicity ASTM E1902; Soil Nonradioac- ticity ASTM E2172		
Sample No.	Matrix *	Sample Date	Sample Time				
J10DT8	SOIL	11/14/05	17:21	1			
CHAIN OF POSSESSION		Sign/Print Names		SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS			Matrix *
Released By/Removed From <i>Elizabeth Tepper</i>	Date/Time 11/15/05	Received By/Stored In <i>Sanya Karmann</i>	Date/Time 11/15/05	Particle Size (Dry Sieve) - D422; Moisture Content - D2216; TOC - 9060; pH (Soil) - 9045; Nitrogen by Kjeldahl - 351.3; Ammonia - 350.3; IC Anions - 300.0; Percent Solids Process ID = B1542-03			S=Soil SG=Soilogen SGG=Soilogen SL=Storage W=Water O=Oil AV=Air DB=Dust/Solids DL=Dust/Liquids T=Toxics BL=Type L=Liquid V=Vegetation X=Other
Retained By/Removed From <i>Elizabeth Tepper</i>	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time				
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time				
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time				
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time				
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time				
LABORATORY SECTION	Received By	Title				Date/Time	
FINAL SAMPLE DISPOSITION	Disposal Method	Disposed By				Date/Time	

E 2846

Washington Closure Hanford		CHAIN OF CUSTODY/SAMPLE ANALYSIS REQUEST				RC-051-15	Page 1 of 1	
Collector LICOLLOM	Company Contact JOAN KESSNER	Telephone No. 375-4688	Project Coordinator KESSNER, JH		Price Code 8L	Data Turnaround 45 Days		
Project Designation 100 & 300 Area Component of the RCBRA - Incremental So	Sampling Location Upland Native Reference-Central Plateau			SAF No. RC-051	Air Quality			
Ice Chest No.	Field Logbook No. EL-1596	COA BESRAS6520	Method of Shipment					
Shipped To CH2MHILL	Offsite Property No. AO60151			Bill of Lading/Air Bill No.				
POSSIBLE SAMPLE HAZARDS/REMARKS <i>NONE</i>		Preservation	None	None				
Special Handling and/or Storage <i>NONE</i>		Type of Container	G/P	P/G				
		No. of Container(s)	1	1				
		Volume	1000g	4000g				
SAMPLE ANALYSIS			See box (1) in Special Instructions	Soil Plant Toxicity ASTM E1963; Soil Nematode Toxicity ASTM E2172				
Sample No.	Matrix *	Sample Date	Sample Time					
J10DV2	SOIL	11-15-05	20:00	1	1		7	
CHAIN OF POSSESSION		Sign/Print Names		SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS				
Relinquished By/Removed From <i>Elizabeth Tepper</i>	Date/Time <i>14:30</i>	Received By/Stored In <i>NA</i>	Date/Time	This chain of custody form documents the transfer of bulk field collected soils to the CH2M Hill Corvallis laboratory for incremental preparation and aliquoting.				
Relinquished By/Removed From <i>Elizabeth Tepper</i>	Date/Time <i>11-16-05</i>	Received By/Stored In <i>Vacuum Linkank</i>	Date/Time <i>11/16/05 11:10:00</i>	(1) Particle Size (Dry Sieve) - D422; Moisture Content - D2216; TOC - 9060; pH (Soil) - 9045; Nitrogen by Kjeldahl - 351.2; Ammonia - 350.3; IC Anions - 300.0; Percent Solids				
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time	<i>Bioassay ID = B1542-04</i>				
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time					
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time					
LABORATORY SECTION	Received By	Title			Date/Time			
FINAL SAMPLE DISPOSITION	Disposal Method	Disposed By			Date/Time			

E 2847

Washington Closure Hanford		CHAIN OF CUSTODY/SAMPLE ANALYSIS REQUEST				RC-051-12	Page 1 of 1	
Collector L COLLOM	Commanv Contact JOAN KESSNER	Telephone No. 375-4688		Project Coordinator KESSNER, JH		Price Code 8L	Data Turnaround 45 Days	
Project Designation 100 & 300 Area Component of the RCRA - Incremental So	Sampling Location Upland Backfill Low-116-DR-1&2			SAF No. RC-051				
Ice Chest No.	Field Logbook No. EL-1596	COA BESRAS6520		Method of Shipment				
Shipped To CH2MHILL	Offsite Property No. A000151			Bill of Lading/Air Bill No.				
POSSIBLE SAMPLE HAZARDS/REMARKS <i>NONE</i>		Preservation	None	None				
Special Handling and/or Storage <i>NONE</i>		Type of Container	G/P	P/G				
		No. of Container(s)	1	1				
		Volume	1000g	4000g				
SAMPLE ANALYSIS				See Item (1) in Special Instructions.	Soil Plant Toxicity ASTM E1964; Soil Microtoxicity ASTM D3172			
Sample No.	Matrix *	Sample Date	Sample Time					
J100V1	SOIL	11-15-05	20:05	1	1		-1	
CHAIN OF POSSESSION		Sign/Print Names		SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS			Matrix *	
Relinquished By/Removed From Elizabeth Tepper 14:30	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In CWP	Date/Time	This chain of custody form documents the transfer of bulk field collected soils to the CH2M Hill Corvallis laboratory for incremental preparation and aliquoting.			<i>B=Soil S=Soil G=Solid P=Sludge W = Water O=Oil A=Air D=Dry Solids L=Other Liquids T=Toxic V=Volatile X=Other</i>	
Relinquished By/Removed From Elizabeth Tepper 11-16-05	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In Elizabeth Tepper 14:30	Date/Time	(1) Particle Size (Dry Sieve) - D422; Moisture Content - D2216; TOC - 9060; pH (Soil) - 9045; Nitrogen by Kjeldahl - 351.2; Ammonia - 350.3; IC Anions - 300.0; Percent Solids				
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time					
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time					
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time					
LABORATORY SECTION	Received By	Title				Date/Time		
FINAL SAMPLE DISPOSITION	Disposal Method	Disposed By				Date/Time		

E2857

NWashington Closure Hanford		CHAIN OF CUSTODY/SAMPLE ANALYSIS REQUEST				RC-051-16	Page 1 of 1																																					
Collector L COLLOM		Company Contact JOAN KESSNER		Telephone No. 375-4688		Project Coordinator KESSNER, JH	Price Code 8L	Data Turnaround																																				
Project Designation 100 & 300 Area Component of the RCRA - Incremental So		Sampling Location Upland Native Elevated-JA Jones				SAF No. RC-051	Air Quality 1	45 Days																																				
Ice Chest No.		Field Logbook No. EL-1596		COA BESRAS6520		Method of Shipment																																						
Shipped To CH2MHILL		Offsite Property No. A060151				Bill of Lading/Air Bill No.																																						
POSSIBLE SAMPLE HAZARDS/REMARKS <i>NONE</i>		<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Preservation</td> <td>None</td> <td>None</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Type of Container</td> <td>G/P</td> <td>P/G</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>No. of Container(s)</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Volume</td> <td>1000g</td> <td>4000g</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </table>				Preservation	None	None							Type of Container	G/P	P/G							No. of Container(s)	1	1							Volume	1000g	4000g									
Preservation	None	None																																										
Type of Container	G/P	P/G																																										
No. of Container(s)	1	1																																										
Volume	1000g	4000g																																										
Special Handling and/or Storage <i>NONE</i>																																												
SAMPLE ANALYSIS				See Item (1) in Special Instructions	Soil Plans Toxicity ASTM E1943; Soil Normmode Toxicity ASTM E2172																																							
Sample No.	Matrix*	Sample Date	Sample Time																																									
J10DV3	SOIL	11/16/05	15:27																																									
CHAIN OF POSSESSION		Sign/Print Names				SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS																																						
Relinquished By/Removed From <i>Elizabeth Pepper</i>	Date/Time <i>11/17/05</i>	Received By/Stored In <i>WTA</i>					This chain of custody form documents the transfer of bulk field collected soils to the CH2M Hill Corvallis laboratory for incremental preparation and aliquoting.																																					
Relinquished By/Removed From <i>Elizabeth Pepper CH2MHILL</i>	Date/Time <i>11/17/05 10:15</i>	Received By/Stored In <i>Melody Lechner</i>					(1) Particle Size (Dry Sieve) - D422; Moisture Content - D2216; TOC - 9060; pH (Soil) - 9045; Nitrogen by Kjeldahl - 351.2; Ammonia - 350.3; IC Anions - 300.0; Percent Solids																																					
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In					<i>B1assay ID = B1542-06</i>																																					
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In																																										
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In																																										
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In																																										
LABORATORY SECTION	Received By				Title				Date/Time																																			
FINAL SAMPLE POSITION	Disposal Method				Disposed By				Date/Time																																			

52877

Washington Closure Hanford		CHAIN OF CUSTODY/SAMPLE ANALYSIS REQUEST				RC-051-11	Page 1 of 1			
Collector L. COLLUM		Company Contact JOAN KESSNER	Telephone No. 375-4688	Project Coordinator KESSNER, JH		Price Code 8L	Date Turnaround 45 Days			
Project Designation 100 & 300 Area Component of the RCBRA - Incremental So		Sampling Location Riparian Elevated-Site #3 Upriver 100-D			SAF No. RC-051					
Ice Chest No.		Field Logbook No. EL-1596	COA BESRAS6520	Method of Shipment						
Shipped To CH2MHILL		Offsite Property No. A060151			Bill of Lading/Air Bill No.					
POSSIBLE SAMPLE HAZARDS/REMARKS <i>NONE</i>		Preservation	None	None						
Special Handling and/or Storage <i>NONE</i>		Type of Container	G/P	P/G						
		No. of Container(s)	1	1						
		Volume	1000g	4000g 400cf	ST	11-21-05				
SAMPLE ANALYSIS				Spec Item (1) or Special Instructions	Soil Plant Toxicity ASTM E1962; Soil Membrane Toxicity ASTM E7172					
Sample No.	Matrix *	Sample Date	Sample Time							
J10DVO	SOIL	11-21-05	16:00		1					
CHAIN OF POSSESSION										
Relinquished By/Removed From <i>Elizabeth Tepper</i>		Date/Time <i>11/21/05</i>	Received By/Stored In <i>CH2MHILL</i>		Date/Time <i>NDT</i>	Sign/Print Names				
Relinquished By/Removed From <i>Elizabeth Tepper</i>		Date/Time <i>11/21/05</i>	Received By/Stored In <i>CH2MHILL</i>		Date/Time <i>11/22/05</i>	Sign/Print Names				
Relinquished By/Removed From		Date/Time	Received By/Stored In		Date/Time					
Relinquished By/Removed From		Date/Time	Received By/Stored In		Date/Time					
Relinquished By/Removed From		Date/Time	Received By/Stored In		Date/Time					
LABORATORY SECTION		Received By				Title				
FINAL SAMPLE DISPOSITION		Disposal Method				Disposed By				

## SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS

This chain of custody form documents the transfer of bulk field collected soils to the CH2M Hill Corvallis laboratory for incremental preparation and aliquoting.

(1) Particle Size (Dry Sieve) - D422; Moisture Content - D2216; TOC - 9060; pH (Soil) - 9045; Nitrogen by Kjeldahl - 351.2; Ammonia - 350.3; IC Anions - 300.0; Percent Solids

*Bioassay ID = B1542-07*

Matrix \*

- S=Soil
- D=Groundwater
- S+G=Soil+Groundwater
- W=Water
- O=Oil
- A=Air
- OC=Other Solids
- OL=Other Liquids
- T=Time
- L=Liquid
- V=Vegetation
- X=Other

E2847

E28

Washington Closure Hanford		CHAIN OF CUSTODY/SAMPLE ANALYSIS REQUEST				RC-051-20	Page 1 of 1	
Collector L COLLOM		Company Contact JOAN KESSNER		Telephone No. 375-4688		Project Coordinator KESSNER, JH	Price Code 8L	Data Turnaround 45 Days
Project Designation 100 & 300 Area Component of the RCBRA - Incremental So		Sampling Location Riparian Low-Site#10 Downriver 100-D				SAF No. RC-051		
Ice Chest No.		Field Logbook No. EL-1596		COA BESRAS6520		Method of Shipment		
Shipped To CH2MHILL		Offsite Property No. A060151				Bill of Lading/Air Bill No.		
POSSIBLE SAMPLE HAZARDS/REMARKS  NONE		Preservation	None	None				
		Type of Container	G/P	P/Q				
		No. of Container(s)	1	1				
		Volume	1000g	4000g				
SAMPLE ANALYSIS		See item (1) in Special Instructions.	Soil Plant Toxicity ASTM E1964; Soil Nematode Toxicity ASTM E2172					
		<i>CT 11-28-05</i>						
Sample No.	Matrix	Sample Date	Sample Time					
J10LJ5	SOIL	11-28-05	<i>16:19</i>	1	1			<i>-1</i>
			<i>16:19</i>					
CHAIN OF POSSESSION		Signature/Print Names		SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS			Matrix	
Relinquished By/Removed From <i>CH2MHILL</i>	Date/Time <i>11-28-05</i>	Received By/Stored In <i>Melody Tepper</i>	Date/Time <i>11-28-05 16:23</i>	This chain of custody form documents the transfer of bulk field collected soils to the CH2M Hill Corvallis laboratory for incremental preparation and aliquotting.				
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time	(1) Particle Size (Dry Sieve) - D422; Moisture Content - D2216; TOC - 9060; pH (Soil) - 9045; Nitrogen by Kjeldahl - 351.2; Ammonia - 350.3; IC Anions - 300.0; Percent Solids				
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time	<i>Bidassay ID = B1542-08</i>				
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time					
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time					
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time					
LABORATORY SECTION	Received By	Title		Date/Time				
FINAL SAMPLE DISPOSITION	Disposal Method	Disposed By		Date/Time				

E24

EZ1

Washington Closure Hanford		CHAIN OF CUSTODY/SAMPLE ANALYSIS REQUEST				RC-051-6	Page 1 of 1	
Collector L. GOLLOM		Company Contact JOAN KESSNER		Telephone No. 375-4688	Project Coordinator KESSNER, JH		Price Code 8L	Data Turnaround
Project Designation 100 & 300 Area Component of the RCBRA - Incremental So		Sampling Location Riparian Reference-Site #13 Vernita Bridge		SAF No. RC-051		Air Quality	45 Days	
Ice Chest No.		Field Logbook No. EL-1596		COA BESRAS6520		Method of Shipment		
Shipped To CH2MHILL		Offsite Property No. A060151				Bill of Lading/Air Bill No.		
POSSIBLE SAMPLE HAZARDS/REMARKS NONE		Preservation	None	None				
Special Handling and/or Storage NONE		Type of Container	G/P	P/G				
		No. of Container(s)	1	1	2 T 1/4			
		Volume	1000g	~3000g 4000ml	12.0			
SAMPLE ANALYSIS				See item (1) in Special Instructions:	Soil Picc Toxicity ASTM E196; Soil Nematicide Toxicity ASTM B3173			
Sample No.	Matrix*	Sample Date	Sample Time					
J10DT9	SOIL	12-6-05	16:00	X	X			-1
CHAIN OF POSSESSION		Sign/Print Names		SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS			Matrix *	
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time	This chain of custody form documents the transfer of bulk field collected soils to the CH2M Hill Corvallis laboratory for incremental preparation and aliquoting.				
Elizabeth M. Tepper	12-6-05 16:00	CH2M Hill	12-6-05 16:00	(1) Particle Size (Dry Sieve) - D422; Moisture Content - D2316; TOC - 9060; pH (Soil) - 9045; Nitrogen by Kjeldahl - 351.2; Ammonia - 350.3; IC Anions - 300.0; Percent Solids				
Elizabeth M. Tepper	12-6-05 16:00	CH2M Hill	12-6-05 16:00					
Elizabeth M. Tepper	12-6-05 16:00	CH2M Hill	12-6-05 16:00					
Elizabeth M. Tepper	12-6-05 16:00	CH2M Hill	12-6-05 16:00					
Elizabeth M. Tepper	12-6-05 16:00	CH2M Hill	12-6-05 16:00					
Elizabeth M. Tepper	12-6-05 16:00	CH2M Hill	12-6-05 16:00					
LABORATORY SECTION	Title				Date/Time			
FINAL SAMPLE DISPOSITION	Disposed By				Date/Time			



July 20, 2006



Ms. Joan Kessner  
Subcontract Technical Representative  
Washington Closure Hanford LLC  
3070 George Washington Way  
Richland, WA 99354

Dear Ms. Kessner:

**ACUTE SCREENING BIOASSAYS – AMENDED BLUEGRASS REPORTS**  
**CONTRACT NUMBER 0000X-SC-G0553**

Enclosed are amended Bluegrass reports for the following Sample Delivery Groups:

- BG1542-01 thru 09 – Report amended July 18, 2006
- ✓ • BG1542-01A, -02A, -03A and -08A and BG1566-01 thru 05 –  
Report amended July 19, 2006
- BG1575-01 thru 11 – Report amended July 19, 2006
- BG1589-01 thru 09 – Report amended July 19, 2006

An electronic copy of this information is provided for your convenience.

Should you have any questions, please feel free to call me at (509) 531-8774.

Sincerely yours,

Emmett L. Richards  
President

Enclosures

RC-051  
E2748

Table 2: Bluegrass Chronic Test Results for Washington Closure Hanford

E, statistically significant difference from lab control by use of Equal Variance t Two-Sample Test; W, statistically significant difference from lab control by use of Wilcoxon Rank Sum Two-Sample Test  
 ns indicates a non statistically significant result; \*, indicates statistically significant at alpha ( $\alpha$ ) = 0.05; --, indicates no statistical test performed.

Lab ID:	Sample Number:	Bluegrass 14 day Germination Endpoint (%)	Significantly different compared to Lab Control?	Bluegrass Average Stem Height (mm)	Significantly different compared to Lab Control?	Bluegrass Average Root Length (mm)	Significantly different compared to Lab Control?	Average Above Ground Shoot Mass (Wet) (mg)	Significantly different compared to Lab Control?	Average Above Ground Shoot Mass (Dry) (mg)	Significantly different compared to Lab Control?	Average Root Mass (Wet) (mg)	Significantly different compared to Lab Control?	Average Root Mass (Dry) (mg)	Significantly different compared to Lab Control?	Average Total Mass (Shoots + Roots) (Wet) (mg)	Significantly different compared to Lab Control?	Average Total Mass (Shoots + Roots) (Dry) (mg)	Significantly different compared to Lab Control?
Tests initiated on April 5, 2005																			
Laboratory Control		84	--	75.8	--	91.2	--	29.6	--	4.96	--	36.9	--	1.62	--	66.5	--	6.58	--
BG1542-01A	J10DW4A	88	ns	56.5	E *	49.2	E *	15.1	E *	2.71	E *	10.8	E *	0.95	E *	25.9	E *	3.66	E *
BG1542-02A	J10DV4A	60	ns	44.6	E *	29.3	E *	8.8	W *	1.86	E *	8.9	E *	0.98	E *	17.7	E *	2.85	E *
BG1542-03A	J10DT8A	100	ns	69.3	ns	56.8	E *	22.8	ns	3.58	ns	21.7	E *	1.36	ns	44.5	ns	4.94	ns
BG1542-08A	J10LJ5A	96	ns	51.5	E *	53.6	E *	16.9	E *	2.91	E *	23.0	E *	1.46	ns	39.9	E *	4.38	E *
BG1566-01	J11JB8	92	ns	56.8	E *	54.9	E *	27.8	ns	3.67	ns	33.7	ns	1.85	ns	61.5	ns	5.53	ns
BG1566-02	J11JB7	100	ns	53.9	E *	60.6	E *	18.6	E *	3.07	E *	28.5	ns	1.37	ns	47.1	ns	4.44	ns
BG1566-03	J11JH5	84	ns	63.0	ns	57.8	E *	23.6	ns	4.25	ns	32.5	ns	2.04	ns	56.1	ns	6.28	ns
BG1566-04	J11JH8	100	ns	64.8	ns	55.7	E *	24.9	ns	3.95	ns	30.8	ns	1.61	ns	55.7	ns	5.56	ns
BG1566-05	J11JH4	92	ns	64.7	E *	72.7	ns	23.1	ns	3.77	ns	31.7	ns	1.65	ns	54.9	ns	5.42	ns

**BIOASSAY REPORT  
CHRONIC SCREENING BIOASSAYS  
Conducted April 5 through May 8, 2006**

**Report Amended July 19, 2006**

Prepared for  
**ELR CONSULTING, INC.  
WASHINGTON CLOSURE HANFORD**

Prepared by  
**CH2M HILL  
2300 NW Walnut Boulevard  
Corvallis, Oregon 97330**

July 19, 2006  
**Lab I.D. Nos. BG1542-01A, -02A, -03A, and -08A  
And BG1566-01 thru 05  
SDG Number BG1566 and BG1542A**

## **CONTENTS**

<b>Section</b>	<b>Page</b>
INTRODUCTION.....	3
METHODS AND MATERIALS.....	3
TEST METHODS.....	3
TEST ORGANISMS .....	3
CONTROL SOIL.....	3
HYDRATION WATER.....	3
TEST CONCENTRATIONS.....	3
SAMPLE COLLECTION.....	4
SAMPLE CROSS-REFERENCE TABLE.....	4
SAMPLE PREPARATION .....	4
TEST INITIATION.....	5
TEST MONITORING .....	5
WATERING SCHEDULE .....	5
TEST TERMINATION .....	6
DATA ANALYSIS.....	7
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	8
CHRONIC RESULTS .....	8
CERTIFICATION STATEMENT.....	10

**APPENDIX A. RAW DATA SHEETS**  
**APPENDIX B. CHAIN OF CUSTODY**

## **INTRODUCTION**

CH2M HILL conducted chronic screening bioassay tests using the Sandberg bluegrass (*Poa sandbergii*) on soil samples provided by the ELR Consulting for Washington Closure Hanford, Richland, Washington. The tests were conducted from April 5 through May 8, 2006.

Following recommendations of an additional QA review, the statistical analysis for shoot height and root length presented in the original report (May 25, 2006) were recalculated. Subsequently, this document presents the amended results and serves as the final report.

## **METHODS AND MATERIALS**

### **TEST METHODS**

The chronic test methods were performed according to: *Standard Guide for Conducting Terrestrial Plant Toxicity Tests*, ASTM E 1963-02 (2002).

### **TEST ORGANISMS**

The seeds used were obtained from Native Grass Seeds, Cornville, Arizona. All test conditions were maintained during planting, germination, and growth phases of the test as prescribed by the ASTM protocol.

### **CONTROL SOIL**

The control soil used in the tests was artificial soil comprised of 70 grade silica sand (70 percent by weight), kaolin clay (20 percent), and peat moss (10 percent). Calcium carbonate (0.4 percent of total weight) was added to adjust soil pH to  $7.0 \pm 0.5$ .

### **HYDRATION WATER**

The water used to initially hydrate the control and test soils was Milli-Q equivalent de-ionized water. After initial hydration, all test chambers were watered with half strength Hoagland's solution on an every other day basis. All hydration was accomplished via sub irrigation.

### **TEST CONCENTRATIONS**

The concentration tested in the bluegrass tests was 100 percent test soil with control soil alone for the lab control. For the bluegrass tests, 50 seeds per concentration were used with five replicate test chambers per concentration and 10 seeds planted per chamber. Following germination, test chambers were thinned to a maximum five seedlings per replicate.

## SAMPLE COLLECTION

Individual soil samples used during the testing were collected between October 31, 2005, and December 6, 2005, for the SDG number BG1542 and March 21, 2006 through April 3, 2006, for SDG number BG1566. The samples were stored in the dark at 4°C until the initiation of the initiation of the tests. Chain of Custody for sample collection is provided in Appendix C.

## SAMPLE CROSS-REFERENCE TABLE

Table 1 provides a cross-reference of the Client ID numbers, sampling dates, sampling locations, Bluegrass test sample identification (SDG) numbers, and Analytical Lab SDG numbers. The SDG 1542 samples were repeat tests from an earlier batch of tests due to a laboratory error on the test endpoint.

Table 1  
Sample Cross-Reference

Client ID	Sample Date	Sample Location	Bluegrass test SDG	Analytical Lab SDG
J10DW4A	10/31/2005	600-131	BG1542-01A	E2748
J10DV4A	11/08/2005	PIT 23	BG1542-02A	E2801
J10DT8A	11/14/2005	Upland Backfill Elevated-100-F-2	BG1542-03A	E2831
J10LJ5A	11/28/2005	Riparian Low-Site #10 Downriver 100-D	BG1542-08A	E2897
J11JB8	03/21/2006	100-K RIPARIAN #5	BG1566-01	F1399
J11JB7	03/26/2006	100-K RIPARIAN #4	BG1566-02	F1421
J11JHS	03/28/2006	100-H RIPARIAN #8	BG1566-03	F1438
J11JH8	04/03/2006	UPPER RIPARIAN #12	BG1566-04	F1470
J11JH4	04/03/2006	100-F RIPARIAN #7	BG1566-05	F1471

## SAMPLE PREPARATION

Test soils and control soil were dried and homogenized prior to use. For each replicate, 90 grams dry weight of soil was added to each test chamber. The soils were initially hydrated with Milli-Q equivalent de-ionized water via sub irrigation. In addition, a sub sample of the soil was added to a surrogate chamber and hydrated for pH measurements.

## **TEST INITIATION**

Tests were initiated by planting 10 seeds in each test chamber. Seeds were planted at a depth of 1 ½ times the seeds diameter (approximately 2 millimeters) and covered gently. A small amount of hydration water (10 ml) was sprayed onto the soil surface to ensure seeds received moisture.

## **TEST MONITORING**

According to information provided by Native Grass Seed (seed supplier), germination should take place between 14 and 28 days. The number of seeds in each test chamber that had germinated was recorded on days 12, 14, 16, 19, 21, and 23. Germination was determined to have occurred on day 19.

Observations of the shoot appearance were recorded 7 days after germination (26 days after planting). The number of germinated seeds in each test chamber was also recorded. Chambers that had more than five germinated seeds had shoots removed to prevent overcrowding. These test chambers were thinned to five seedlings each.

Soil pH was taken at test initiation and termination by placing a subsample of soil into a specimen cup, adding hydration water, and mixing prior to the pH measurement.

## **WATERING SCHEDULE**

Test chambers were hydrated via subirrigation with deionized water prior to test initiation and daily thereafter for the first 3 days via subirrigation. Test sediments were hydrated by placing the all test chambers of the same test concentration into a hydration chamber containing deionized water and allowing the water to percolate into the bottom of the chamber. Hydration chambers were kept full during this period.

On Day 4, the water was removed from the hydration chambers and the test chambers allowed to drain.

Starting on Day 5, test soils were supplemented with nutrients by the use of half strength Hoagland's solution delivered via subirrigation. Hydration chambers were kept filled for 24 hours, then empty for 24 hours.

## **TEST TERMINATION**

Tests were terminated 14 days post germination (33 days after planting). The number of seedlings, shoot appearance and height (tallest shoot of each plant), and root appearance and length (longest recovered root of each plant) was recorded.

For each test chamber, all of the above ground biomass (i.e. shoots) from all germinated plants were combined and placed into tared aluminum tins. The shoots were weighed to determine the wet weight immediately following removal from the test chamber. The shoots were then dried in an oven at 60 °C for a minimum of 24 hours. The shoots were then placed into a desiccator for a minimum of 2 hours and weighed to determine dry weight.

The wet and dry weight for the roots were obtained following the same procedure as described above.

## DATA ANALYSIS

For each test chamber, the following endpoints were calculated:

- 14 Day Post-Germination Survival (%)  
(Calculated as the number of seedlings alive at 14 day post germination divided by 5)
- Average Above Ground Shoot Mass (Wet)  
(Calculated as the total wet weight of the shoots divided by the number of seedlings harvested)
- Average Above Ground Shoot Mass (Dry)  
(Calculated as the total dry weight of the shoots divided by the number of seedlings harvested)
- Average Root Mass (Wet)  
(Calculated as the total wet weight of the roots divided by the number of seedlings harvested)
- Average Root Mass (Dry)  
(Calculated as the total dry weight of the roots divided by the number of seedlings harvested)
- Average Total Mass (Wet)  
(Calculated as the total combined wet weights of the shoots and roots divided by the number of seedlings harvested)
- Average Total Mass (Dry)  
(Calculated as the total combined dry weights of the shoots and roots divided by the number of seedlings harvested)
- Average Shoot Height  
(Calculated as the total combined height of the tallest shoot of each seedling divided by the number of seedlings harvested)
- Average Root Length  
(Calculated as the total combined length of the longest root of each seedling divided by the number of seedlings harvested)

Statistical analysis for each endpoint listed comprised of entering the data obtained from each replicate chamber of a test soil and comparing the results to the data from the replicate chambers of the laboratory control. Comparisons were made as a single tailed t-test, evaluating for statistically significant reductions from the control value, using CETIS version 1.1.2. The Equal Variance t Two-Sample test was used. When the assumptions of equality

of variance or normality necessary for Equal Variance t Two-Sample test was not met, the Unequal Variance t Two-Sample test or Wilcoxon Rank Sum Two Sample test was used.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The endpoint data and the results statistical analysis are summarized in Table 2 below. The data represents the average value of the replicate chambers used in each test concentration.

The results for sample J10DW4A indicated a statistically significant reduction in average stem (shoot) height, average root length, average above ground shoot mass (wet), average above ground shoot mass (dry), average root mass (wet), average root mass (dry), average total mass (shoots + roots, wet), and average total mass (shoots + roots, dry) when compared to the laboratory control.

The results for sample J10DV4A indicated a statistically significant reduction in average stem (shoot) height, average root length, average above ground shoot mass (wet), average above ground shoot mass (dry), average root mass (wet), average root mass (dry), average total mass (shoots + roots, wet), and average total mass (shoots + roots, dry) when compared to the laboratory control.

The results for sample J10DT8A indicated a statistically significant reduction in average root length, and average root mass (wet) when compared to the laboratory control.

The results for sample J10LJ5A indicated a statistically significant reduction in average stem (shoot) height, average root length, average above ground shoot mass (wet), average above ground shoot mass (dry), average root mass (wet), average total mass (shoots + roots, wet), and average total mass (shoots + roots, dry) when compared to the laboratory control.

The results for sample J10JB8 indicated a statistically significant reduction in average stem (shoot) height and average root length when compared to the laboratory control.

The results for sample J10JB7 indicated a statistically significant reduction in average stem (shoot) height, average root length, average above ground shoot mass (wet), and average above ground shoot mass (dry) when compared to the laboratory control.

The results for sample J10JH5 indicated a statistically significant reduction in average root length when compared to the laboratory control.

The results for sample J10JH8 indicated a statistically significant reduction in average root length when compared to the laboratory control.


The results for sample J10JH4 indicated a statistically significant reduction in average stem (shoot) height when compared to the laboratory control.

Table 2: Bluegrass Chronic Test Results for Washington Closure Hanford  
as measured by Bluegrass Laboratory Measurement Team. We statistically significant differences were not tested by use of Wilcoxon Rank Sum Two-Sample Test.  
ns = indicating no statistically significant result; + = indicates significant difference at alpha level = 0.05; - = indicates no statistical test performed.

Lab ID	Sample Number	Average Dose rate (measured in chamber) (mR)	Significance difference attributed to chamber environment in chamber (ns)	Average Dose rate measured in chamber (mR)	Significance difference attributed to chamber environment in chamber (ns)						
Tests without effect & n.s.											
BG1542-01A	J10DW4A	84	-	75.8	-	91.2	-	29.6	4.98	36.9	-
BG1542-02A	J10DV4A	88	ns	58.5	E*	48.2	E*	15.1	E*	10.9	E*
BG1542-03A	J10DT8A	60	ns	44.8	E*	29.3	E*	8.8	E*	8.9	E*
BG1542-08A	J10LJ5A	100	ns	69.3	E*	56.8	E*	22.8	E*	3.58	E*
BG1566-01	J11JB8	98	ns	51.5	E*	53.8	E*	18.9	E*	2.91	E*
BG1566-02	J11JB7	92	ns	56.8	E*	54.9	E*	27.8	ns	3.67	ns
BG1566-03	J11JH5	100	ns	53.9	E*	60.6	E*	16.5	E*	3.07	E*
BG1566-04	J11JH8	84	ns	63.0	E*	57.8	E*	23.6	ns	4.25	ns
BG1566-05	J11JH4	100	ns	64.3	E*	55.7	E*	24.9	ns	3.95	ns
		82	ns	64.7	E*	72.7	ns	23.1	ns	3.77	ns

## CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

I certify that this data package is in compliance with the Statement of Work, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the Laboratory Manager or a designee, as verified by the following signature:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Michael J. Hanaway". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a prominent 'J' in the middle.

**APPENDIX A**  
**RAW DATA SHEETS**



## BLUEGRASS GROWTH TEST

Client: Washington Closure Hanford Project

Test Start Date: 4-5-06

Initial Day 0 15 Day 12 3n Day 15 NT Day 18 11 Day 19 NT Day 21 NT Day 23 11 Day 26 3n Day 33 3n

Bioassay Lab ID: BN 84542-01 Sample No: J10 DW4

CONC.	REPLICATE	# seeds germinated						7-DAYS POST-EMERGENCE (12 days after planting)	14-DAYS POST-EMERGENCE (33 days after planting)	pH
		12 days after planting	14 days after planting	16 days after planting	18 days after planting	21 days after planting	23 days after planting			
Control	A	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	7.4
	B	1	2	5	7	7	7	7-5	5	
	C	2	2	3	4	4	4	4	4	
	D	1	2	4	4	5	5	5	5	
	E	2	5	6	6	7	7	7-5	5	

7-Days Post-Emergence: Selectively thin down to 5 seedlings (leave the 5 tallest seedlings). Describe shoot appearance:

Replicate A: 1 Lg G, 1 Med G, 1 Sm G

Replicate B: 1 Lg G, 4 med G

removed: 1 med w/ bran. tip, 1 Sm G

Replicate C: 3 Med G, 1 Sm G

Replicate D: 1 Lg G, 2 med G, 2 Sm G

Replicate E: 1 Lg G, 4 med G

removed: 2 Sm G

Appearance Code: Good (G) = deep green color with no brown, Brown (B) = brown color noted, Lg = # of large plants (tallest, 0+ shoots), Med = # of plants (smaller than large, fewer shoots), Sm = # small plants (1-3 shoots)

14-Days Post-Emergence: Describe shoot appearance:

Replicate A: 1 Lg G w/ 1 B shaft, 1 med G, 1 Sm G

Replicate B: 2 Lg G, 3 med G

Replicate C: 2 med G, 1 med G w/ 1 B tip, 1 Sm w/ 1 B shaft / 1 G shaft

Replicate D: 1 Lg G w/ 1 B shaft, 2 med G, 2 Sm G

Replicate E: 1 Lg G, 3 med G, 1 med G w/ 1 B tip

Measure Shoot Height:

Individual height of each seedling  
(above ground)

	1st Seedling	2nd Seedling	3rd Seedling	4th Seedling	5th Seedling
Replicate A	94 mm	31 mm	11 mm	mm	mm
Replicate B	66 mm	52 mm	62 mm	81 mm	57 mm
Replicate C	71 mm	63 mm	60 mm	24 mm	mm
Replicate D	79 mm	37 mm	50 mm	50 mm	55 mm
Replicate E	92 mm	64 mm	46 mm	63 mm	60 mm

Measure Shoot Weight:

Total mass of all seedlings  
(above ground)

	Tot Tare Wt (mg)	Wet Wt (mg)	Dry Wt (mg)
Replicate A	1005.82	1052.2	1013.32
Replicate B	977.34	1061.3	990.27
Replicate C	1043.72	1087.0	1052.91
Replicate D	1001.57	1052.6	1011.76
Replicate E	1021.25	1131.5	1040.89

Describe root appearance:

Replicate A: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Replicate B: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Replicate C: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Replicate D: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Replicate E: \_\_\_\_\_

Measure Root Length:

Individual length of the longest root  
from each seedling

	1st Seedling	2nd Seedling	3rd Seedling	4th Seedling	5th Seedling
Replicate A	12 mm	43 mm	38 mm	mm	mm
Replicate B	66 mm	52 mm	38 mm	44 mm	60 mm
Replicate C	10 mm	33 mm	38 mm	51 mm	mm
Replicate D	79 mm	41 mm	73 mm	16 mm	30 mm
Replicate E	65 mm	45 mm	41 mm	87 mm	66 mm

Measure Root Weight:

Total mass of all roots from all seedlings

	Tot Tare Wt (mg)	Wet Wt (mg)	Dry Wt (mg)
Replicate A	1033.44	1029.3	1036.39
Replicate B	1002.23	1030.3	1007.17
Replicate C	1044.73	1035.44	1027.92
Replicate D	990.71	1038.2	994.03
Replicate E	1025.14	1039.2	1032.21

Comments:

15  
-13-

## CETIS Test Summary

Plant Bioassay - Chronic						CH2M Hill
Test No:	08-9842-7406	Test Type:	Plant Chronic	Duration:	N/A	
Start Date:	05 Apr-06	Protocol:	ASTM E1963-02 (2002)	Species:	Poa sandbergii	
Ending Date:		Dil Water:		Source:		
Setup Date:	05 Apr-06	Brine:				
Comments:	recalculated Height and Length data July 19, 2006					
Sample No:	18-1428-8954	Code:	B1542-01	Client:		
Sample Date:	31 Oct-05	Material:	Soil	Project:		
Receive Date:		Source:	Hanford			
Sample Age:	156d 0h	Station:				
Comments:	J10DW4, E274801					
Comparison Summary						
Analysis	Endpoint	NOEL	LOEL	ChV	PMSD	Method
12-6240-8747	% Germination	100	> 100	N/A	27.99%	Wilcoxon Rank Sum Two-Sample
07-3590-2024	Average Height (mm)	< 100	100	N/A	13.17%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
17-5063-9985	Average Length (mm)	< 100	100	N/A	24.87%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
07-5263-2240	Average AG Wt (Wet, mg)	< 100	100	N/A	30.51%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
10-1671-4027	Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)	< 100	100	N/A	30.89%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
16-5188-4194	Average Root Wt (Wet, mg < 100	100	N/A	34.20%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample	
10-0024-4642	Average Root Wt (Dry, mg) < 100	100	N/A	33.67%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample	
09-5177-1719	Average Total Wt (Wet, mg < 100	100	N/A	30.61%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample	
09-0040-6336	Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) < 100	100	N/A	31.34%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample	

## CETIS Test Summary



% Germination Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.84000	0.60000	1.00000	0.09798	0.21909	26.08%
100		5	0.88000	0.60000	1.00000	0.08000	0.17889	20.33%
Average Height (mm) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	75.780	61	84.400	3.9903	8.9226	11.77%
100		5	56.52	45.3	65	3.5874	8.0217	14.19%
Average Length (mm) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	91.22	50	117.40	11.4	25.491	27.94%
100		5	49.18	34.3	60.8	4.3468	9.7197	19.77%
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	29.605	12.833	36.826	4.3456	9.717	32.82%
100		5	15.128	10.208	22.05	2.1690	4.8501	32.07%
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	4.96040	2.05668	6.26333	0.75679	1.69222	34.11%
100		5	2.70991	2.03800	3.92800	0.32563	0.72814	26.87%
Average Root Wt (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	36.878	13.317	46.99	6.1618	13.778	37.36%
100		5	10.815	2.812	18.633	2.8356	6.3408	58.63%
Average Root Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	1.61720	0.64667	2.06331	0.25857	0.57819	35.75%
100		5	0.94703	0.66400	1.41399	0.13741	0.30727	32.45%
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	66.484	26.150	82.77	10.452	23.370	35.15%
100		5	25.941	18.338	34.293	3.2461	7.2584	27.98%
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	6.57761	2.70335	8.32668	1.00853	2.25514	34.29%
100		5	3.85694	2.70200	5.34199	0.45998	1.02854	28.13%

## CETIS Test Summary

Report Date: 19 Jul-06 9:15 AM  
 Test Link: 14-2145-6937/B154201psC

% Germination Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	0.60000	1.00000	1.00000	0.60000	1.00000
100		0.60000	1.00000	0.80000	1.00000	1.00000
Average Height (mm) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	61	84.4000	75.8000	80.7	77
100		45.3	63.8	54.5	54.2000	65
Average Length (mm) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	50	117.400	99.8000	88.7	102.2
100		49.3	53.6	34.3	47.8	60.8
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	12.8333	36.8260	31.748	35.78	30.84
100		15.66	16.8920	10.8200	10.206	22.05
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	2.05668	6.05200	5.26000	6.26333	5.17000
100		2.70001	2.58600	2.29752	2.03800	3.92600
Average Root Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	13.3167	45.2540	36.3420	46.99	42.488
100		18.6333	15.6140	7.51752	9.49799	2.81199
Average Root WL (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	0.64667	1.92800	1.52800	2.06331	1.92001
100		0.99668	0.98800	0.67252	0.66400	1.41399
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	26.1500	82.0800	68.0900	82.77	73.328
100		34.2933	32.5060	18.3375	19.704	24.862
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	2.70335	7.98000	6.78801	8.32666	7.09000
100		3.69666	3.57400	2.97003	2.70200	5.34199



## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic						CH2M Hill					
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version					
% Germination	Comparison		14-2145-6937	14-2145-6937	19 Jul-08 8:14 AM	CETISv1.1.2					
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV				
Wilcoxon Rank Sum Two-Sample	C > T	Rank		100	>100	1	N/A				
<b>Group Comparisons</b>											
Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Ties	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedl		100	28.5		0.5000	3	Non-Significant Effect				
<b>ANOVA Table</b>											
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)					
Between	0.0048873	0.004887	1	0.09	0.76896	Non-Significant Effect					
Error	0.4233652	0.052921	8								
Total	0.42825247	0.0578079	9								
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>											
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)						
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.48569	23.15450	0.71064	Equal Variances						
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.76085		0.00484	Non-normal Distribution						
<b>Data Summary</b>				<b>Original Data</b>							
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.84000	0.60000	1.00000	0.21909	5.30000	2.00000	7.50000	3.01247	
100		5	0.88000	0.60000	1.00000	0.17889	5.70000	2.00000	7.50000	2.56418	
<b>Graphics</b>								<b>Transformed Data</b>			
											

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version	
Average Height (mm)	Comparison		14-2145-6937	14-2145-6937	19 Jul-06 8:14 AM	CETISv1.1.2	
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		<100	100	N/A	13.17%
Group Comparisons							
Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)
Artificial Soil/Sedi		100	3.5894	1.85955	0.0035	9.97796	Significant Effect
ANOVA Table							
Source	Sum of Squares		Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)
Between	927.3691		927.3691	1	12.88	0.00709	Significant Effect
Error	575.836		71.9795	8			
Total	1503.20508		999.34858	9			
ANOVA Assumptions							
Attribute	Test		Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)	
Variances	Variance Ratio F		1.23723	23.15450	0.84154	Equal Variances	
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W		0.90440		0.24472	Normal Distribution	
Data Summary							
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Original Data
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	75.780	61	84.4	8.9226	
100		5	56.52	45.3	65	8.0217	Transformed Data
Graphics							

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Length (mm)	Comparison		14-2145-6937	14-2145-6937	19 Jul-06 9:15 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		<100	100	N/A	24.87%		
Group Comparisons									
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	3.44744	1.85955	0.0044	22.6872	Significant Effect			
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	4422.609	4422.609	1	11.88	0.00873	Significant Effect			
Error	2978.98	372.1225	8						
Total	7399.58887	4794.7314	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	6.87786	23.15450	0.08850	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.91185		0.29394	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary									
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	91.22	50	117.4	25.491			
100		5	49.15	34.3	60.8	9.7197			
Transformed Data									
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	91.22	50	117.4	25.491			
100		5	49.15	34.3	60.8	9.7197			
Graphics									
									
									

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 4 of 9  
 Report Date: 19 Jul-06 8:14 AM  
 Analysis: 07-5263-2240/B154201psC

## Plant Bioassay - Chronic

CH2M Hill



Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version				
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison		14-2145-6937	14-2145-6937	19 Jul-06 8:14 AM	CETISv1.1.2				
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	PMSD		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		<100	100		N/A	30.51%		
<b>Group Comparisons</b>										
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic		Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100		2.98135	1.85955	0.0068	9.03149 Significant Effect				
<b>ANOVA Table</b>										
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)				
Between	524.168	524.168	1	8.89	0.01758	Significant Effect				
Error	471.7735	58.97168	8							
Total	995.939484	583.1377	9							
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>										
Attribute	Test	Statistic		Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	4.01387		23.15450	0.20694	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.85862			0.07350	Normal Distribution				
<b>Data Summary</b>										
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	29.605	12.833	36.826	9.717				
100		5	15.126	10.208	22.05	4.8501				
<b>Graphics</b>										

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 5 of 9  
 Report Date: 19 Jul-06 8:14 AM  
 Analysis: 10-1671-4027/B154201psC

## Plant Bioassay - Chronic



CH2M Hill

Endpoint	Analysis Type	Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison	14-2145-6937	14-2145-6937	19 Jul-06 8:14 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	PMSD
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		<100	100		N/A	30.89%
<b>Group Comparisons</b>								
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic		Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)		
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100		2.73162	1.85953	0.0129	1.53203		
<b>ANOVA Table</b>								
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)		
Between	12.66181	12.66181	1	7.46	0.02578	Significant Effect		
Error	13.57522	1.696902	8					
Total	26.2370281	14.358715	9					
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>								
Attribute	Test	Statistic		Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)		
Variances	Variance Ratio F	5.40119		23.15450	0.13118	Equal Variances		
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.86077			0.07792	Normal Distribution		
<b>Data Summary</b>			<b>Original Data</b>				<b>Transformed Data</b>	
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	4.96040	2.05668	6.26333	1.69222		
100		5	2.70991	2.03800	3.92800	0.72814		
<b>Graphics</b>								
								
								

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic						CH2M Hill
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version
Average Root WT. (Wet, mg)	Comparison		14-2145-6937	14-2145-6937	19 Jul-08 8:14 AM	CETISv1.1.2
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		<100	100	N/A
<b>Group Comparisons</b>						
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)	
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	3.84256	1.85955	0.0025	12.6129	Significant Effect
<b>ANOVA Table</b>						
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)
Between	1698.222	1698.222	1	14.77	0.00493	Significant Effect
Error	920.1185	115.0148	8			
Total	2618.34033	1813.2366	9			
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>						
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)	
Variances	Variance Ratio F	4.72166	23.15450	0.18192	Equal Variances	
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.86147		0.07941	Normal Distribution	
<b>Data Summary</b>						
			Original Data			Transformed Data
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	36.878	13.317	46.99	13.778
100		5	10.815	2.812	18.633	6.3408
<b>Graphics</b>						

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg)	Comparison		14-2145-6937	14-2145-6937	19 Jul-06 8:14 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed	<100	100		N/A	33.67%		
Group Comparisons									
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedl 100	2.28867	1.85955	0.0257	0.54451	Significant Effect				
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	1.122802	1.122802	1	5.24	0.05137	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	1.714854	0.214357	8						
Total	2.83765602	1.3371589	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variance	Variance Ratio F	3.54078	23.15450	0.24827	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.89450		0.19044	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary									
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	1.61720	0.64667	2.06331	0.57819			
100		5	0.94703	0.66400	1.41399	0.30727			
Transformed Data									
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	1.61720	0.64667	2.06331	0.57819			
100		5	0.94703	0.66400	1.41399	0.30727			
Graphics									
									
									

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison		14-2145-6937	14-2145-6937	19 Jul-06 8:14 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		<100	100	N/A	30.61%		
Group Comparisons									
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)			
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	3.70456	1.85955	0.0030	20.3510	Significant Effect			
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	4109.343	4109.343	1	13.72	0.00600	Significant Effect			
Error	2395.457	299.4322	8						
Total	6504.80005	4408.7749	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variance	Variance Ratio F	10.36698	23.15450	0.04371	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.82350		0.02793	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary				Original Data					
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/Sedi	5	66.484	26.15	82.77	23.370			
100		5	25.941	18.338	34.293	7.2584			
				Transformed Data					
Graphics									

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 9 of 9  
 Report Date: 19 Jul-06 8:14 AM  
 Analysis: 09-0040-8336/B154201psC

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill			
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version				
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		14-2145-6937	14-2145-6937	19 Jul-06 8:14 AM	CETISv1.1.2				
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	PMSD		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed	<100	100		N/A	31.34%			
Group Comparisons										
Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)			
Artificial Soil/Sedi		100	2.63486	1.85955	0.0150	2.06126	Significant Effect			
ANOVA Table										
Source	Sum of Squares		Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	21.32578		21.32578	1	6.94	0.02995	Significant Effect			
Error	24.57421		3.071778	8						
Total	45.8999682		24.397555	9						
ANOVA Assumptions										
Attribute	Test		Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F		4.80730	23.15450	0.15749	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W		0.86949		0.09860	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary				Original Data				Transformed Data		
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	6.57761	2.70335	8.32666	2.25514				
100		5	3.85694	2.70200	5.34199	1.02854				
Graphics										

## BLUEGRASS GROWTH TEST

Client: Washington Cleaners Harvard Project

Test Start Date: 4-5-06

INRAC  
 Day 0 15 Day 12 3m Day 15 NJ Day 16 17 Day 18 NJ Day 21 NJ Day 23 10 Day 26 3m Day 23 Dry/NJ

Bioassay Lab ID: BNT 3615V2-024 Sample No: 510 DV4

CONC.	REPLICATE	# seeds germinated							INITIAL (0) planting	FINAL (14 days Post-Emergence)	pH
		12 days after planting	14 days after planting	16 days after planting	18 days after planting	21 days after planting	23 days after planting	7-DAYS POST-EMERGENCE (12 days after planting)			
Control	A	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	7.8	7.8	
	B	0	2	2	3	3	3	3			
	C	0	2	2	3	3	3	2			
	D	2	3	3	4	4	4	3			
	E	5	5	5	7	7	7	7-5			

7-Days Post-Emergence: Selectively thin down to 5 seedlings (leave the 5 tallest seedlings). Describe shoot appearance:

Replicate A 1 Lg Gr and brownish 2 sm 1 sheet, 1 mbd GReplicate B 2 mbd, 1 Sm GReplicate C 2 mbd GReplicate D 3 mbd GReplicate E 2 Lg G, 3 mbd Gremoved: 1 sm brown/deadremoved: 1 mbd G, 1 sm G

Appearance Code: Good (G) = deep green color with no brown, Brown (B) = brown color noted, L Lg = # of large plants (tallest, &gt; shoots), M Med = # of plants (smaller than large, fewer shoots), S Sm = # small plants (1-3 shoots)

14-Days Post-Emergence: Describe shoot appearance:

Replicate A 1 Lg 3 G shoots, 1 Gm, 1 dark green, 1 Sm 2 G shoots, 1 brownReplicate B 1 Lg, 2 M - thin 1 sheet, 1 large brownish - otherwise all GReplicate C 1 Lg, 1 mbd - each w/ 3 G + 1 B sheetReplicate D 1 M G, 1 Mbd w/ 3 G + 1 BReplicate E 3 Lg, 2 mbd, each w/ multiple G shoots and 1 B sheet.

Measure Shoot Height:

	1st Seedling	2nd Seedling	3rd Seedling	4th Seedling	5th Seedling
Replicate A	62 mm	29 mm	mm	mm	mm
Replicate B	96 mm	39 mm	29 mm	mm	mm
Replicate C	54 mm	35 mm	mm	mm	mm
Replicate D	46 mm	31 mm	19 mm	mm	mm
Replicate E	59 mm	37 mm	39 mm	64 mm	32 mm

Measure Shoot Weight:

	Tin Tare Wt. (mg)	Wet Wt (mg)	Dry Wt (mg)
Replicate A	1025.30	1045.2	1030.35
Replicate B	999.34	1025.6	1003.49
Replicate C	997.97	1016.2	1002.65
Replicate D	1004.87	1029.1024.8	1008.49
Replicate E	987.22	1034.8	996.47

Describe root appearance:

Replicate A thin, white, stringyReplicate B Replicate C Replicate D Replicate E 

Measure Root Length:

	1st Seedling	2nd Seedling	3rd Seedling	4th Seedling	5th Seedling
Replicate A	13 mm	54 mm	mm	mm	mm
Replicate B	50 mm	21 mm	10 mm	mm	mm
Replicate C	29 mm	110 mm	mm	mm	mm
Replicate D	52 mm	36 mm	9 mm	mm	mm
Replicate E	46 mm	24 mm	21 mm	43 mm	21 mm

Measure Root Weight:

	Tin Tare Wt. (mg)	Wet Wt (mg)	Dry Wt (mg)
Replicate A	1015.21	1035.3	1017.11
Replicate B	1010.57	1022.4	1014.58
Replicate C	985.78	1018.7	988.01
Replicate D	989.63	1002.7	991.36
Replicate E	998.35	1045.9	1003.07

Comments:

-26-

## CETIS Test Summary

Plant Bioassay - Chronic					CH2M Hill	
Test No:	05-6800-9219	Test Type:	Plant Chronic	Duration:	N/A	
Start Date:	05 Apr-06	Protocol:	ASTM E1963-02 (2002)	Species:	Poa sandbergii	
Ending Date:		Dil Water:		Source:		
Setup Date:	05 Apr-06	Brine:				
Comments: recalculated Height and Length data July 19, 2006						
Sample No:	07-3307-9513	Code:	B1542-02	Client:		
Sample Date:	08 Nov-05	Material:	Soil	Project:		
Receive Date:		Source:	Hanford			
Sample Age:	148d 0h	Station:				
Comments: J10DV4, J10DV5, J10DV6, J10DV7, J10DV8. E280101						
Comparison Summary						
Analysis	Endpoint	NOEL	LOEL	ChV	PMSD	Method
18-9781-9133	% Germination	100	> 100	N/A	32.24%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
09-6520-4003	Average Height (mm)	< 100	100	N/A	13.24%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
10-7495-4983	Average Length (mm)	< 100	100	N/A	23.60%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
08-2244-4005	Average AG Wt (Wet, mg)	< 100	100	N/A	27.53%	Wilcoxon Rank Sum Two-Sample
16-5673-2573	Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)	< 100	100	N/A	29.97%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
03-2953-5213	Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg)	< 100	100	N/A	33.13%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
14-7385-8785	Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg)	< 100	100	N/A	33.00%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
12-4848-8881	Average Total Wt (Wet, mg)	< 100	100	N/A	30.15%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
13-5421-2953	Average Total Wt (Dry, mg)	< 100	100	N/A	29.82%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample

**CETIS Test Summary**

Report Date: 19 Jul-06 9:06 AM  
 Test Link: 04-8170-5301/B154202psC

<b>% Germination Summary</b>								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.84000	0.60000	1.00000	0.09798	0.21909	26.08%
100		5	0.60000	0.40000	1.00000	0.10954	0.24495	40.82%
<b>Average Height (mm) Summary</b>								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	75.780	61	84.400	3.9903	8.9226	11.77%
100		5	44.580	32	54.700	3.6342	8.1263	18.23%
<b>Average Length (mm) Summary</b>								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	91.22	50	117.40	11.4	25.491	27.94%
100		5	29.26	22.5	33.5	2.0131	4.5014	15.38%
<b>Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Summary</b>								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	29.605	12.833	36.826	4.3456	9.717	32.82%
100		5	8.7955	6.6434	9.95	0.5739	1.2833	14.59%
<b>Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Summary</b>								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	4.96040	2.05668	6.26333	0.75679	1.69222	34.11%
100		5	1.86100	1.20667	2.52496	0.25756	0.57593	30.95%
<b>Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg) Summary</b>								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	36.878	13.317	46.99	6.1518	13.778	37.36%
100		5	8.8630	3.9433	16.46	2.2808	5.1000	57.54%
<b>Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg) Summary</b>								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	1.61720	0.64667	2.06331	0.25857	0.57819	35.75%
100		5	0.98446	0.57666	1.33667	0.12454	0.27849	28.29%
<b>Average Total Wt (Wet, mg) Summary</b>								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	66.484	26.150	82.77	10.452	23.370	35.15%
100		5	17.659	11.000	25.575	2.6359	5.894	33.38%
<b>Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Summary</b>								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	6.57761	2.70335	8.32666	1.00853	2.25514	34.29%
100		5	2.84546	1.78333	3.47495	0.30938	0.69180	24.31%

## CETIS Test Summary

% Germination Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	0.60000	1.00000	1.00000	0.60000	1.00000
100		0.40000	0.60000	0.40000	0.60000	1.00000
Average Height (mm) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	61	84.4000	75.8000	80.7	77
100		45.5	54.7000	44.5	32	46.2000
Average Length (mm) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	50	117.400	99.8000	88.7	102.2
100		33.5	27	22.5	32.3	31
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	12.8333	36.8260	31.748	35.78	30.84
100		9.94995	8.75332	9.11502	6.64335	9.51602
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	2.05668	6.05200	5.26000	6.26333	5.17000
100		2.52498	1.38332	2.34003	1.20667	1.85000
Average Root Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	13.3167	45.2540	36.3420	46.99	42.488
100		10.0450	3.94334	16.46	4.35667	9.51001
Average Root Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	0.64667	1.92800	1.52800	2.06331	1.92001
100		0.94998	1.33667	1.11499	0.57666	0.94401
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	26.1500	82.0800	68.0900	82.77	73.328
100		19.995	12.6966	25.5750	11.0000	19.0260
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	2.70335	7.98000	6.78801	8.32668	7.09000
100		3.47495	2.71999	3.45502	1.78333	2.79401

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M HILL		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
% Germination	Comparison		04-8170-5301	04-8170-5301	19 Jul-06 8:27 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Angular (Corrected)		100	>100	1	N/A		
<b>Group Comparisons</b>									
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	1.85955	0.0740	0.30679	Non-Significant Effect				
<b>ANOVA Table</b>									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	0.1745378	0.174538	1	2.57	0.14792	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	0.5443657	0.068046	8						
Total	0.71890347	0.2425835	9						
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.15127	23.15450	0.89470	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.89943		0.21598	Normal Distribution				
<b>Data Summary</b>									
		Original Data				Transformed Data			
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD			
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.84000	0.60000	1.00000	0.21909	1.16160 0.88608 1.34528 0.25152		
100		5	0.60000	0.40000	1.00000	0.24495	0.89738 0.68472 1.34528 0.26987		
<b>Graphics</b>									

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Height (mm)	Comparison		04-8170-5301	04-8170-5301	19 Jul-08 8:27 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed	<100	100		N/A	13.24%		
Group Comparisons									
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)			
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	5.78077	1.85955	0.0002	10.0364	Significant Effect			
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	2433.8	2433.6	1	33.42	0.00041	Significant Effect			
Error	582.598	72.8245	8						
Total	3016.19611	2506.4246	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.20557	23.15450	0.86060	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.87204		0.10558	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary				Original Data					
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	75.780	61	84.4	8.9226			
100		5	44.580	32	54.7	8.1263			
				Minimum	Maximum	SD	Transformed Data		

Graphics

Average Height (mm)

Conc-%

Centered Untransformed

Ranks

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill			
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version				
Average Length (mm)	Comparison		04-8170-5301	04-8170-5301	19 Jul-08 9:06 AM	CETISv1.1.2				
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV			
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed	<100	100	N/A		PMSD 23.60%			
Group Comparisons										
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	5.35239	1.85955	0.0003	21.5264	Significant Effect				
ANOVA Table										
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)				
Between	9597.604	9597.604	1	28.65	0.00068	Significant Effect				
Error	2680.14	335.0175	8							
Total	12277.7437	9932.6210	9							
ANOVA Assumptions										
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)					
Variances	Variance Ratio F	32.06692	23.15450	0.00538	Unequal Variances					
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.86549		0.08853	Normal Distribution					
Data Summary				Original Data						
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	91.22	50	117.4	25.491				
100		5	29.26	22.5	33.5	4.5014				
Graphics								Transformed Data		

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 4 of 9  
 Report Date: 19 Jul-06 8:27 AM  
 Analysis: 06-2244-4005/B154202psC

## Plant Bioassay - Chronic

CH2M Hill

Endpoint	Analysis Type	Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison	04-8170-5301	04-8170-5301	19 Jul-06 8:27 AM	CETISv1.1.2

Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	PMSD
Wilcoxon Rank Sum Two-Sample	C > T	Rank		<100	100		N/A	27.53%

## Group Comparisons

Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Ties	Decision(0.05)
Artificial Sol/Sedi	100	15			0.0040	0	Significant Effect

## ANOVA Table


Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)
Between	1082.633	1082.633	1	22.54	0.00145	Significant Effect
Error	384.2673	48.03341	8			
Total	1466.90033	1130.6665	9			

## ANOVA Assumptions

Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)
Variances	Variance Ratio F	57.33263	23.15450	0.00174	Unequal Variances
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.76115		0.00488	Non-normal Distribution

Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Original Data				Transformed Data			
			Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Sol/S	5	29.605	12.833	38.826	9.717	8.00000	6.00000	10.0000	1.58114
100		5	8.7955	6.6434	9.95	1.2833	3.00000	1.00000	5.00000	1.58114

## Graphics



# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 5 of 9  
 Report Date: 19 Jul-06 8:27 AM  
 Analysis: 16-5673-2573/B154202psC

## Plant Bioassay - Chronic

CH2M HILL

Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version				
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		04-8170-5301	04-8170-5301	19 Jul-06 8:27 AM	CETISv1.1.2				
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units				
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed	<100	100	N/A	PMSD				
<b>Group Comparisons</b>										
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)					
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	3.8771	1.85955	0.0023	1.48655	Significant Effect				
<b>ANOVA Table</b>										
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)				
Between	24.01578	24.01578	1	15.03	0.00469	Significant Effect				
Error	12.78125	1.597656	8							
Total	36.7970295	25.613437	9							
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>										
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)					
Variances	Variance Ratio F	8.63338	23.15450	0.06018	Equal Variances					
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.85010		0.05825	Normal Distribution					
<b>Data Summary</b>										
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Original Data				Transformed Data			
			Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	4.96040	2.05668	6.26333	1.69222				
100		5	1.86100	1.20667	2.52496	0.57593				
<b>Graphics</b>										

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill			
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version				
Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg)	Comparison		04-8170-5301	04-8170-5301	19 Jul-06 8:27 AM	CETISv1.1.2				
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV			
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed	<100	100		N/A	33.13%			
Group Comparisons										
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	4.26398	1.85955	0.0014	12.2176	Significant Effect				
ANOVA Table										
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)				
Between	1962.119	1962.119	1	18.18	0.00275	Significant Effect				
Error	863.3456	107.9182	8							
Total	2825.4646	2070.0372	9							
ANOVA Assumptions										
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)					
Variances	Variance Ratio F	7.29820	23.15450	0.08013	Equal Variances					
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.84834		0.05551	Normal Distribution					
Data Summary				Original Data						
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	36.878	13.317	46.99	13.778				
100		5	8.8630	3.9433	18.46	5.1000				
Graphics										

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Root Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		04-8170-5301	04-8170-5301	19 Jul-06 8:27 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed	<100	100	N/A	33.00%			
Group Comparisons									
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)			
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	2.20464	1.85955	0.0293	0.5337	Significant Effect			
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	1.000891	1.000891	1	4.86	0.05857	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	1.647414	0.205927	8						
Total	2.64830458	1.2068177	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	4.31054	23.15450	0.18604	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.87013		0.10032	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary									
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Original Data		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	1.61720	0.64667	2.06331	0.57819	Mean		
100		5	0.98446	0.57666	1.33867	0.27849	Minimum		
							Maximum		
							SD		
Graphics									

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill				
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version					
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison		04-8170-5301	04-8170-5301	19 Jul-06 8:27 AM	CETISv1.1.2					
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV				
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed	<100	100		N/A	30.15%				
Group Comparisons											
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)					
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100	4.52971	1.85955	0.0010	20.0438	Significant Effect					
ANOVA Table											
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)					
Between	5959.718	5959.718	1	20.52	0.00193	Significant Effect					
Error	2323.675	290.4594	8								
Total	8283.39282	6250.1772	9								
ANOVA Assumptions											
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)						
Variances	Variance Ratio F	15.72240	23.15450	0.02060	Equal Variances						
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.80564		0.01698	Normal Distribution						
Data Summary				Original Data							
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	68.484	28.15	82.77	23.370					
100		5	17.659	11.000	25.575	5.894					
Graphics											

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill			
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version				
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		04-8170-5301	04-8170-5301	19 Jul-06 8:27 AM	CETISv1.1.2				
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV			
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		<100	100	N/A	29.82%			
Group Comparisons										
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	3.53787	1.85955	0.0038	1.96167	Significant Effect				
ANOVA Table										
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)				
Between	34.82234	34.82234	1	12.52	0.00764	Significant Effect				
Error	22.25693	2.782117	8							
Total	57.0792713	37.604455	9							
ANOVA Assumptions										
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)					
Variances	Variance Ratio F	10.62648	23.15450	0.04184	Equal Variances					
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.82768		0.03137	Normal Distribution					
Data Summary				Original Data						
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	6.57761	2.70335	8.32666	2.25514				
100		5	2.84548	1.78333	3.47495	0.59180				
Graphics										



## CETIS Test Summary

Plant Bioassay - Chronic				CH2M HILL	
Test No:	16-8138-6754	Test Type:	Plant Chronic	Duration:	N/A
Start Date:	05 Apr-06	Protocol:	ASTM E1963-02 (2002)	Species:	Poa sandbergii
Ending Date:		Dil Water:		Source:	
Setup Date:	05 Apr-06	Brine:			
Comments:	recalculated Height and Length data July 19, 2006				
Sample No:	15-5457-5144	Code:	B1542-03	Client:	
Sample Date:	14 Nov-05	Material:	Soil	Project:	
Receive Date:		Source:	Hanford		
Sample Age:	142d 0h	Station:			
Comments:	J10DT8, E283101				
Comparison Summary					
Analysis	Endpoint	NOEL	LOEL	ChV	PMSD
09-3899-3413	% Germination	100	> 100	N/A	20.96%
16-7824-0721	Average Height (mm)	100	> 100	N/A	14.80%
17-6766-6021	Average Length (mm)	< 100	100	N/A	25.33%
01-0398-0874	Average AG Wt (Wet, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	35.26%
09-5216-6543	Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	35.38%
07-6762-5312	Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg < 100	100	N/A	36.01%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
10-3047-5360	Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	39.40%
17-9521-7694	Average Total Wt (Wet, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	35.37%
09-3124-9971	Average Total Wt (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	35.95%

## CETIS Test Summary

% Germination Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.84000	0.60000	1.00000	0.09798	0.21909	26.08%
100		5	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00%
Average Height (mm) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	75.780	61	84.400	3.9903	8.9226	11.77%
100		5	69.320	58.200	77.6	4.5213	10.11	14.58%
Average Length (mm) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	91.22	50	117.40	11.4	25.491	27.94%
100		5	58.780	43.8	72.400	4.9457	11.059	19.48%
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	29.605	12.833	38.826	4.3456	9.717	32.82%
100		5	22.778	12.718	30.848	3.5531	7.9451	34.88%
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	4.96040	2.05668	6.26333	0.75679	1.69222	34.11%
100		5	3.57920	2.00800	4.85601	0.56377	1.26063	35.22%
Average Root Wt (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	38.878	13.317	46.99	8.1616	13.778	37.36%
100		5	21.691	11.816	31.752	3.6095	8.0711	37.21%
Average Root Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	1.61720	0.64667	2.06331	0.25857	0.57819	35.75%
100		5	1.36240	0.81600	2.09200	0.22487	0.50283	36.91%
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	58.464	26.150	82.77	10.452	23.370	35.15%
100		5	44.469	24.534	62.600	7.1169	15.914	35.79%
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	6.57761	2.70335	8.32666	1.00853	2.25514	34.29%
100		5	4.94160	2.82400	6.94801	0.77480	1.73251	35.06%

## CETIS Test Summary

<b>% Germination Detail</b>						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	0.60000	1.00000	1.00000	0.60000	1.00000
100		1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000
<b>Average Height (mm) Detail</b>						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	61	84.4000	75.8000	80.7	77
100		76.4000	77.6	60.6	75.8000	56.2000
<b>Average Length (mm) Detail</b>						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	50	117.400	99.8000	86.7	102.2
100		56.8	61.5	49.4000	72.4000	43.8
<b>Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Detail</b>						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	12.8333	36.8260	31.748	35.78	30.84
100		29.2260	24.71	16.386	30.848	12.718
<b>Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Detail</b>						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	2.05668	6.05200	5.26000	6.26333	5.17000
100		4.48201	4.06799	2.48201	4.85601	2.00800
<b>Average Root Wt (Wet, mg) Detail</b>						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	13.3167	45.2540	38.3420	46.99	42.488
100		25.2300	24.432	15.2260	31.7520	11.8160
<b>Average Root Wt (Dry, mg) Detail</b>						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	0.64667	1.92800	1.52800	2.06331	1.92001
100		1.38401	1.54200	0.97799	2.09200	0.81600
<b>Average Total Wt (Wet, mg) Detail</b>						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	26.1500	82.0800	68.0900	82.77	73.328
100		54.4560	49.142	31.612	62.6000	24.5340
<b>Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Detail</b>						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	2.70335	7.98000	6.78801	8.32666	7.09000
100		5.86602	5.61000	3.46000	6.94801	2.82400



# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic						CH2M Hill				
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version				
% Germination	Comparison		11-4025-3012	11-4025-3012	19 Jul-06 8:31 AM	CETISv1.1.2				
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units				
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Angular (Corrected)		100	>100	1				
ChV										
PMSD										
<b>Group Comparisons</b>										
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100	-1.633	1.85955	0.9284	0.20917	Non-Significant Effect				
<b>ANOVA Table</b>										
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)				
Between	0.084348	0.084348	1	2.87	0.14111	Non-Significant Effect				
Error	0.2530439	0.031630	8							
Total	0.33739194	0.1159785	9							
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>										
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)					
Variances	Modified Levene	4.80000	11.25862	0.05984	Equal Variances					
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.81415		0.02153	Normal Distribution					
<b>Data Summary</b>										
Original Data										
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.84000	0.60000	1.00000	0.21909	1.16160	0.88608	1.34528	0.25152
100		5	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	0.00000	1.34528	1.34528	1.34528	0.00020
Transformed Data										
<b>Graphics</b>										

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Height (mm)	Comparison		11-4025-3012	11-4025-3012	19 Jul-06 8:31 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A		
<b>Group Comparisons</b>									
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	1.07125	1.85955	0.1577	11.2137	Non-Significant Effect			
<b>ANOVA Table</b>									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	104.329	104.329	1	1.15	0.31531	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	727.296	90.912	8						
Total	831.625015	195.241	9						
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.28388	23.15450	0.81452	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.84519		0.05090	Normal Distribution				
<b>Data Summary</b>				Original Data					
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	75.780	61	84.4	8.9226			
100		5	69.320	56.2	77.6	10.110			
				Transformed Data					
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	75.780	61	84.4	8.9226			
100		5	69.320	56.2	77.6	10.110			
<b>Graphics</b>									

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill				
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version					
Average Length (mm)	Comparison		11-4025-3012	11-4025-3012	19 Jul-06 11:05 AM	CETISv1.1.2					
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV				
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed	<100	100	N/A	25.33%					
Group Comparisons											
Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedi		100	2.77152	1.85955	0.0121	23.1074	Significant Effect				
ANOVA Table											
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)					
Between	2965.284	2965.284	1	7.68	0.02424	Significant Effect					
Error	3088.296	386.037	8								
Total	6053.58008	3351.321	9								
ANOVA Assumptions											
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)						
Variances	Variance Ratio F	5.31285	23.15450	0.13466	Equal Variances						
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.93755		0.52613	Normal Distribution						
Data Summary				Original Data							
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	91.22	50	117.4	25.491					
100		5	56.780	43.8	72.4	11.059					
Graphics											
											

# CETIS Analysis Detail



Comparisons: Page 4 of 9  
 Report Date: 19 Jul-06 8:32 AM  
 Analysis: 01-0398-0874/B154203psC

## Plant Bioassay - Chronic

CH2M Hill

Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version				
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison		11-4025-3012	11-4025-3012	19 Jul-06 8:31 AM	CETISv1.1.2				
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	PMSD		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A	35.26%		
<b>Group Comparisons</b>										
Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)			
Artificial Soil/Sedi		100	1.21638	1.85955	0.1293	10.4381	Non-Significant Effect			
<b>ANOVA Table</b>										
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)				
Between	116.5494	116.5494	1	1.48	0.25851	Non-Significant Effect				
Error	630.1755	78.77194	8							
Total	746.724945	95.32135	9							
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>										
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)					
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.49579	23.15450	0.70595	Equal Variances					
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.86182		0.08017	Normal Distribution					
<b>Data Summary</b>			Original Data			Transformed Data				
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	29.605	12.833	36.826	9.717				
100		5	22.778	12.718	30.848	7.9451				

## Graphics



# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill			
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version				
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		11-4025-3012	11-4025-3012	19 Jul-06 8:31 AM	CETISv1.1.2				
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	PMSD		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A	35.38%		
Group Comparisons										
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	1.46361	1.85955	0.0907	1.75465	Non-Significant Effect				
ANOVA Table										
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)				
Between	4.769275	4.769275	1	2.14	0.18145	Non-Significant Effect				
Error	17.81121	2.226401	8							
Total	22.5804858	6.9956765	9							
ANOVA Assumptions										
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)					
Variance	Variance Ratio F	1.80195	23.15450	0.58241	Equal Variances					
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.85931		0.07488	Normal Distribution					
Data Summary				Original Data				Transformed Data		
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	4.96040	2.05668	6.26333	1.69222				
100		5	3.57920	2.00800	4.85601	1.26063				
Graphics										

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg)	Comparison		11-4025-3012	11-4025-3012	19 Jul-08 8:31 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		<100	100		N/A		
<b>Group Comparisons</b>									
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)			
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100	2.12672	1.85955	0.0331	13.2790	Significant Effect			
<b>ANOVA Table</b>									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	576.6071	576.6071	1	4.52	0.06613	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	1019.879	127.4848	8						
Total	1596.48572	704.09194	9						
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	2.91398	23.15450	0.32495	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.87337		0.10940	Normal Distribution				
<b>Data Summary</b>									
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Original Data		Transformed Data				
			Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD			
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	36.878	13.317	46.99	13.778			
100		5	21.691	11.816	31.752	8.0711			
<b>Graphics</b>									

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill			
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version				
Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg)	Comparison		11-4025-3012	11-4025-3012	19 Jul-06 8:31 AM	CETISv1.1.2				
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV			
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A			
<b>Group Comparisons</b>										
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)					
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	0.74355	1.85955	0.2392	0.63723	Non-Significant Effect				
<b>ANOVA Table</b>										
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)				
Between	0.1623032	0.162303	1	0.55	0.47842	Non-Significant Effect				
Error	2.348564	0.293571	8							
Total	2.51086763	0.4558738	9							
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>										
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)					
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.32217	23.15450	0.79323	Equal Variances					
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.96492		0.84022	Normal Distribution					
<b>Data Summary</b>										
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	1.61720	0.64687	2.06331	0.57819				
100		5	1.36240	0.81600	2.09200	0.50283				
<b>Graphics</b>										

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill				
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version					
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison		11-4025-3012	11-4025-3012	19 Jul-06 8:31 AM	CETISv1.1.2					
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV				
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A				
Group Comparisons											
Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedi		100	1.74104	1.85955	0.0599	23.5133	Non-Significant Effect				
ANOVA Table											
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)					
Between	1211.628	1211.628	1	3.03	0.11986	Non-Significant Effect					
Error	3197.737	399.7171	8								
Total	4409.36499	1611.3455	9								
ANOVA Assumptions											
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)						
Variances	Variance Ratio F	2.15665	23.15450	0.47497	Equal Variances						
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.86762		0.09378	Normal Distribution						
Data Summary				Original Data							
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	66.484	28.13	82.77	23.370					
100		5	44.469	24.534	62.600	15.914					
Graphics											

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		11-4025-3012	11-4025-3012	19 Jul-06 8:31 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A		
Group Comparisons									
Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)		
Artificial Soil/Sedt		100	1.28638	1.85955	0.1171	2.36495	Non-Significant Effect		
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	6.691254	6.691254	1	1.65	0.23429	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	32.34898	4.043621	8						
Total	39.0402188	10.734875	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.69432	23.15450	0.62201	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.86744		0.15864	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary				Original Data					
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	6.57761	2.70335	8.32666	2.25514			
100		5	4.94160	2.82400	6.94801	1.73251			
Transformed Data									
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD			
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	6.57761	2.70335	8.32666	2.25514			
100		5	4.94160	2.82400	6.94801	1.73251			
Graphics									



## CETIS Test Summary

Plant Bioassay - Chronic					CH2M Hill	
Test No:	13-1444-4664	Test Type:	Plant Chronic	Duration:	N/A	
Start Date:	05 Apr-06	Protocol:	ASTM E1963-02 (2002)	Species:	Poa sandbergii	
Ending Date:		DII Water:		Source:		
Setup Date:	05 Apr-06	Brine:				
Comments:	recalculated Height and Length data July 19, 2006.					
Sample No:	15-5450-5055	Code:	B1542-08	Client:		
Sample Date:	28 Nov-05	Material:	Soil	Project:		
Receive Date:		Source:	Hanford			
Sample Age:	128d 0h	Station:				
Comments:	J10LJ5, E289701					
Comparison Summary						
Analysis	Endpoint	NOEL	LOEL	ChV	PMSD	Method
08-9339-6639	% Germination	100	> 100	N/A	22.99%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
05-9747-9568	Average Height (mm)	< 100	< 100	N/A	13.83%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
14-1673-3355	Average Length (mm)	< 100	100	N/A	24.80%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
14-1079-1148	Average AG Wt (Wet, mg)	< 100	100	N/A	30.69%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
15-7306-8313	Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)	< 100	100	N/A	30.26%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
14-6069-9442	Average Root Wt (Wet, mg)	< 100	100	N/A	37.46%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
01-4665-3750	Average Root Wt (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	35.11%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
08-4711-9313	Average Total Wt (Wet, mg)	< 100	100	N/A	34.17%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
09-1572-4544	Average Total Wt (Dry, mg)	< 100	100	N/A	31.11%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample

## CETIS Test Summary

<b>% Germination Summary</b>								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.84000	0.60000	1.00000	0.09798	0.21909	26.08%
100		5	0.96000	0.80000	1.00000	0.04000	0.08944	9.32%
<b>Average Height (mm) Summary</b>								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	75.780	61	84.400	3.9903	8.9226	11.77%
100		5	51.48	39.6	64	3.9828	8.9057	17.30%
<b>Average Length (mm) Summary</b>								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	91.22	50	117.40	11.4	25.491	27.94%
100		5	53.600	39.200	62.400	4.2459	9.4942	17.71%
<b>Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Summary</b>								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	29.605	12.833	36.826	4.3456	9.717	32.82%
100		5	16.921	13.174	25.232	2.2337	4.9947	29.52%
<b>Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Summary</b>								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	4.96040	2.05668	6.26333	0.75679	1.69222	34.11%
100		5	2.91350	2.31799	3.92000	0.28112	0.62860	21.58%
<b>Average Root Wt (Wet, mg) Summary</b>								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	36.878	13.317	48.99	6.1616	13.778	37.36%
100		5	22.994	12.764	37.868	4.1506	9.281	40.36%
<b>Average Root Wt (Dry, mg) Summary</b>								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	1.61720	0.64667	2.06331	0.25857	0.57819	35.75%
100		5	1.46240	1.04600	2.04600	0.16241	0.36317	24.83%
<b>Average Total Wt (Wet, mg) Summary</b>								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	66.484	28.150	82.77	10.452	23.370	35.15%
100		5	39.915	25.938	63.1	6.3258	14.145	35.44%
<b>Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Summary</b>								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	6.57761	2.70335	8.32666	1.00853	2.25514	34.29%
100		5	4.37590	3.36399	5.96599	0.44024	0.98441	22.50%

## CETIS Test Summary

<b>% Germination Detail</b>						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	0.60000	1.00000	1.00000	0.60000	1.00000
100		1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	0.80000
<b>Average Height (mm) Detail</b>						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	61	84.4000	75.8000	80.7	77
100		50	39.6	64	49	54.8
<b>Average Length (mm) Detail</b>						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	50	117.400	99.8000	86.7	102.2
100		51.4000	39.2000	62.4000	53	62
<b>Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Detail</b>						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	12.8333	36.8260	31.748	35.78	30.84
100		14.0380	13.174	25.2320	14.216	17.945
<b>Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Detail</b>						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	2.05668	6.05200	5.26000	6.26333	5.17000
100		2.58401	2.31799	3.92000	2.64800	3.09750
<b>Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg) Detail</b>						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	13.3167	45.2540	36.3420	46.99	42.488
100		21.4260	12.7640	37.868	19.062	23.8525
<b>Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg) Detail</b>						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	0.64667	1.92800	1.52800	2.06331	1.92001
100		1.37800	1.04600	2.04600	1.38199	1.45999
<b>Average Total Wt. (Wet, mg) Detail</b>						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	26.1500	82.0800	68.0900	82.77	73.328
100		35.4640	25.938	63.1	33.278	41.7975
<b>Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Detail</b>						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	2.70335	7.98000	6.78801	8.32666	7.09000
100		3.96201	3.36399	5.96599	4.03000	4.55751

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill				
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version					
% Germination	Comparison		07-8369-5277	07-8369-5277	19 Jul-06 8:36 AM	CETISv1.1.2					
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV				
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Angular (Corrected)		100	>100	1	N/A				
<b>Group Comparisons</b>											
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)					
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	-1.1138	1.85955	0.8512	0.22714	Non-Significant Effect					
<b>ANOVA Table</b>											
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)					
Between	0.0462777	0.046278	1	1.24	0.29769	Non-Significant Effect					
Error	0.2984103	0.037301	8								
Total	0.344688	0.0835790	9								
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>											
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)						
Variances	Variance Ratio F	5.57779	23.15450	0.12462	Equal Variances						
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.82019		0.02548	Normal Distribution						
<b>Data Summary</b>				<b>Original Data</b>							
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.84000	0.60000	1.00000	0.21909	1.16160	0.88608	1.34528	0.25152	
100		5	0.96000	0.80000	1.00000	0.08944	1.29766	1.10715	1.34528	0.10650	
<b>Graphics</b>											

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Height (mm)	Comparison		07-8369-5277	07-8369-5277	19 Jul-06 8:36 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		<100	100		N/A 13.83%		
Group Comparisons									
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)			
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100	4.31019	1.85955	0.0013	10.4838	Significant Effect			
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	1476.225	1476.225	1	18.58	0.00258	Significant Effect			
Error	635.696	79.46201	8						
Total	2111.92114	1555.6871	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.00378	23.15450	0.99717	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.95429		0.71928	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary				Original Data					
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	75.780	61	84.4	8.9228			
100		5	51.48	39.6	64	8.9057			
				Transformed Data					
Graphics									

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic						CH2M Hill				
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version				
Average Length (mm)	Comparison		07-8369-5277	07-8369-5277	19 Jul-06 9:10 AM	CETISv1.1.2				
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units				
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed	<100	100	N/A	24.80%				
Group Comparisons										
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100	3.09253	1.85955	0.0074	22.6210	Significant Effect				
ANOVA Table										
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)				
Between	3538.161	3538.161	1	9.56	0.01483	Significant Effect				
Error	2959.648	369.956	8							
Total	6497.80908	3908.1169	9							
ANOVA Assumptions										
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)					
Variances	Variance Ratio F	7.20848	23.15450	0.08182	Equal Variances					
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.89813		0.20895	Normal Distribution					
Data Summary			Original Data			Transformed Data				
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	91.22	50	117.4	25.491				
100		5	53.600	39.2	62.4	9.4942				
Graphics										

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M HILL		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average AG Wt. (Wet, mg)	Comparison		07-8369-5277	07-8369-5277	19 Jul-06 8:36 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		<100	100		N/A		
Group Comparisons									
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	2.59606	1.85955	0.0159	9.08585	Significant Effect			
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	402.2389	402.2389	1	6.74	0.03181	Significant Effect			
Error	477.4698	59.68373	8						
Total	879.708771	461.92265	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	3.78474	23.15450	0.22556	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.88392		0.14468	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary				Original Data					
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	29.605	12.833	36.826	9.717			
100		5	16.921	13.174	25.232	4.9947			
Transformed Data				Minimum	Maximum	SD			
Graphics									

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M HILL				
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version					
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		07-8369-5277	07-8369-5277	19 Jul-06 8:36 AM	CETISv1.1.2					
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV				
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		<100	100		N/A				
<b>Group Comparisons</b>											
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)						
Artificial Soil/Sedi 100	2.53545	1.85955	0.0175	1.50124	Significant Effect						
<b>ANOVA Table</b>											
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)					
Between	10.47451	10.47451	1	6.43	0.03498	Significant Effect					
Error	13.03506	1.629382	8								
Total	23.5095625	12.103888	9								
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>											
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)						
Variances	Variance Ratio F	7.24704	23.15450	0.08109	Equal Variances						
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.84825		0.05537	Normal Distribution						
<b>Data Summary</b>				<b>Original Data</b>							
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	
0	Artificial Soil/S.	5	4.96040	2.05668	8.26333	1.69222					
100		5	2.91350	2.31799	3.92000	0.62860					
<b>Graphics</b>											

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic

CH2M HILL

Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version				
Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg)	Comparison		07-8369-5277	07-8369-5277	19 Jul-06 8:36 AM	CETISv1.1.2				
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units				
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed	<100	100	N/A	PMSD				
<b>Group Comparisons</b>										
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)					
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	1.86880	1.85955	0.0493	13.8149	Significant Effect				
<b>ANOVA Table</b>										
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)				
Between	481.8888	481.8888	1	3.49	0.09859	Non-Significant Effect				
Error	1103.85	137.9813	8							
Total	1585.73911	619.87008	9							
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>										
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)					
Variances	Variance Ratio F	2.20379	23.15450	0.46291	Equal Variances					
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.94621		0.62394	Normal Distribution					
<b>Data Summary</b>										
Original Data										
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	36.878	13.317	46.99	13.778				
100		5	22.994	12.764	37.868	9.281				
<b>Graphics</b>										

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic						CH2M HILL			
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg)	Comparison		07-8369-5277	07-8369-5277	19 Jul-06 8:36 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A		
Group Comparisons									
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	0.50697	1.85955	0.3129	0.56781	Non-Significant Effect			
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	0.0599097	0.05991	1	0.26	0.62585	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	1.864768	0.233096	8						
Total	1.92467780	0.2930057	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variance	Variance Ratio F	2.53463	23.15450	0.38967	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.92278		0.38072	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary				Original Data					
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	1.61720	0.64667	2.06331	0.57819			
100		5	1.46240	1.04600	2.04600	0.36317			
Transformed Data									
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD			
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	1.61720	0.64667	2.06331	0.57819			
100		5	1.46240	1.04600	2.04600	0.36317			
Graphics									

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill			
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version				
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison		07-8369-5277	07-8369-5277	19 Jul-06 8:36 AM	CETISv1.1.2				
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	PMSD		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		<100	100		N/A	34.17%		
Group Comparisons										
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	2.17471	1.85955	0.0307	22.7178	Significant Effect				
ANOVA Table										
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)				
Between	1764.68	1764.68	1	4.73	0.06137	Non-Significant Effect				
Error	2985.025	373.1281	8							
Total	4749.68494	2137.7879	9							
ANOVA Assumptions										
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)					
Variances	Variance Ratio F	2.72986	23.15450	0.35420	Equal Variances					
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.92551		0.40519	Normal Distribution					
Data Summary				Original Data		Transformed Data				
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	68.484	28.15	82.77	23.370				
100		5	39.915	25.938	63.1	14.145				
Graphics										

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill			
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version				
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		07-8369-5277	07-8369-5277	19 Jul-06 8:36 AM	CETISv1.1.2				
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV			
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		<100	100	N/A	31.11%			
Group Comparisons										
Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)			
Artificial Soil/Sedi		100	2.00077	1.85955	0.0402	2.04630	Significant Effect			
ANOVA Table										
Source	Sum of Squares		Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	12.111875		12.111875	1	4.00	0.08042	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	24.21888		3.02736	8						
Total	36.3376379		15.146115	9						
ANOVA Assumptions										
Attribute	Test		Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F		5.24797	23.15450	0.13730	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W		0.86537		0.08825	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary				Original Data						
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	6.57761	2.70335	8.32666	2.25514				
100		5	4.37590	3.36399	5.96599	0.98441				
Graphics								Transformed Data		

Comments

Journal of Health Politics, Policy and Law

Digitized by srujanika@gmail.com

Category A	Category B	Category C	Category D	Category E
89	89	85	73	95
58	15	55	63	33
12	09	15	15	06
11	11	63	15	31
62	50	09	15	15

Българският юридичен

2000-0000

272

2000-01

2000

卷之三

1007.30	1117.4	1026.08
1000.91	1105.3	1017.30
1018.50	1116.7	1039.29
999.57	1120.3	1009.72
999.55	1119.6	1019.55

Digitized by srujanika@gmail.com

1st Semester		2nd Semester		3rd Semester		4th Semester		5th Semester		6th Semester		7th Semester		8th Semester	
Subject	Score	Subject	Score	Subject	Score	Subject	Score	Subject	Score	Subject	Score	Subject	Score	Subject	Score
Mathematics	85	Science	85	English	85	Social Studies	85	Computer	85	Urdu	69	Islamiat	85	Arabic	85
Urdu	81	Mathematics	85	Science	85	English	85	Social Studies	85	Computer	85	Urdu	85	Islamiat	85
Urdu	86	Mathematics	85	Science	85	English	85	Social Studies	85	Computer	85	Urdu	85	Islamiat	85
Urdu	89	Mathematics	85	Science	85	English	85	Social Studies	85	Computer	85	Urdu	85	Islamiat	85
Urdu	73	Mathematics	85	Science	85	English	85	Social Studies	85	Computer	85	Urdu	85	Islamiat	85
Urdu	73	Mathematics	85	Science	85	English	85	Social Studies	85	Computer	85	Urdu	85	Islamiat	85
Urdu	73	Mathematics	85	Science	85	English	85	Social Studies	85	Computer	85	Urdu	85	Islamiat	85
Urdu	73	Mathematics	85	Science	85	English	85	Social Studies	85	Computer	85	Urdu	85	Islamiat	85

#### **Supplementary material**

Barcode/Label ID: AAT 36156 - Sample No: 311338

Text Size: **4 - 5 - 6**

ALLEGRA'S GROWTH TEST

## CETIS Test Summary

Plant Bioassay - Chronic				CH2M Hill		
Test No:	09-4616-5996	Test Type:	Plant Chronic	Duration:	N/A	
Start Date:	05 Apr-06	Protocol:	ASTM E1963-02 (2002)	Species:	Poa sandbergii	
Ending Date:		Dil Water:		Source:		
Setup Date:	05 Apr-06	Brine:				
Comments:	recalculated Height and Length data July 19, 2006					
Sample No:	07-8112-4502	Code:	B1566-01	Client:		
Sample Date:	22 Mar-06	Material:	Soil	Project:		
Receive Date:		Source:	Hanford			
Sample Age:	14d 0h	Station:				
Comments:	J11JB8					
Comparison Summary						
Analysis	Endpoint	NOEL	LOEL	ChV	PMSD	Method
18-1051-4761	% Germination	100	> 100	N/A	27.93%	Wilcoxon Rank Sum Two-Sample
09-1223-6020	Average Height (mm)	< 100	100	N/A	11.59%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
03-4648-6761	Average Length (mm)	< 100	100	N/A	24.00%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
03-8041-1052	Average AG Wt (Wet, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	34.28%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
02-2888-7817	Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	28.98%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
09-6062-0345	Average Root Wt (Wet, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	40.92%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
10-4590-5559	Average Root Wt (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	35.48%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
02-5986-7407	Average Total Wt (Wet, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	30.99%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
12-1118-5196	Average Total Wt (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	29.78%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample

## CETIS Test Summary

% Germination Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.84000	0.60000	1.00000	0.09798	0.21909	26.08%
100		5	0.92000	0.60000	1.00000	0.08000	0.17889	19.44%
Average Height (mm) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	75.780	61	84.400	3.9903	8.9226	11.77%
100		5	56.780	48	63.400	2.5303	5.6579	9.96%
Average Length (mm) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	91.22	50	117.40	11.4	25.491	27.94%
100		5	54.94	48.200	65.2	2.9441	6.5832	11.98%
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	29.605	12.833	36.826	4.3456	9.717	32.82%
100		5	27.831	20.878	40.21	3.3027	7.385	26.54%
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	4.96040	2.05668	8.26333	0.75679	1.69222	34.11%
100		5	3.67426	3.27800	4.15801	0.15767	0.35255	9.60%
Average Root Wt (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	38.878	13.317	46.99	6.1816	13.778	37.36%
100		5	33.714	15.53	47.044	5.2816	11.810	35.03%
Average Root Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	1.81720	0.64667	2.06331	0.25857	0.57819	35.75%
100		5	1.85146	1.53000	2.27400	0.16841	0.37657	20.34%
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	66.484	26.150	82.77	10.452	23.370	35.15%
100		5	61.545	51.644	71.45	3.6797	8.2281	13.37%
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	6.57761	2.70335	8.32668	1.00853	2.25514	34.29%
100		5	5.52573	4.81399	6.40199	0.30399	0.67973	12.30%

## CETIS Test Summary

% Germination Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	0.60000	1.00000	1.00000	0.80000	1.00000
100		1.00000	0.60000	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000
Average Height (mm) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	61	84.4000	75.8000	80.7	77
100		59	55.7000	63.4000	48	57.8
Average Length (mm) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	50	117.400	99.8000	86.7	102.2
100		48.2000	50.3	65.2	56	55
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	12.8333	36.8260	31.748	35.78	30.84
100		24.406	40.21	25.64	20.8780	28.0200
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	2.05668	6.05200	5.26000	6.26333	5.17000
100		3.79600	3.38332	4.15801	3.27800	3.75599
Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	13.3167	45.2540	38.3420	48.99	42.488
100		47.044	15.53	35.33	30.768	39.9000
Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	0.64667	1.92800	1.52800	2.06331	1.92001
100		2.27400	1.67334	2.24399	1.53599	1.53000
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	26.1500	82.0800	68.0900	82.77	73.328
100		71.45	55.74	60.97	51.644	67.92
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	2.70335	7.98000	6.78801	8.32668	7.09000
100		6.06999	5.05664	6.40199	4.81399	5.28601

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill			
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version				
% Germination	Comparison		16-9232-9707	16-9232-9707	19 Jul-08 8:39 AM	CETISv1.1.2				
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV			
Wilcoxon Rank Sum Two-Sample	C > T	Rank		100	>100	1	N/A			
<b>Group Comparisons</b>										
Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Ties	Decision(0.05)			
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100		30		0.6548	3	Non-Significant Effect			
<b>ANOVA Table</b>										
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)				
Between	0.021087	0.021087	1	0.40	0.54474	Non-Significant Effect				
Error	0.4217399	0.052717	8							
Total	0.44282693	0.0738045	9							
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>										
Attribute	Test	.	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F		1.50000	23.15450	0.70400	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W		0.75864		0.00455	Non-normal Distribution				
<b>Data Summary</b>										
Original Data		Transformed Data								
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.84000	0.60000	1.00000	0.21909	5.00000	2.00000	7.00000	2.73861
100		5	0.82000	0.60000	1.00000	0.17889	6.00000	2.00000	7.00000	2.23607
<b>Graphics</b>										

# CETIS Analysis Detail



Comparisons: Page 2 of 9  
 Report Date: 19 Jul-08 8:40 AM  
 Analysis: 09-1223-6020/B156601psA

## Plant Bioassay - Chronic

CH2M HILL

Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version				
Average Height (mm)	Comparison		18-9232-9707	18-9232-9707	19 Jul-08 8:39 AM	CETISv1.2				
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units				
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed	<100	100	N/A	PMSD				
<b>Group Comparisons</b>										
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)					
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100	4.02124	1.85955	0.0019	8.78620	Significant Effect				
<b>ANOVA Table</b>										
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)				
Between	902.5	902.5	1	16.17	0.00383	Significant Effect				
Error	446.496	55.812	8							
Total	1348.99600	958.31200	9							
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>										
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)					
Variances	Variance Ratio F	2.48694	23.15450	0.39912	Equal Variances					
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.90083		0.22372	Normal Distribution					
<b>Data Summary</b>										
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Original Data				Transformed Data			
			Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	75.780	61	84.4	8.9228				
100		5	56.780	48	63.4	5.6579				

## Graphics



# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 1 of 1  
 Report Date: 19 Jul-06 9:14 AM  
 Analysis: 03-4648-6761/B156601psA

## Plant Bioassay - Chronic

CH2M HILL

Endpoint	Analysis Type	Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version
Average Length (mm)	Comparison	16-9232-9707	16-9232-9707	19 Jul-06 9:13 AM	CETISv1.1.2
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed	<100	100	N/A

## Group Comparisons

Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100	3.08142	1.85955	0.0075	21.8939	Significant Effect

## ANOVA Table



Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)
Between	3290.598	3290.598	1	9.50	0.01508	Significant Effect
Error	2772.44	346.555	8			
Total	6063.03589	3637.1509	9			

## ANOVA Assumptions

Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)
Variance	Variance Ratio F	14.99313	23.15450	0.02248	Equal Variances
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.87809		0.12406	Normal Distribution

Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Original Data				Transformed Data			
			Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	91.22	50	117.4	25.491				
100		5	54.94	48.2	65.2	6.5832				

## Graphics



## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill				
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version					
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison		16-9232-9707	16-9232-9707	19 Jul-06 8:39 AM	CETISv1.1.2					
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV				
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A				
<b>Group Comparisons</b>											
Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100		0.32514	1.85955	0.3767	10.1497	Non-Significant Effect				
<b>ANOVA Table</b>											
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)					
Between	7.873582	7.873582	1	0.11	0.75342	Non-Significant Effect					
Error	595.8298	74.47873	8								
Total	603.703416	82.352311	9								
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>											
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)						
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.73128	23.15450	0.60798	Equal Variances						
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.96823		0.87396	Normal Distribution						
<b>Data Summary</b>				<b>Original Data</b>							
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	29.605	12.833	36.826	9.717					
100		5	27.831	20.878	40.21	7.385					
<b>Graphics</b>											

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill					
Endpoint		Analysis Type		Sample Link		Control Link	Date Analyzed					
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)		Comparison		16-9232-9707		16-9232-9707	19 Jul-06 8:39 AM					
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV					
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A					
Group Comparisons												
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)						
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	1.66375	1.85955	0.0674	1.4375	Non-Significant Effect						
ANOVA Table												
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)						
Between	4.135365	4.135365	1	2.77	0.13473	Non-Significant Effect						
Error	11.95166	1.493957	8									
Total	16.0870247	5.6293229	9									
ANOVA Assumptions												
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)							
Variances	Variance Ratio F	23.03905	23.15450	0.01009	Equal Variances							
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.80368		0.01608	Normal Distribution							
Data Summary												
Original Data												
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean					
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	4.96040	2.05668	6.26333	1.69222						
100		5	3.67428	3.27800	4.15801	0.35255						
Transformed Data												
Graphics												

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg)	Comparison		16-9232-9707	16-9232-9707	19 Jul-06 8:39 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A		
Group Comparisons									
Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)		
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100		0.38989	1.85955	0.3534	15.0911	Non-Significant Effect		
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	25.0295	25.0295	1	0.15	0.70679	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	1317.221	164.6527	8						
Total	1342.25081	189.68216	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.36097	23.15450	0.77245	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.87175		0.10478	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary				Original Data					
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	36.878	13.317	46.99	13.778			
100		5	33.714	15.53	47.044	11.810			
Graphics									

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version	
Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg)	Comparison		16-9232-9707	16-9232-9707	19 Jul-06 8:39 AM	CETISv1.1.2	
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A
<b>Group Comparisons</b>							
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)		
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	-0.7592	1.85955	0.7652	Non-Significant Effect		
<b>ANOVA Table</b>							
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)	
Between	0.1372013	0.137201	1	0.58	0.46952	Non-Significant Effect	
Error	1.904404	0.238051	8				
Total	2.04160514	0.3752518	9				
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>							
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)		
Variances	Variance Ratio F	2.35752	23.15450	0.42657	Equal Variances		
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.86708		0.09240	Normal Distribution		
<b>Data Summary</b>							
			Original Data				Transformed Data
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	1.61720	0.64667	2.06331	0.57819	
100		5	1.85146	1.53000	2.27400	0.37657	
<b>Graphics</b>							

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 8 of 9  
 Report Date: 19 Jul-06 8:40 AM  
 Analysis: 02-5986-7407/B156601psA



## Plant Bioassay - Chronic

CH2M Hill

Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version				
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison		16-9232-9707	16-9232-9707	19 Jul-06 8:39 AM	CETISv1.1.2				
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	PMSD		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A	30.99%		
<b>Group Comparisons</b>										
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic		Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100		0.44572	1.85955	0.3338	20.6046 Non-Significant Effect				
<b>ANOVA Table</b>										
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)				
Between	60.97949	60.97949	1	0.20	0.66761	Non-Significant Effect				
Error	2455.527	306.9409	8							
Total	2516.50683	367.92041	9							
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>										
Attribute	Test	Statistic		Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	8.06740		23.15450	0.06761	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.83722			0.04087	Normal Distribution				
<b>Data Summary</b>			<b>Original Data</b>				<b>Transformed Data</b>			
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	66.484	26.15	82.77	23.370				
100		5	61.545	51.644	71.45	8.2281				
<b>Graphics</b>										

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 9 of 9  
 Report Date: 19 Jul-06 8:40 AM  
 Analysis: 12-1118-5196/B156601psA

Plant Bioassay - Chronic						CH2M Hill				
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version				
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		16-9232-9707	16-9232-9707	19 Jul-06 8:39 AM	CETISv1.1.2				
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units				
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1				
N/A	29.78%									
Group Comparisons										
Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD				
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100		0.99861	1.85955	0.1738	1.95675				
						Non-Significant Effect				
ANOVA Table										
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)				
Between	2.766133	2.766133	1	1.00	0.34723	Non-Significant Effect				
Error	22.19074	2.773843	8							
Total	24.9568751	5.5399754	9							
ANOVA Assumptions										
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)					
Variances	Variance Ratio F	11.00706	23.15450	0.03931	Equal Variances					
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.84038		0.04459	Normal Distribution					
Data Summary										
Original Data			Transformed Data							
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	6.57761	2.70335	8.32866	2.25514				
100		5	5.52573	4.81399	6.40199	0.67973				
Graphics										
										

## BLUEGRASS GROWTH TEST

Client: Washington Closure Hanford Project

Test Start Date: 4-5-06

Incubation  
 Day 0 10 Day 12 Brown Day 14 NT Day 16 10 Day 18 NT Day 20 NT Day 21 NT Day 23 10 Day 25 20 Day 33 From

		# seeds germinated							pH		
CONC.	REPLICATE	12 days after planting	14 days after planting	16 days after planting	18 days after planting	21 days after planting	23 days after planting	7-DAYS POST-Emergence ( <u>12</u> days after planting)	14-DAYS POST-Emergence ( <u>13</u> days after planting)	INITIAL ( <u>0</u> planting)	FINAL ( <u>14</u> days Post-Emergence)
Control	A	6	7	7	7	7	7	7-5	5	<u>6.2</u>	<u>7.6</u>
	B	4	5	5	5	5	3	5	5		
	C	2	4	4	7	8	9	9-5	5		
	D	3	8	9	10	11	11*	10-5	5		
	E	6	6	10	6	6	4	6-5	5		

7-Days Post-Emergence: Selectively thin down to 6 seedlings (Leave the 8 tallest seedlings). Describe shoot appearance <sup>\* 10 Bluegrass + 1 crabgrass</sup>

Replicate A 5 Lg G

removed: 1 med G, 1 med w/brown, 6 small seedlings

Replicate B 5 Lg G

removed: 1 small

Replicate C 2 Lg G, 2 med G, 1 sm G

removed: 1 sm G, crabgrass

Replicate D 5 Lg G

removed: 2 Lg G, 3 med G, crabgrass

Replicate E 5 Lg G

removed: 1 med G

Appearance Code: Good (G) = deep green color with no brown, Brown (B) = brown color noted, Lg = # of large plants (tallest, 8+ shoots), Med = # of plants (smaller than large, fewer shoots), Sm = # small plants (1-3 shoots)

14-Days Post-Emergence: Describe shoot appearance

Replicate A 3 Lg G, 1 Lg G w/1 B tip, 1 Lg G w/1 B shootReplicate B 2 Lg G, 2 Lg G w/1 B tip each, 1 Mdg G w/1 B shootReplicate C 2 Mdg G, 1 Mdg G w/1 B shoot, 2 Sm GReplicate D 1 Lg G, 2 Lg G w/1 B tip each, 2 Lg G w/1 B shoot each

Replicate E

Measure Shoot Height:

Individual height of each seedling  
(above ground)

	1st Seedling	2nd Seedling	3rd Seedling	4th Seedling	5th Seedling
Replicate A	69 mm	52 mm	61 mm	80 mm	58 mm
Replicate B	67 mm	58 mm	33 mm	43 mm	43 mm
Replicate C	40 mm	49 mm	15 mm	31 mm	52 mm
Replicate D	70 mm	56 mm	77 mm	59 mm	55 mm
Replicate E	81 mm	43 mm	49 mm	62 mm	39 mm

Measure Shoot Weight:

Total mass of all seedlings  
(above ground)

	Tot Tare Wt (mg)	Wet Wt (mg)	Dry Wt (mg)
Replicate A	981.78	1074.1	1001.49
Replicate B	1034.81	1115.6	1047.85
Replicate C	996.47	+1000.039.10	1003.22
Replicate D	988.56	1112.0	1009.62
Replicate E	988.94	1073.4	1006.12

Describe root appearance:

- Replicate A
- Replicate B
- Replicate C
- Replicate D
- Replicate E

Measure Root Length:

Individual length of the longest root  
from each seedling

	1st Seedling	2nd Seedling	3rd Seedling	4th Seedling	5th Seedling
Replicate A	5141 mm	39 mm	63 mm	64 mm	77 mm
Replicate B	55 mm	66 mm	79 mm	37 mm	79 mm
Replicate C	6104.31 mm	31 mm	52 mm	63 mm	47 mm
Replicate D	64 mm	67 mm	72 mm	59 mm	66 mm
Replicate E	54 mm	71 mm	73 mm	89 mm	35 mm

Measure Root Weight:

Total mass of all roots from all seedlings

	Tot Tare Wt (mg)	Wet Wt (mg)	Dry Wt (mg)
Replicate A	985.82	1169.3	995.24
Replicate B	1034.96	1144.4	1040.44
Replicate C	1001.76	1055.7	1005.03
Replicate D	1026.16	1235.0	1034.76
Replicate E	1016.36	1173.0	1023.77

Comments:

MS  
7/18

## CETIS Test Summary

Plant Bioassay - Chronic				CH2M Hill	
Test No:	09-7104-7132	Test Type:	Plant Chronic	Duration:	N/A
Start Date:	05 Apr-06	Protocol:	ASTM E1963-02 (2002)	Species:	Poa sandbergii
Ending Date:		Dil Water:		Source:	
Setup Date:	05 Apr-06	Brine:			
Comments:	recalculated Height and Length data July 19, 2006				
Sample No:	06-7557-8523	Code:	B1566-02	Client:	
Sample Date:	27 Mar-06	Material:	Soil	Project:	
Receive Date:		Source:	Hanford		
Sample Age:	9d 0h	Station:			
Comments:	J11JB7				
Comparison Summary					
Analysis	Endpoint	NOEL	LOEL	ChV	PMSD
07-0787-0776	% Germination	100	> 100	N/A	20.96%
15-0357-2988	Average Height (mm)	< 100	100	N/A	15.82%
16-0101-1954	Average Length (mm)	< 100	100	N/A	24.57%
04-2251-3543	Average AG Wt (Wet, mg)	< 100	100	N/A	32.62%
10-2274-8929	Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)	< 100	100	N/A	33.96%
00-9088-8642	Average Root Wt (Wet, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	41.70%
09-9206-1899	Average Root Wt (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	39.19%
12-3314-0779	Average Total Wt (Wet, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	37.41%
07-5363-6775	Average Total Wt (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	34.99%

## CETIS Test Summary

% Germination Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.84000	0.60000	1.00000	0.09798	0.21909	26.08%
100		5	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00%
Average Height (mm) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	75.780	61	84.400	3.9903	8.9226	11.77%
100		5	53.880	37.400	65	5.0623	11.32	21.01%
Average Length (mm) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	91.22	50	117.40	11.4	25.491	27.94%
100		5	60.600	45	65.6	3.9192	8.7636	14.46%
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	29.605	12.833	36.828	4.3456	9.717	32.82%
100		5	18.574	8.6660	24.688	2.8451	6.3618	34.25%
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	4.96040	2.05668	6.26333	0.75679	1.69222	34.11%
100		5	3.06959	1.35000	4.01200	0.49767	1.11283	36.25%
Average Root Wt (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	36.878	13.317	46.99	6.1616	13.778	37.36%
100		5	28.494	10.788	41.768	5.5165	12.335	43.29%
Average Root Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	1.61720	0.64667	2.06331	0.25857	0.57819	35.75%
100		5	1.36680	0.65400	1.88400	0.22198	0.49637	36.32%
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	68.484	26.150	82.77	10.452	23.370	35.15%
100		5	47.067	19.454	68.456	8.3444	18.659	39.64%
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	6.57761	2.70335	8.32668	1.00853	2.25514	34.29%
100		5	4.43639	2.00400	5.82599	0.71726	1.60385	36.15%

## CETIS Test Summary

% Germination Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	0.60000	1.00000	1.00000	0.60000	1.00000
100		1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000
Average Height (mm) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	61	84.4000	75.8000	80.7	77
100		65	48.8	37.4000	63.4000	54.8
Average Length (mm) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	50	117.400	99.8000	88.7	102.2
100		64.8000	63.2000	45	65.6	64.4000
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	12.8333	36.8260	31.748	35.78	30.84
100		22.464	18.158	8.66602	24.688	20.8920
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	2.05668	6.05200	5.26000	6.26333	5.17000
100		3.94199	2.60798	1.35000	4.01200	3.43600
Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	13.3167	45.2540	36.3420	46.99	42.488
100		36.6960	21.8880	10.788	41.768	31.3280
Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	0.64667	1.92800	1.52800	2.06331	1.92001
100		1.88400	1.09600	0.65400	1.71799	1.48201
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	26.1500	82.0800	68.0900	82.77	73.328
100		59.16	38.046	19.4540	66.456	52.22
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	2.70335	7.98000	6.78801	8.32668	7.09000
100		5.82599	3.70398	2.00400	5.73000	4.91799

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 1 of 9  
 Report Date: 19 Jul-08 8:43 AM  
 Analysis: 07-0787-0776/B156602ps8

## Plant Bioassay - Chronic

CH2M HILL

Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version		
% Germination	Comparison		15-6440-9896	15-6440-9896	19 Jul-08 8:43 AM	CETISv1.1.2		
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	PMSD
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Angular (Corrected)		100	>100	1	N/A	20.96%

## Group Comparisons

Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100		-1.633	1.85955	0.9294	0.20917	Non-Significant Effect

## ANOVA Table

Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)
Between	0.084348	0.084348	1	2.67	0.14111	Non-Significant Effect
Error	0.2530439	0.031630	8			
Total	0.33739194	0.1159785	9			


## ANOVA Assumptions

Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)
Variances	Modified Levene	4.80000	11.25862	0.05984	Equal Variances
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.81415		0.02153	Normal Distribution

## Data Summary

Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Original Data				Transformed Data			
			Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.84000	0.60000	1.00000	0.21909	1.16160	0.88608	1.34528	0.25152
100		5	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	0.00000	1.34528	1.34528	1.34528	0.00020

## Graphics



# CETIS Analysis Detail



Comparisons: Page 2 of 9  
 Report Date: 19 Jul-06 8:43 AM  
 Analysis: 15-0357-2986/B156602psB

## Plant Bioassay - Chronic

CH2M Hill

Endpoint	Analysis Type	Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version						
Average Height (mm)	Comparison	15-6440-9896	15-6440-9896	19 Jul-06 8:43 AM	CETISv1.1.2						
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	PMSD			
Equal Variance   Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		<100	100	N/A		15.82%			
<b>Group Comparisons</b>											
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)						
Artificial Soil/Sedi 100	3.39754	1.85955	0.0047	11.9863	Significant Effect						
<b>ANOVA Table</b>											
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)					
Between	1199.025	1199.025	1	11.54	0.00939	Significant Effect					
Error	830.978	103.872	8								
Total	2030.00098	1302.8970	9								
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>											
Attribute Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)							
Variances Variance Ratio F	1.60946	23.15450	0.65604	Equal Variances							
Distribution Shapiro-Wilk W	0.90207		0.23082	Normal Distribution							
<b>Data Summary</b>											
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	75.780	61	84.4	8.9226					
100		5	53.880	37.4	65	11.32					

## Graphics



## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Length (mm)	Comparison		15-6440-9896	15-6440-9896	19 Jul-06 9:17 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		<100	100	N/A	24.57%		
Group Comparisons									
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)			
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	2.5401	1.85955	0.0174	22.4162	Significant Effect			
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	2343.961	2343.961	1	8.45	0.03471	Significant Effect			
Error	2908.288	363.288	8						
Total	5250.24902	2707.2469	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	8.46057	23.15450	0.06231	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.87858		0.12566	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary				Original Data					
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	91.22	50	117.4	25.491			
100		5	60.600	45	65.8	8.7636			
				Minimum	Maximum	SD	Transformed Data		

### Graphics

## CETIS Analysis Detail

### Plant Bioassay - Chronic

CH2M Hill

Endpoint	Analysis Type	Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison	15-6440-9896	15-6440-9896	19 Jul-06 8:43 AM	CETISv1.1.2

Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	PMSD
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		<100	100		N/A	32.62%

### Group Comparisons

Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100		2.12393	1.85955	0.0332	9.65866	Significant Effect

### ANOVA Table


Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)
Between	304.255	304.255	1	4.51	0.06642	Non-Significant Effect
Error	539.5712	67.4464	8			
Total	843.826233	371.70141	9			

### ANOVA Assumptions

Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)
Variances	Variance Ratio F	2.33292	23.15450	0.43209	Equal Variances
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.83430		0.03769	Normal Distribution

Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Original Data				Transformed Data			
			Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	29.605	12.833	36.826	9.717				
100		5	18.574	8.6660	24.688	6.3618				

### Graphics





# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		15-6440-9896	15-6440-9896	19 Jul-06 8:43 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		<100	100	N/A	33.96%		
Group Comparisons									
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)			
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	2.08754	1.85955	0.0351	1.68430	Significant Effect			
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	8.937865	8.937865	1	4.36	0.07029	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	16.40803	2.051004	8						
Total	25.3458948	10.988869	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variancse Ratio F	2.31238	23.15450	0.43679	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.84486		0.05044	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary				Original Data					
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	4.96040	2.05668	6.26333	1.69222			
100		5	3.06959	1.35000	4.01200	1.11283			
Transformed Data									
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	4.96040	2.05668	6.26333	1.69222			
100		5	3.06959	1.35000	4.01200	1.11283			
Graphics									

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic						CH2M Hill
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version
Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg)	Comparison		15-6440-9896	15-6440-9896	19 Jul-08 8:43 AM	CETISv1.1.2
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1
Group Comparisons						
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100	1.01382	1.85955	0.1702	15.379	Non-Significant Effect
ANOVA Table						
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)
Between	175.7511	175.7511	1	1.03	0.34035	Non-Significant Effect
Error	1367.951	170.9939	8			
Total	1543.70190	348.74495	9			
ANOVA Assumptions						
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)	
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.24753	23.15450	0.83546	Equal Variances	
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.87920		0.12775	Normal Distribution	
Data Summary			Original Data			
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	36.878	13.317	46.99	13.778
100		5	28.494	10.788	41.768	12.335
Transformed Data						
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	36.878	13.317	46.99	13.778
100		5	28.494	10.788	41.768	12.335
Graphics						

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill			
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version				
Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg)	Comparison		15-6440-9896	15-6440-9896	19 Jul-06 8:43 AM	CETISv1.1.2				
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV			
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A			
Group Comparisons										
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)					
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100	0.73477	1.85955	0.2417	0.63371	Non-Significant Effect				
ANOVA Table										
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)				
Between	0.1567499	0.15675	1	0.54	0.48346	Non-Significant Effect				
Error	2.322712	0.290339	8							
Total	2.47946206	0.4470889	9							
ANOVA Assumptions										
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)					
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.35685	23.15450	0.77462	Equal Variances					
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.87393		0.11105	Normal Distribution					
Data Summary			Original Data			Transformed Data				
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	1.61720	0.64667	2.06331	0.57819				
100		5	1.36680	0.65400	1.88400	0.49637				
Graphics										
 <p>A one-sided t-test plot comparing Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg) at 0% concentration (mean ~1.62) against 100% concentration (mean ~1.37). The null hypothesis is rejected at the 0.05 level.</p>										
 <p>A normality plot showing the relationship between Centred Untransformed values and Ranks. The data points follow a linear trend, indicating approximate normality.</p>										

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill	
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version		
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison		15-6440-9896	15-6440-9896	19 Jul-06 8:43 AM	CETISv1.1.2		
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A	
Group Comparisons								
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)		
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100	1.4518	1.85955	0.0923	24.8697	Non-Significant Effect		
ANOVA Table								
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)		
Between	942.4918	942.4918	1	2.11	0.18462	Non-Significant Effect		
Error	3577.302	447.1627	8					
Total	4519.79327	1389.6544	9					
ANOVA Assumptions								
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)			
Variance	Variance Ratio F	1.56883	23.15450	0.67328	Equal Variances			
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.85602		0.06847	Normal Distribution			
Data Summary								
Conc-%		Original Data			Transformed Data			
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	66.484	26.15	82.77	23.370		
100		5	47.067	19.454	66.456	18.659		
Graphics								

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 9 of 9  
 Report Date: 19 Jul-06 8:43 AM  
 Analysis: 07-5363-6775/B156602psB

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill					
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version						
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		15-6440-9896	15-6440-9896	19 Jul-06 8:43 AM	CETISv1.1.2						
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV					
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A					
<b>Group Comparisons</b>												
Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)					
Artificial Soil/Sedl		100	1.73017	1.85955	0.0609	2.30133	Non-Significant Effect					
<b>ANOVA Table</b>												
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)						
Between	11.46197	11.46197	1	2.99	0.12185	Non-Significant Effect						
Error	30.6319	3.828987	8									
Total	42.0938644	15.290956	9									
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>												
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)							
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.97707	23.15450	0.52538	Equal Variances							
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.84141		0.04587	Normal Distribution							
<b>Data Summary</b>												
Original Data												
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	6.57761	2.70335	8.32666	2.25514						
100		5	4.43639	2.00400	5.82599	1.60385						
<b>Graphics</b>												

## BLUEGRASS GROWTH TEST

Client: Washington Closure Hanford Project

Initial Day 0 15 Day 12 Brown Day 15 NJ Day 16 P Day 18 NJ Day 21 NJ Day 23 O Day 26 DW Day 25 BN

Test Start Date: 4-5-06Bioassay Lab ID: DMRGC 1566-03 Sample No: S11JHS

CONC.	REPLICATE	# seeds germinated						7-DAYS POST-EMERGENCE ( <u>24</u> days after planting)	14-DAYS POST-EMERGENCE ( <u>33</u> days after planting)	pH
		12 days after planting	14 days after planting	16 days after planting	18 days after planting	21 days after planting	23 days after planting			
Control	A	4	6	6	6	6	6	6-5	5	<u>6.3</u>
	B	4	5	45	7	6	6	78-5	5	
	C	7	10	10	11	12	12	11-5	5	
	D	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
	E	3	3	4	6	10	6	5	5	

7-Days Post-Emergence: Selectively tick down to 5 Seedlings (Leave the 6 bottom seedlings). Describe shoot appearance:

- Replicate A 5 Lg G, remaind = 1 Lg G  
 Replicate B 1 Lg G, 3 mb G, 1 Sm G, remained = 2 Sm G  
 Replicate C 4 Lg G, 1 mb G, remained = 2 mb G, 4 Sm G + 1 non-lignified callus  
 Replicate D 1 Lg G  
 Replicate E 2 Lg G, 2 mb G, 1 Sm G

Appearance Code: Good (G) = deep green color with no brown, Brown (B) = brown color noted, Lg (Lg) = # of large plants (tallest, 6+ shoots), Med (M) = # of plants (smaller than large, fewer shoots), Sm (S) = # small plants (1-3 shoots)

14-Days Post-Emergence: Describe shoot appearance:

- Replicate A 2 Lg G, 1 Lg G w/ 1 B shoot, 2 M G  
 Replicate B 2 Lg G w/ 1 B tip each, 2 M G, 1 Sm G  
 Replicate C 4 Lg G, 1 Mb G w/ 1 B tip  
 Replicate D 1 Lg w/ 2 B tips  
 Replicate E 2 Lg G, 1 mb G, 1 Mb G w/ 1 B shoot, 1 Sm G

Measure Shoot Height:

Individual height of each seedling  
(above ground)

	1st Seedling	2nd Seedling	3rd Seedling	4th Seedling	5th Seedling
Replicate A	49 mm	51 mm	77 mm	51 mm	87 mm
Replicate B	67 mm	48 mm	34 mm	47 mm	59 mm
Replicate C	90 mm	85 mm	56 mm	97 mm	38 mm
Replicate D	83 mm	mm	mm	mm	mm
Replicate E	26 mm	67 mm	45 mm	26 mm	41 mm

Measure Shoot Weight:

Total mass of all seedlings  
(above ground)

	Tn Tare Wt. (mg)	Wet Wt (mg)	Dry Wt. (mg)
Replicate A	1033.26	1133.8	1049.56
Replicate B	995.50	1051.3	1006.14
Replicate C	989.87	1132.0	1014.39
Replicate D	980.24	1023.3	988.75
Replicate E	973.50	1050.4	985.73

Describe root appearance:

- Replicate A  
 Replicate B  
 Replicate C  
 Replicate D  
 Replicate E

Measure Root Length:

Individual length of the longest root  
from each seedling

	1st Seedling	2nd Seedling	3rd Seedling	4th Seedling	5th Seedling
Replicate A	67 mm	49 mm	48 mm	68 mm	63 mm
Replicate B	10 mm	60 mm	51 mm	46 mm	40 mm
Replicate C	52 mm	43 mm	33 mm	66 mm	78 mm
Replicate D	73 mm	mm	mm	mm	mm
Replicate E	19 mm	63 mm	16 mm	31 mm	127 mm

Measure Root Weight:

Total mass of all roots from all seedlings

	Tn Tare Wt. (mg)	Wet Wt (mg)	Dry Wt (mg)
Replicate A	1016.26	121.9	1022.22
Replicate B	1000.48	1053.2	1005.27
Replicate C	996.43	1124.2	1013.65
Replicate D	997.30	1067.9	1000.75
Replicate E	995.90	1028.7	1001.64

Comments:

MS

291-

## CETIS Test Summary

Plant Bioassay - Chronic					CH2M HILL
Test No:	05-5352-4510	Test Type:	Plant Chronic	Duration:	N/A
Start Date:	05 Apr-06	Protocol:	ASTM E1963-02 (2002)	Species:	Poa sandbergii
Ending Date:		Dil Water:		Source:	
Setup Date:	05 Apr-06	Brine:			
Comments:	recalculated Height and Length data July 19, 2006				
Sample No:	03-3130-8104	Code:	B1566-03	Client:	
Sample Date:	30 Mar-06	Material:	Soil	Project:	
Receive Date:		Source:	Hanford		
Sample Age:	6d 0h	Station:			
Comments:	J11JH5				
Comparison Summary					
Analysis	Endpoint	NOEL	LOEL	ChV	PMSD
09-4240-7124	% Germination	100	> 100	N/A	41.99%
00-9654-0043	Average Height (mm)	100	> 100	N/A	19.95%
03-3823-1455	Average Length (mm)	< 100	100	N/A	26.29%
03-2295-5367	Average AG Wt (Wet, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	44.73%
13-4570-8180	Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	52.20%
10-8115-4404	Average Root Wt (Wet, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	62.15%
06-1666-2618	Average Root Wt (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	72.74%
17-7998-0152	Average Total Wt (Wet, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	54.13%
07-1279-4134	Average Total Wt (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	55.73%
Method					
					Wilcoxon Rank Sum Two-Sample
					Equal Variance t Two-Sample
					Equal Variance t Two-Sample
					Equal Variance t Two-Sample
					Equal Variance t Two-Sample
					Equal Variance t Two-Sample
					Equal Variance t Two-Sample
					Equal Variance t Two-Sample
					Equal Variance t Two-Sample
					Equal Variance t Two-Sample



## CETIS Test Summary

% Germination Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.84000	0.60000	1.00000	0.09798	0.21909	26.08%
100		5	0.84000	0.20000	1.00000	0.16000	0.35777	42.59%
Average Height (mm) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	75.780	61	84.400	3.9903	8.9228	11.77%
100		5	63.04	43	83	7.0853	15.843	25.13%
Average Length (mm) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	91.22	50	117.40	11.4	25.491	27.94%
100		5	57.800	41.400	73	6.0256	13.474	23.31%
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	29.605	12.833	36.828	4.3456	9.717	32.82%
100		5	23.626	11.180	43.08	5.8416	12.615	53.39%
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	4.96040	2.05668	6.26333	0.75679	1.69222	34.11%
100		5	4.24840	2.12800	8.51001	1.16888	2.61363	61.52%
Average Root Wt (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	36.878	13.317	46.99	6.1618	13.778	37.36%
100		5	32.477	11.544	70.600	10.675	23.871	73.50%
Average Root Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	1.61720	0.64667	2.06331	0.25857	0.57819	35.75%
100		5	2.03640	0.94800	3.45001	0.57735	1.29098	63.40%
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	66.484	28.150	82.77	10.452	23.370	35.15%
100		5	58.103	22.704	113.68	16.289	38.423	64.92%
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	6.5776	2.7033	8.3267	1.0085	2.2551	34.29%
100		5	6.2848	3.0760	11.960	1.6937	3.7873	60.26%

## CETIS Test Summary

% Germination Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	0.60000	1.00000	1.00000	0.60000	1.00000
100		1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	0.20000	1.00000
Average Height (mm) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	61	84.4000	75.8000	80.7	77
100		63	43	73.2	83	53
Average Length (mm) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	50	117.400	99.8000	86.7	102.2
100		59	41.4000	68.4000	73	47.2000
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	12.8333	36.8260	31.748	35.78	30.84
100		20.1080	11.1600	28.4260	43.06	15.3760
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	2.05668	8.05200	5.26000	6.26333	5.17000
100		3.26001	2.12800	4.90200	8.51001	2.44199
Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	13.3167	45.2540	36.3420	46.99	42.488
100		21.1280	11.544	40.554	70.6000	18.56
Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	0.64667	1.92800	1.52800	2.06331	1.92001
100		1.19199	0.94800	3.44401	3.45001	1.14800
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	26.1500	82.0800	68.0900	82.77	73.328
100		41.2360	22.7040	68.98	113.66	33.938
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	2.70335	7.98000	6.78801	8.32668	7.09000
100		4.45200	3.07600	8.34601	11.9600	3.58999

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill				
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version					
% Germination	Comparison		10-4856-7465	10-4856-7465	19 Jul-06 8:47 AM	CETISv1.1.2					
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV				
Wilcoxon Rank Sum Two-Sample	C > T	Rank		100	>100	1	N/A				
Group Comparisons											
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Ties	Decision(0.05)					
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100	29		0.5794	3	Non-Significant Effect					
ANOVA Table											
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)					
Between	0.0001352	0.000135	1	0.00	0.97281	Non-Significant Effect					
Error	0.8748686	0.109359	8								
Total	0.87500388	0.1094938	9								
ANOVA Assumptions											
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)						
Variances	Variance Ratio F	2.45738	23.15450	0.40518	Equal Variances						
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.65938		0.00028	Non-normal Distribution						
Data Summary				Original Data							
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.84000	0.60000	1.00000	0.21909	5.20000	2.50000	7.00000	2.46475	
100		5	0.84000	0.20000	1.00000	0.35777	5.80000	1.00000	7.00000	2.68328	
Graphics											
											

## CETIS Analysis Detail



Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M HILL		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Height (mm)	Comparison		10-4856-7465	10-4856-7465	19-Jul-06 8:47 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A	19.95%	
Group Comparisons									
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	1.56872	1.85955	0.0779	15.1212	Non-Significant Effect			
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	405.7691	405.7691	1	2.45	0.15582	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	1322.48	165.31	8						
Total	1728.24905	571.07907	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	3.15289	23.15450	0.29205	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.96966		0.88768	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary									
Conc-%		Control Type	Count	Original Data		Transformed Data			
0	Artificial Soil/Sedi	5	75.780	61	84.4	8.9226			
100		5	63.04	43	83	15.843			
Graphics									

# CETIS Analysis Detail.

Comparisons: Page 1 of 1  
 Report Date: 19 Jul-08 9:21 AM  
 Analysis: 03-3823-1455/B156603psC

## Plant Bioassay - Chronic

CH2M Hill

Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version				
Average Length (mm)	Comparison		10-4856-7485	10-4856-7485	19 Jul-08 9:21 AM	CETISv1.1.2				
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units				
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed	<100	100	N/A	PMSD				
<b>Group Comparisons</b>										
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)					
Artificial Soil/Sedi 100	2.59185	1.85955	0.0160	23.9775	Significant Effect					
<b>ANOVA Table</b>										
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)				
Between	2792.241	2792.241	1	6.72	0.03202	Significant Effect				
Error	3325.248	415.656	8							
Total	6117.48877	3207.8967	9							
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>										
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)					
Variances	Variance Ratio F	3.57922	23.15450	0.24448	Equal Variances					
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.93777		0.52849	Normal Distribution					
<b>Data Summary</b>										
Original Data										
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	91.22	50	117.4	25.491				
100		5	57.800	41.4	73	13.474				
<b>Graphics</b>										
 <p>Average Length (mm) vs Conc-%. The plot shows two data points: one at 0% conc. (~91 mm) and one at 100% conc. (~58 mm). A horizontal dashed line at ~70 mm is labeled "Reject Null".</p>							 <p>Standardized Residuals vs Ranks. The plot shows data points forming a roughly linear pattern from bottom-left to top-right. A solid diagonal line represents the identity line. A horizontal dashed line at 0 and a vertical dashed line at 0 both intersect the identity line at the origin.</p>			

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 4 of 9  
 Report Date: 19 Jul-08 8:47 AM  
 Analysis: 03-2295-5367/B156603psC

## Plant Bioassay - Chronic

CH2M Hill

Endpoint	Analysis Type	Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison	10-4856-7465	10-4856-7465	19 Jul-08 8:47 AM	CETISv1.1.2

Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	PMSD
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A	44.73%

## Group Comparisons

Control	vs.	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100		0.83967	1.85955	0.2127	13.2423	Non-Significant Effect

## ANOVA Table

Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)
Between	89.38481	89.38481	1	0.71	0.42548	Non-Significant Effect
Error	1014.24	126.78	8			
Total	1103.6245	216.16477	9			


## ANOVA Assumptions

Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.68545	23.15450	0.62545	Equal Variances
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.97199		0.90865	Normal Distribution

## Data Summary

Original Data						Transformed Data				
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	29.603	12.833	36.826	9.717				
100		5	23.628	11.160	43.06	12.613				

## Graphics



## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill								
Endpoint	Analysis Type	Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version										
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison	10-4856-7465	10-4856-7465	19 Jul-06 8:47 AM	CETISv1.1.2										
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	PMSD							
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A	52.20%							
Group Comparisons															
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)									
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100	0.51132	1.85955	0.3115	2.58935	Non-Significant Effect									
ANOVA Table															
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)									
Between	1.26735	1.26735	1	0.26	0.62294	Non-Significant Effect									
Error	38.7791	4.847388	8												
Total	40.0464520	6.1147375	9												
ANOVA Assumptions															
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)										
Variances	Variance Ratio F	2.38550	23.15450	0.42040	Equal Variances										
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.94807		0.64572	Normal Distribution										
Data Summary															
Original Data			Transformed Data												
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD					
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	4.96040	2.05668	6.26333	1.69222									
100		5	4.24840	2.12800	8.51001	2.61363									
Graphics															

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 6 of 9  
 Report Date: 19 Jul-08 8:47 AM  
 Analysis: 10-8115-4404/B156603psC

## Plant Bioassay - Chronic

CH2M Hill

Endpoint	Analysis Type	Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version
Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg)	Comparison	10-4856-7465	10-4856-7465	19 Jul-08 8:47 AM	CETISv1.1.2

Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	PMSD
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A	62.15%

## Group Comparisons

Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100		0.35705	1.85955	0.3651	22.9207	Non-Significant Effect

## ANOVA Table



Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)
Between	48.42056	48.42056	1	0.13	0.73029	Non-Significant Effect
Error	3038.583	379.8229	8			
Total	3087.00356	428.24343	9			

## ANOVA Assumptions

Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)
Variances	Variance Ratio F	3.00179	23.15450	0.31225	Equal Variances
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.92487		0.39940	Normal Distribution

Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Original Data				Transformed Data			
			Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	36.878	13.317	46.99	13.778				
100		5	32.477	11.544	70.600	23.871				

## Graphics



## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill			
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version				
Average Root WT (Dry, mg)	Comparison		10-4856-7465	10-4856-7465	19 Jul-06 8:47 AM	CETISv1.1.2				
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	PMSD		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A	72.74%		
Group Comparisons										
Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)			
Artificial Soil/Sedi		100	-0.6627	1.85955	0.7369	1.17638	Non-Significant Effect			
ANOVA Table										
Source	Sum of Squares		Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	0.4393268		0.439327	1	0.44	0.52617	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	8.003755		1.000469	8						
Total	8.44308144		1.4397961	9						
ANOVA Assumptions										
Attribute	Test		Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variance	Variance Ratio F		4.98547	23.15450	0.14852	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W		0.88498		0.14871	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary				Original Data				Transformed Data		
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	1.61720	0.64667	2.06331	0.57819				
100		5	2.03640	0.94800	3.45001	1.29098				
Graphics										

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill					
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version						
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison		10-4856-7465	10-4856-7465	19 Jul-06 8:47 AM	CETISv1.1.2						
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV					
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A					
<b>Group Comparisons</b>												
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)							
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	0.53638	1.85955	0.3032	35.989	Non-Significant Effect						
<b>ANOVA Table</b>												
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)						
Between	269.3819	269.3819	1	0.29	0.60630	Non-Significant Effect						
Error	7491.238	936.4048	8									
Total	7760.62021	1205.7867	9									
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>												
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)							
Variances	Variance Ratio F	2.42893	23.15450	0.41109	Equal Variances							
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.94678		0.63064	Normal Distribution							
<b>Data Summary</b>												
Original Data			Transformed Data									
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean					
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	66.484	26.15	82.77	23.370						
100		5	56.103	22.704	113.66	36.423						
<b>Graphics</b>												

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M HiB					
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version						
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		10-4856-7465	10-4856-7465	19 Jul-08 8:47 AM	CETISv1.1.2						
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV					
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A					
<b>Group Comparisons</b>												
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)							
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	0.14854	1.85955	0.4428	3.66564	Non-Significant Effect						
<b>ANOVA Table</b>												
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)						
Between	0.2143312	0.214331	1	0.02	0.88560	Non-Significant Effect						
Error	77.71687	9.714608	8									
Total	77.9311967	9.9289394	9									
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>												
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)							
Variance 1	Variance Ratio F	2.82040	23.15450	0.33935	Equal Variances							
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.94597		0.62111	Normal Distribution							
<b>Data Summary</b>												
Original Data												
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean					
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	6.57761	2.70335	8.32668	2.25514						
100		5	8.28480	3.07600	11.98600	3.78729						
Transformed Data												
Graphics												

## BLUEGRASS GROWTH TEST

Client: Washington Closure Hanford Project

Test Start Date: 4-5-04

Day 0 15 Day 12 NT Day 16 (P) Day 18 NT Day 21 NT Day 23 (P) Day 26 NT Day 33 BN

Bioassay Lab ID: BM 26/1566-04 Sample No: 511548

CONC.	REPLICATE	8 seeds germinated						pH	
		12 days after planting	14 days after planting	16 days after planting	18 days after planting	21 days after planting	23 days after planting	7-DAYS POST-EMERGENCE ( <u>26</u> days after planting)	14-DAYS POST-EMERGENCE ( <u>&gt;26</u> days after planting)
Control	A	4	6	6	7	7	7	7-5	5
	B	2	4	4	6	7	7	6-5	5
	C	5	7	7	7	7	7	7-5	5
	D	6	6	6	6	6	6	6-5	5
	E	7	9	9	9	10	10	10-5	5

7-Days Post-Emergence: Selectively thin down to 8 Seedlings (Leave the 5 tallest seedlings). Describe shoot appearance:

Replicate A: 5 Lg G, 1 med G, 1 sm G removedReplicate B: 1 Lg G, 4 med G, 1 sm G removedReplicate C: 5 Lg G, Removed: 2 med GReplicate D: 3 Lg G, 2 med G, Removed: 1 med GReplicate E: 5 Lg G, Removed: 1 Lg, 3 med, 1 sm G

Appearance Codes: Good (G) = deep green color with no brown, Brown (B) = brown color noted, L Lg = # of large plants (tall and &gt; 6 shoots), M Med = # of plants (smaller than large, fewer shoots), S Sm = # small plants (1-3 shoots)

14-Days Post-Emergence: Describe shoot appearance:

Replicate A: 4 Lg G, 1 Lg G w/ 1 B tipReplicate B: 1 Lg G, 2 M G, 1 Sm G, 1 Sm G w/ 1 B shootReplicate C: 3 Lg G, 2 Lg G w/ 1 B tip eachReplicate D: 1 Lg G, 2 Lg G w/ 1 B tip each, 2 Sm - 16 / 16 w/ 2 B shootsReplicate E: 4 Lg G, 1 Lg G w/ 1 B tip

Measure Shoot Height:

Individual height of each seedling  
(above ground)

	1st Seedling	2nd Seedling	3rd Seedling	4th Seedling	5th Seedling
Replicate A	64 mm	74 mm	55 mm	64 mm	55 mm
Replicate B	55 mm	93 mm	74 mm	64 mm	74 mm
Replicate C	95 mm	79 mm	53 mm	74 mm	74 mm
Replicate D	95 mm	60 mm	78 mm	53 mm	32 mm
Replicate E	100 mm	90 mm	72 mm	75 mm	68 mm

Measure Shoot Weight:

Total mass of all seedlings  
(above ground)

	Tin Tare Wt (mg)	Wat Wt (mg)	Dry Wt (mg)
Replicate A	1019.97	1639.6	1040.64
Replicate B	1010.34	1062.1	1016.20
Replicate C	1010.95	1160.5	1034.42
Replicate D	985.24	1112.5	1006.14
Replicate E	992.61	1166.4	1020.46

Describe root appearance:

Replicate A:

Replicate B:

Replicate C:

Replicate D:

Replicate E:

Measure Root Length:

Individual length of the longest root  
from each seedling

	1st Seedling	2nd Seedling	3rd Seedling	4th Seedling	5th Seedling
Replicate A	47 mm	67 mm	65 mm	67 mm	41 mm
Replicate B	15 mm	28 mm	38 mm	53 mm	52 mm
Replicate C	57 mm	56 mm	68 mm	49 mm	55 mm
Replicate D	92 mm	24 mm	74 mm	42 mm	82 mm
Replicate E	71 mm	64 mm	58 mm	58 mm	73 mm

Measure Root Weight:

Total mass of all roots from all seedlings

	Tin Tare Wt (mg)	Wat Wt (mg)	Dry Wt (mg)
Replicate A	1000.61	1164.2	1008.50
Replicate B	1020.23	1042.8	1021.95
Replicate C	1025.29	1223.0	1034.51
Replicate D	1010.78	1103.6	1018.08
Replicate E	1044.15	1284.4	1054.10

1039.98

Comments:

MS  
1044

## CETIS Test Summary

Report Date: 19 Jul-06 9:24 AM  
 Test Link: 13-8778-5451/B156604psG

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill
Test No:	12-9523-2194	Test Type:	Plant Chronic	Duration:	N/A		
Start Date:	05 Apr-06	Protocol:	ASTM E1963-02 (2002)	Species:	Poa sandbergii		
Ending Date:		Dil Water:		Source:			
Setup Date:	05 Apr-06	Brine:					
Comments: recalculated Height and Length data July 19, 2006							
Sample No:	11-8681-3426	Code:	B1566-04	Client:			
Sample Date:	04 Apr-06	Material:	Soil	Project:			
Receive Date:		Source:	Hanford				
Sample Age:	24h	Station:					
Comments: J11JH8							
Comparison Summary							
Analysis	Endpoint	NOEL	LOEL	ChV	PMSD	Method	
06-8058-6086	% Germination	100	> 100	N/A	20.96%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample	
13-1607-1216	Average Height (mm)	100	> 100	N/A	17.96%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample	
11-2675-2308	Average Length (mm)	< 100	100	N/A	25.75%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample	
02-4597-4713	Average AG Wt (Wet, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	37.50%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample	
15-5615-7255	Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	39.71%	Wilcoxon Rank Sum Two-Sample	
04-1075-3129	Average Root Wt (Wet, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	48.66%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample	
05-9625-5204	Average Root Wt (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	54.49%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample	
17-3037-7884	Average Total Wt (Wet, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	43.45%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample	
00-3240-5620	Average Total Wt (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	42.74%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample	

## CETIS Test Summary

% Germination Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.84000	0.60000	1.00000	0.09798	0.21909	26.08%
100		5	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00%
Average Height (mm) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	75.780	61	84.400	3.9903	8.9226	11.77%
100		5	64.8	46	81	6.1348	13.718	21.17%
Average Length (mm) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	91.22	50	117.40	11.4	25.491	27.94%
100		5	55.720	34.6	64.800	5.4415	12.168	21.84%
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	29.605	12.833	36.826	4.3456	9.717	32.82%
100		5	24.881	10.352	34.758	4.0937	9.1538	36.79%
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	4.96040	2.05668	6.26333	0.75679	1.69222	34.11%
100		5	3.95120	1.17200	5.57001	0.74109	1.65713	41.94%
Average Root Wt (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	36.878	13.317	46.99	6.1618	13.778	37.36%
100		5	30.844	4.5140	48.884	7.4266	16.606	53.84%
Average Root Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	1.61720	0.64667	2.06331	0.25857	0.57819	35.75%
100		5	1.61000	0.34401	2.82400	0.39717	0.88809	55.16%
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	68.484	26.150	82.77	10.452	23.370	35.15%
100		5	55.725	14.866	83.642	11.491	25.695	46.11%
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	8.57761	2.70335	8.32666	1.00853	2.25514	34.29%
100		5	5.56120	1.51602	8.39399	1.12635	2.51859	45.29%

## CETIS Test Summary

% Germination Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	0.60000	1.00000	1.00000	0.60000	1.00000
100		1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000
Average Height (mm) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	61	84.4000	75.8000	80.7	77
100		62.4000	46	75	59.8	81
Average Length (mm) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	50	117.400	99.8000	86.7	102.2
100		57.4000	34.6	59	62.8	64.8000
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	12.8333	36.8260	31.748	35.78	30.84
100		23.932	10.352	29.91	25.4520	34.7580
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	2.05668	6.05200	5.26000	6.26333	5.17000
100		4.14000	1.17200	4.69401	4.18001	5.57001
Average Root Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	13.3167	45.2540	36.3420	46.99	42.488
100		32.718	4.51401	39.542	28.564	48.8840
Average Root Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	0.64667	1.92800	1.52800	2.06331	1.92001
100		1.57800	0.34401	1.84399	1.46000	2.82400
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	28.1500	82.0800	68.0900	82.77	73.328
100		56.65	14.8660	69.4520	54.018	83.6420
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	2.70335	7.98000	6.78801	8.32668	7.09000
100		5.71799	1.51602	6.53799	5.63999	6.39399

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill			
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version				
% Germination	Comparison		13-8778-5451	13-8778-5451	19 Jul-06 8:51 AM	CETISv1.1.2				
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV			
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Angular (Corrected)		100	>100	1	N/A			
<b>Group Comparisons</b>										
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)					
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	-1.633	1.85955	0.9294	0.20917	Non-Significant Effect				
<b>ANOVA Table</b>										
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)				
Between	0.084348	0.084348	1	2.67	0.14111	Non-Significant Effect				
Error	0.2530439	0.031630	8							
Total	0.33739194	0.1159785	9							
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>										
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)					
Variances	Modified Levene	4.80000	11.25862	0.05984	Equal Variances					
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.81415		0.02153	Normal Distribution					
<b>Data Summary</b>										
Conc-%			Original Data				Transformed Data			
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.84000	0.60000	1.00000	0.21909	1.16160	0.88608	1.34528	0.25152
100		5	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	0.00000	1.34528	1.34528	1.34528	0.00020
<b>Graphics</b>										

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M HILL			
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version				
Average Height (mm)	Comparison		13-8778-5451	13-8778-5451	19-Jul-06 8:51 AM	CETISv1.1.2				
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV			
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A			
Group Comparisons										
Control	vs.	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)			
Artificial Soil/Sed	100		1.50034	1.85955	0.0860	13.6088	Non-Significant Effect			
ANOVA Table										
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)				
Between	301.401	301.401	1	2.25	0.17192	Non-Significant Effect				
Error	1071.168	133.896	8							
Total	1372.56900	435.29703	9							
ANOVA Assumptions										
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)					
Variances	Variance Ratio F	2.36371	23.15450	0.42519	Equal Variances					
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.96837		0.87533	Normal Distribution					
Data Summary										
Original Data			Transformed Data							
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	75.780	61	84.4	8.9226				
100		5	64.8	46	81	13.718				
Graphics										

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Length (mm)	Comparison		13-8778-5451	13-8778-5451	19 Jul-06 9:23 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		<100	100	N/A	25.75%		
Group Comparisons									
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)			
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	2.81035	1.85955	0.0114	23.4896	Significant Effect			
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	3150.625	3150.625	1	7.90	0.02283	Significant Effect			
Error	3191.296	398.912	8						
Total	6341.9209	3549.5368	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	4.38881	23.15450	0.18106	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.88496		0.14871	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary				Original Data					
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	91.22	50	117.4	25.491			
100		5	55.720	34.6	64.8	12.168			
				Minimum	Maximum	SD	Transformed Data		

Graphics

Dot plot showing Average Length (mm) on the Y-axis (0 to 150) versus Conc-% on the X-axis (0, 100). Two data points are plotted: one at 0% conc. with a mean of ~91.22 mm, and one at 100% conc. with a mean of ~55.720 mm. A horizontal dashed line at approximately 75 mm represents the null hypothesis. The point at 100% is below this line, indicating statistical significance.

Normal probability plot (Q-Q plot) comparing Centered Untransformed data on the Y-axis (-50 to 30) against Ranks on the X-axis (-2.0 to 2.0). The data points form a roughly linear pattern, indicating that the data is approximately normally distributed.

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill				
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version					
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison		13-8778-5451	13-8778-5451	19 Jul-08 8:51 AM	CETISv1.1.2					
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV				
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A				
<b>Group Comparisons</b>											
Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100		0.79139	1.85955	0.2258	11.1017	Non-Significant Effect				
<b>ANOVA Table</b>											
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)					
Between	55.80611	55.80611	1	0.63	0.45154	Non-Significant Effect					
Error	712.8447	89.10558	8								
Total	768.650776	144.91169	9								
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>											
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)						
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.12685	23.15450	0.91064	Equal Variances						
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.84581		0.05178	Normal Distribution						
<b>Data Summary</b>				<b>Original Data</b>							
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean				
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	29.605	12.833	36.826	9.717					
100		5	24.881	10.352	34.758	9.1538					
				<b>Transformed Data</b>							
<b>Graphics</b>											

## CETIS Analysis Detail



Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill					
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version						
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		13-8778-5451	13-8778-5451	19 Jul-06 8:51 AM	CETISv1.1.2						
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	PMSD				
Wilcoxon Rank Sum Two-Sample	C > T	Rank		100	>100	1	N/A	39.71%				
Group Comparisons												
Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Ties	Decision(0.05)					
Artificial Soil/Sedi		100	21		0.1111	0	Non-Significant Effect					
ANOVA Table												
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)						
Between	2.546198	2.546196	1	0.91	0.36860	Non-Significant Effect						
Error	22.43875	2.604843	8									
Total	24.9849429	5.3510389	9									
ANOVA Assumptions												
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)							
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.04281	23.15450	0.96857	Equal Variances							
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.78590		0.00977	Non-normal Distribution							
Data Summary												
Original Data												
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	4.96040	2.05668	6.26333	1.69222	6.80000	2.00000	10.0000	3.11448		
100		5	3.95120	1.17200	5.57001	1.65713	4.20000	1.00000	8.00000	2.58844		
Transformed Data												
Graphics												

# CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 6 of 9  
 Report Date: 19 Jul-08 8:51 AM  
 Analysis: 04-1075-3129/B156604psC

## Plant Bioassay - Chronic

CH2M Hill

Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version
Average Root WL (Wet, mg)	Comparison		13-8778-5451	13-8778-5451	19 Jul-08 8:51 AM	CETISv1.1.2
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T-	Untransformed		100	>100	1
<b>Group Comparisons</b>						
Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100		0.62527	1.85955	0.2746	17.9443
<b>ANOVA Table</b>						
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)
Between	91.01494	91.01494	1	0.39	0.54921	Non-Significant Effect
Error	1862.381	232.7976	8			
Total	1953.39592	323.81256	9			
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>						
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)	
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.45274	23.15450	0.72627	Equal Variances	
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.86473		0.08673	Normal Distribution	
<b>Data Summary</b>						
			Original Data			Transformed Data
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	36.878	13.317	46.99	13.778
100		5	30.844	4.5140	48.884	16.606
<b>Graphics</b>						
						



# CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg)	Comparison		13-8778-5451	13-8778-5451	19 Jul-06 8:51 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A		
Group Comparisons									
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	0.01519	1.85955	0.4941	0.88128	Non-Significant Effect			
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	0.0001298	0.00013	1	0.00	0.98825	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	4.492009	0.561501	8						
Total	4.49213825	0.5616306	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	2.35927	23.15450	0.42618	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.93228		0.47069	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary				Original Data					
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	1.61720	0.64667	2.06331	0.57819			
100		5	1.61000	0.34401	2.82400	0.88809			
				Transformed Data					
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD			
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	1.61720	0.64667	2.06331	0.57819			
100		5	1.61000	0.34401	2.82400	0.88809			
Graphics									

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill			
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version				
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison		13-8778-5451	13-8778-5451	19 Jul-06 8:51 AM	CETISv1.1.2				
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	PMSD		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A	43.45%		
<b>Group Comparisons</b>										
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)					
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100	0.6926	1.85955	0.2541	28.8851	Non-Significant Effect				
<b>ANOVA Table</b>										
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)				
Between	289.3578	289.3578	1	0.48	0.50818	Non-Significant Effect				
Error	4825.742	603.2178	8							
Total	5115.09998	892.57556	9							
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>										
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)					
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.20888	23.15450	0.85859	Equal Variances					
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.83758		0.04125	Normal Distribution					
<b>Data Summary</b>										
			Original Data			Transformed Data				
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	66.484	26.15	82.77	23.370				
100		5	55.725	14.866	83.642	25.695				
<b>Graphics</b>										

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill				
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version					
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		13-8778-5451	13-8778-5451	19 Jul-06 8:51 AM	CETISv1.1.2					
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV				
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A				
<b>Group Comparisons</b>											
Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100		0.67228	1.85955	0.2602	2.81142	Non-Significant Effect				
<b>ANOVA Table</b>											
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)					
Between	2.58272	2.58272	1	0.45	0.52034	Non-Significant Effect					
Error	45.71575	5.714468	8								
Total	48.2984681	8.2971888	9								
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>											
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)						
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.24729	23.15450	0.83561	Equal Variances						
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.83579		0.04267	Normal Distribution						
<b>Data Summary</b>				<b>Original Data</b>							
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	6.57761	2.70335	8.32666	2.25514					
100		5	5.58120	1.51602	8.39399	2.51859					
<b>Graphics</b>											
											

## BLUEGRASS GROWTH TEST

Client: Washington Closure Hanford Project

Test Start Date: 4-5-06

Initial Day 0 WD Day 12 B- Day 14 NJ Day 18 WD Day 19 NJ Day 21 NJ Day 23 WD Day 26 B- Day 28 B-

		Bioassay Lab ID: DN-PC-1506 - nC Sample No. <u>J11JH4</u>									
CONC.	REPLICATE	# seeds germinated								pH	
		12 days after planting	14 days after planting	16 days after planting	18 days after planting	21 days after planting	23 days after planting	7-DAYS POST-EMERGENCE (126 days after planting)	14-DAYS POST-EMERGENCE (133 days after planting)	INITIAL (at planting)	FINAL (at 14 days Post-Emergence)
Control	A	6	6	6	6	7	7	8-5	5	<u>6.2</u>	<u>7.3</u>
	B	6	7	7	8	8	8	8-5	5		
	C	4	6	6	7	8	8	8-5	5		
	D	8	8	8	9	9	9	9-5	5		
	E	3	3	3	3	4	4	3	3		

7-Days Post-Emergence: Selectively thin down to 8 seedlings (Leave the 8 tallest seedlings). Describe shoot appearance:

- Replicate A 2 Lg G, 3 med G removed: 3 Sm G  
 Replicate B 5 Ls G removed: 2 Med G, 1 Med w/brown tip  
 Replicate C 5 Ls G removed: 1 Sm brown leaf, 1 Sm G, 1 med G  
 Replicate D 5 Ls G removed: 1 Ls G, 1 Ls w/brown tip, 2 Sm G  
 Replicate E 23 Ls G, 1 Ls w/brown tip removed: 1 brown leaf

Appearance Codes: Good (G) = deep green color with no brown, Brown (B) = brown color noted. # Lg = # of large plants (tallest, 6+ shoots), # Med = # of plants (smaller than large, fewer shoots), # Sm = # small plants (1-3 shoots)

14-Days Post-Emergence: Describe shoot appearance:

- Replicate A 2 Ls G, 3 med G - 1 broad leaf plant noted  
 Replicate B 3 Ls G, 1 Ls G w/ 1 B tip, 1 Med G w/ 1 B tip  
 Replicate C 3 med G, 2 med G w/ 1 B shoot each  
 Replicate D 4 Ls G, 1 Ls G w/ 1 B shoot  
 Replicate E 1 Ls G w/ 1 B tip, 1 Ls G w/ 1 B tip & 1 B shoot, 1 Ls G w/ 1 B shoot.

Measure Shoot Height:

Individual height of each seedling  
(above ground)

	1st Seedling	2nd Seedling	3rd Seedling	4th Seedling	5th Seedling
Replicate A	51 mm	68 mm	41 mm	105 mm	41 mm
Replicate B	65 mm	76 mm	73 mm	66 mm	41 mm
Replicate C	59 mm	62 mm	79 mm	53 mm	57 mm
Replicate D	70 mm	71 mm	64 mm	94 mm	67 mm
Replicate E	52 mm	75 mm	61 mm	mm	mm

Measure Shoot Weight:

Total mass of all seedlings  
(above ground)

	Tin Tare Wt (mg)	Wet Wt (mg)	Dry Wt (mg)
Replicate A	982.33	1052.4	993.94
Replicate B	978.83	1037.7	998.37
Replicate C	999.11	1099.7	1015.08
Replicate D	996.59	1130.7	1018.80
Replicate E	1027.91	1117.3	1042.81

Describe root appearance:

- Replicate A  
 Replicate B  
 Replicate C  
 Replicate D  
 Replicate E

Measure Root Length:

Individual length of the longest root  
from each seedling

	1st Seedling	2nd Seedling	3rd Seedling	4th Seedling	5th Seedling
Replicate A	43 mm	57 mm	42 mm	85 mm	49 mm
Replicate B	86 mm	49 mm	78 mm	83 mm	87 mm
Replicate C	51 mm	62 mm	83 mm	101 mm	75 mm
Replicate D	80 mm	85 mm	67 mm	95 mm	71 mm
Replicate E	102 mm	75 mm	60 mm	mm	mm

Measure Root Weight:

Total mass of all roots from all seedlings

	Tin Tare Wt (mg)	Wet Wt (mg)	Dry Wt (mg)
Replicate A	987.41	1089.1	992.69
Replicate B	1022.79	1232.0	1032.17
Replicate C	1019.29	1150.9	1026.90
Replicate D	1029.43	1200.0	1048.50
Replicate E	1026.48	1134.7	1031.84

Comments:

-17  
-17

## CETIS Test Summary

Plant Bioassay - Chronic				CH2M HILL		
Test No:	03-3337-9736	Test Type:	Plant Chronic	Duration:	N/A	
Start Date:	05 Apr-06	Protocol:	ASTM E1963-02 (2002)	Species:	Poa sandbergii	
Ending Date:		Dil Water:		Source:		
Setup Date:	05 Apr-06	Brine:				
Comments:	recalculated Height and Length data July 19, 2006					
Sample No:	14-5469-5117	Code:	B1566-05	Client:		
Sample Date:	04 Apr-06	Material:	Soil	Project:		
Receive Date:		Source:	Hanford			
Sample Age:	24h	Station:				
Comments:	J11JH4					
Comparison Summary						
Analysis	Endpoint	NOEL	LOEL	ChV	PMSD	Method
05-9958-0999	% Germination	100	> 100	N/A	27.93%	Wilcoxon Rank Sum Two-Sample
09-4865-9500	Average Height (mm)	< 100	100	N/A	11.17%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
13-2897-9281	Average Length (mm)	100	> 100	N/A	25.19%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
12-7179-0270	Average AG Wt (Wet, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	32.37%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
04-1030-1329	Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	33.30%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
04-5048-9747	Average Root Wt (Wet, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	36.45%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
08-4828-7799	Average Root WL (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	35.66%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
04-0228-6494	Average Total Wt (Wet, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	34.13%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample
05-2803-0914	Average Total Wt (Dry, mg)	100	> 100	N/A	33.47%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample



## CETIS Test Summary

% Germination Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	0.84000	0.60000	1.00000	0.09798	0.21909	26.08%
100		5	0.92000	0.60000	1.00000	0.08000	0.17889	19.44%
Average Height (mm) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	75.780	61	84.400	3.9903	8.9228	11.77%
100		5	64.68	61.200	73.2	2.1913	4.8998	7.58%
Average Length (mm) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	91.22	50	117.40	11.4	25.491	27.94%
100		5	72.72	54	79.8	4.7702	10.666	14.67%
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	29.605	12.833	38.828	4.3456	9.717	32.82%
100		5	23.145	14.014	29.797	2.7717	6.1977	26.78%
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	4.96040	2.05668	6.26333	0.75679	1.69222	34.11%
100		5	3.76653	2.32200	4.96667	0.46535	1.04058	27.63%
Average Root Wt. (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	36.878	13.317	48.99	6.1616	13.778	37.36%
100		5	31.738	20.338	41.842	3.7804	8.4532	26.63%
Average Root Wt. (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	1.61720	0.64667	2.06331	0.25857	0.57819	35.75%
100		5	1.65493	1.05601	2.03398	0.17121	0.36285	23.13%
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	66.484	26.150	82.77	10.452	23.370	35.15%
100		5	54.883	34.352	66.816	6.2951	14.078	25.65%
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	6.57761	2.70335	8.32668	1.00853	2.25514	34.29%
100		5	5.42147	3.37800	6.75334	0.62011	1.38660	25.58%



## CETIS Test Summary

% Germination Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	0.60000	1.00000	1.00000	0.60000	1.00000
100		1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	0.60000
Average Height (mm) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	61	84.4000	75.8000	80.7	77
100		61.2000	64.2	62	73.2	62.7000
Average Length (mm) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	50	117.400	99.8000	86.7	102.2
100		54	78.6	74.4000	79.6	79
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	12.8333	36.8260	31.748	35.78	30.84
100		14.0140	24.974	20.118	26.822	29.7967
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	2.05668	8.05200	5.26000	6.26333	5.17000
100		2.32200	3.90800	3.19401	4.44199	4.96667
Average Root Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	13.3167	45.2540	36.3420	46.99	42.488
100		20.3380	41.8420	28.3220	34.114	36.0733
Average Root Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	0.84667	1.92800	1.52900	2.06331	1.92001
100		1.05601	1.87601	1.52201	2.03398	1.78668
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	26.1500	82.0800	68.0900	82.77	73.328
100		34.352	66.816	48.4400	60.938	65.87
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg) Detail						
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Artificial Soil/S	2.70335	7.98000	6.78801	8.32666	7.09000
100		3.37800	5.78400	4.71603	6.47598	6.75334

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill					
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version						
% Germination	Comparison		08-7339-5020	08-7339-5020	19 Jul-06 8:55 AM	CETISv1.1.2						
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV					
Wilcoxon Rank Sum Two-Sample	C > T	Rank	100	>100	1	N/A	27.93%					
Group Comparisons												
Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Ties	Decision(0.05)					
Artificial Soil/Sedi		100	30		0.6548	3	Non-Significant Effect					
ANOVA Table												
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)						
Between	0.021087	0.021087	1	0.40	0.54474	Non-Significant Effect						
Error	0.4217399	0.052717	8									
Total	0.44282693	0.0738045	9									
ANOVA Assumptions												
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)							
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.50000	23.15450	0.70400	Equal Variances							
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.75864		0.00455	Non-normal Distribution							
Data Summary												
Original Data				Transformed Data								
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD		
0	Artificial Soil/Sedi	5	0.84000	0.60000	1.00000	0.21909	5.00000	2.00000	7.00000	2.73861		
100		5	0.92000	0.60000	1.00000	0.17889	6.00000	2.00000	7.00000	2.23607		
Graphics												
 												

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M HILL				
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version					
Average Height (mm)	Comparison		08-7339-5020	08-7339-5020	19 Jul-06 8:55 AM	CETISv1.1.2					
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV				
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed	<100	100		N/A	11.17%				
Group Comparisons											
Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedi		100	2.44269	1.85955	0.0202	8.46534	Significant Effect				
ANOVA Table											
Source	Sum of Squares		Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)				
Between	309.1361		309.1361	1	5.97	0.04039	Significant Effect				
Error	414.4799		51.80999	8							
Total	723.616028		360.94607	9							
ANOVA Assumptions											
Attribute	Test		Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)					
Variances	Variance Ratio F		3.31608	23.15450	0.27234	Equal Variances					
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W		0.90869		0.27211	Normal Distribution					
Data Summary											
Original Data				Transformed Data							
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	
0	Artificial Soil/Sedi	5	75.780	61	84.4	8.9226					
100		5	64.66	61.2	73.2	4.8998					
Graphics											
											

## CETIS Analysis Detail

### Plant Bioassay - Chronic

CH2M HILL

Endpoint	Analysis Type	Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version
Average Length (mm)	Comparison	08-7339-5020	08-7339-5020	19 Jul-06 9:25 AM	CETISv1.1.2
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100
ChV	PMSD			1	N/A
25.19%					

### Group Comparisons

Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)
Artificial Soil/Sedi		100	1.49706	1.85955	0.0864	22.9794	Non-Significant Effect

### ANOVA Table



Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)
Between	855.625	855.625	1	2.24	0.17275	Non-Significant Effect
Error	3054.178	381.772	8			
Total	3909.80103	1237.3970	9			

### ANOVA Assumptions

Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)
Variances	Variance Ratio F	5.71118	23.15450	0.11998	Equal Variances
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.88471		0.14774	Normal Distribution

Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Original Data				Transformed Data			
			Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/Sedi	5	91.22	50	117.4	25.491				
100		5	72.72	54	79.6	10.668				

### Graphics



## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic						CH2M HILL				
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version				
Average AG Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison		08-7339-5020	08-7339-5020	19 Jul-08 8:56 AM	CETISv1.1.2				
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units				
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1				
Group Comparisons										
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	1,25344	1,85955	0.1227	9.58459	Non-Significant Effect				
ANOVA Table										
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)				
Between	104.3463	104.3463	1	1.57	0.24544	Non-Significant Effect				
Error	531.3278	66.41598	8							
Total	635.674072	170.76223	9							
ANOVA Assumptions										
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)					
Variances	Variance Ratio F	2.45808	23.15450	0.40501	Equal Variances					
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.84922		0.05686	Normal Distribution					
Data Summary										
Original Data			Transformed Data							
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	29.605	12.833	36.826	9.717				
100		5	23.145	14.014	29.797	6.1977				
Graphics										

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill			
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version				
Average AG Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		08-7339-5020	08-7339-5020	19 Jul-06 8:56 AM	CETISv1.1.2				
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV			
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A			
<b>Group Comparisons</b>										
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)					
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	1.34382	1.85955	0.1079	1.65205	Non-Significant Effect				
<b>ANOVA Table</b>										
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)				
Between	3.563297	3.563297	1	1.81	0.21587	Non-Significant Effect				
Error	15.78555	1.973194	8							
Total	19.3488472	5.5364908	9							
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>										
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)					
Variances	Varlance Ratio F	2.64472	23.15450	0.36905	Equal Variances					
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.87162		0.10441	Normal Distribution					
<b>Data Summary</b>				<b>Original Data</b>						
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	4.96040	2.05668	8.26333	1.69222				
100		5	3.76553	2.32200	4.96667	1.04056				
<b>Graphics</b>										

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill		
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Average Root WL (Wet, mg)	Comparison		08-7339-5020	08-7339-5020	19 Jul-08 8:56 AM	CETISv1.1.2			
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A		
Group Comparisons									
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Artificial Soil/Sedl	100	0.71108	1.85955	0.2486	Non-Significant Effect				
ANOVA Table									
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Between	66.05597	66.05597	1	0.51	0.49724	Non-Significant Effect			
Error	1045.13	130.6412	8						
Total	1111.18549	196.69718	9						
ANOVA Assumptions									
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)				
Variances	Variance Ratio F	2.65655	23.15450	0.36694	Equal Variances				
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.87165		0.10448	Normal Distribution				
Data Summary				Original Data					
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean		
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	36.878	13.317	46.99	13.778			
100		5	31.738	20.338	41.842	8.4532			
Graphics									

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M HILL			
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version				
Average Root WT (Dry, mg)	Comparison		08-7339-5020	08-7339-5020	19 Jul-06 8:56 AM	CETISv1.1.2				
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	PMSD		
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A	35.66%		
Group Comparisons										
Control vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)					
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	-0.1217	1.85955	0.5469	0.57668	Non-Significant Effect				
ANOVA Table										
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)				
Between	0.0035600	0.003560	1	0.01	0.90615	Non-Significant Effect				
Error	1.923489	0.240436	8							
Total	1.92704911	0.2439961	9							
ANOVA Assumptions										
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)					
Variances	Variance Ratio F	2.28077	23.15450	0.44417	Equal Variances					
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.85352		0.06397	Normal Distribution					
Data Summary										
			Original Data			Transformed Data				
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	1.61720	0.64667	2.06331	0.57619				
100		5	1.85493	1.05601	2.03398	0.36285				
Graphics										

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill				
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version					
Average Total Wt (Wet, mg)	Comparison		08-7339-5020	08-7339-5020	19 Jul-06 8:56 AM	CETISv1.1.2					
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV				
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A				
<b>Group Comparisons</b>											
Control	vs Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)					
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100	0.95081	1.85955	0.1848	22.6863	Non-Significant Effect					
<b>ANOVA Table</b>											
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)					
Between	336.4471	336.4471	1	0.90	0.36954	Non-Significant Effect					
Error	2977.274	372.1593	8								
Total	3313.72122	708.60632	9								
<b>ANOVA Assumptions</b>											
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)						
Variances	Variance Ratio F	2.75655	23.15450	0.34972	Equal Variances						
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.83700		0.04061	Normal Distribution						
<b>Data Summary</b>				<b>Original Data</b>							
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	66.484	26.15	82.77	23.370					
100		5	54.883	34.352	66.818	14.076					
<b>Graphics</b>											

## CETIS Analysis Detail

Plant Bioassay - Chronic							CH2M Hill			
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version				
Average Total Wt (Dry, mg)	Comparison		08-7339-5020	08-7339-5020	19 Jul-06 8:56 AM	CETISv1.1.2				
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV			
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Untransformed		100	>100	1	N/A			
Group Comparisons										
Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)			
Artificial Soil/Sedi	100		0.97653	1.85955	0.1787	2.20155	Non-Significant Effect			
ANOVA Table										
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)				
Between	3.341636	3.341636	1	0.95	0.35740	Non-Significant Effect				
Error	28.03329	3.504161	8							
Total	31.3749232	6.8457971	9							
ANOVA Assumptions										
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)					
Variances	Variance Ratio F	2.64510	23.15450	0.36899	Equal Variances					
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.85862		0.07350	Normal Distribution					
Data Summary				Original Data						
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0	Artificial Soil/S	5	6.57761	2.70335	8.32668	2.25514				
100		5	5.42147	3.37800	6.75334	1.38660				
Graphics										

E 2748

Washington Closure Hanford		CHAIN OF CUSTODY/SAMPLE ANALYSIS REQUEST				RC-051-3	Page 1 of 1	
Collector COLLUM	Company Contact JOAN KESSNER	Telephone No. 375-4688		Project Coordinator KESSNER, JH		Price Code 8L	Data Turnaround	
Project Designation 100 & 300 Area Component of the RCBRA - Incremental So	Sampling Location 600-131			SAF No. RC-051		Air Quality	45 Days	
Ice Chest No.	Field Logbook No. EL-1596		COA BESRAS6520		Method of Shipment			
Shipped To CH2MHILL	Offsite Property No. A060151			Bill of Lading/Air Bill No.				
POSSIBLE SAMPLE HAZARDS/REMARKS <b>NONE</b>		Preservation	None	None				
Special Handling and/or Storage <b>NONE</b>		Type of Container	G/P	PQ				
		No. of Container(s)	1	1				
		Volume	1000g	300g 40cc	521	18145		
SAMPLE ANALYSIS 11-1-05 LRC.				See item (1) in Special Instructions	Soil Plast Toxicity ASTM E1963; Soil Nonradio Toxicity ASTM E2172			
Sample No. J10DW0	Matrix* SOIL	Sample Date 10-31-05	Sample Time 1530	X	X			
J10DW0	SOIL							
J10DW1	SOIL							
J10DW2	SOIL							
J10DW3	SOIL							
CHAIN OF POSSESSION				Sign/Print Names				SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS
Relinquished By/Removed From <i>✓</i>	Date/Time 11-1-05P100	Received By/Stored In <i>Robert Becker</i>	Date/Time 11-1-05 10:30					(1) Particle Size (Dry Sieve) - D422; Moisture Content - D2216; TOC - 9060; pH (Soil) - 9045; Nitrogen by Kjeldahl - 151.2; Ammonia - 350.3; IC Anions - 300.0; Percent Solids
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time					<i>Biosafety ID = B1542-01</i>
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time					
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time					
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time					
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time					
LABORATORY SECTION	Received By				Title		Date/Time	
FINAL SAMPLE DISPOSITION	Disposal Method				Disposed By		Date/Time	

E 2801

Washington Closure Hanford		CHAIN OF CUSTODY/SAMPLE ANALYSIS REQUEST				RC-051-4	Page. 1 of 1	
Collector L COLLOM	Company Contact JOAN KESSNER	Telephone No. 375-4638		Project Coordinator KESSNER, JH		Price Code 8L	Data Turnaround 45 Days	
Project Designation 100 & 300 Area Component of the RCBRA - Incremental So	Sampling Location PIT 23			SAF No. RC-051				
Ice Chest No.	Field Logbook No. EL-1596	COA BESRAS6520		Method of Shipment				
Shipped To CH2MHILL	Offsite Property No. A060151					Bill of Lading/Air Bill No.		
POSSIBLE SAMPLE HAZARDS/REMARKS NONE		Preservation	None	None				
Special Handling and/or Storage NONE		Type of Container	G/P	P/G				
		No. of Container(s)	1	1				
		Volume	1000g	3000g (DCG)	E-T-S 11-8-5			
SAMPLE ANALYSIS				See Item (1) in Special Instructions.	Soil Plant Toxicity ASTM E1963; Soil Nonradioactive Toxicity ASTM E3173			
Sample No.	Matrix *	Sample Date	Sample Time					
J10DV4	SOIL	11-8-05	16:00	1	1			
J10DV5	SOIL							
J10DV6	SOIL							
J10DV7	SOIL							
J10DV8	SOIL							
CHAIN OF POSSESSION				Sign/Print Names		SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS		
Relinquished By/Removed From <i>Stable 14 T/cjs</i>	Date/Time 11-9-05 12:00	Received By/Stored In <i>Melinda Johnson</i>	Date/Time 11-9-05 12:00			This chain of custody form documents the transfer of bulk field collected soils to the CH2M Hill Corvallis laboratory for incremental preparation and aliquoting.		
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time			(1) Particle Size (Dry Sieve) - D422; Moisture Content - D2216; TOC - P060; pH (Soil) - 9045; Nitrogen by Kjeldahl - 3512; Ammonia - 3503; IC Anions - 3000; Percent Solids		
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time			<i>E-T 11/10/05 Per Rich Weis</i>		
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time			<i>B. Bassey ID = B1542-02</i>		
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time					
LABORATORY SECTION	Received By	Title				Date/Time		
FINAL SAMPLE DISPOSITION	Disposal Method	Disposed By				Date/Time		

S=Soil  
 SD=Soilless  
 SD=dried  
 SD=Grind  
 W=Water  
 O=Oil  
 A=Air  
 D=Dry Solids  
 O=Liquid Solids  
 T=Time  
 W=Wipe  
 L=Liquid  
 V=Vegetation  
 X=Other

E2P31

Washington Closure Hanford		CHAIN OF CUSTODY/SAMPLE ANALYSIS REQUEST				RC-051-9	Page 1 of 1	
Lector I. COLIFORM		Company Contact JOAN KESSNER	Telephone No. 375-4688	Project Coordinator KESSNER, JH		Price Code 8L	Data Turnaround 45 Days	
Project Designation 100 & 300 Area Component of the KCJRA - Incremental So		Sampling Location Upland Backfill Elevated-100-F-2			SAF No. RC-051			Air Quality
Chest No.		Field Logbook No. EL-1596	COA BESRASG520	Method of Shipment				
Invoiced To CH2MHILL,		Offsite Property No. A060151			Bill of Lading/Air Bill No.			
POSSIBLE SAMPLE HAZARDS/REMARKS <i>Potentially Radioactive.</i>		Preservation	None	None				
Special Handling and/or Storage <i>ONE</i>		Type of Container	G/P	P/G				
		No. of Container(s)	1	1				
		Volume	1000g	<i>40ccg</i>				
SAMPLE ANALYSIS				See Item (1) in Special Instructions.	Sed Plant Toxicity ASTM G1963; Soil Newmode Toxicity ASTM E2172			
Sample No.	Matrix *	Sample Date	Sample Time					
I0DT8	SOIL	11/14/05	17:21	1	1			
CHAIN OF POSSESSION				SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS				
Enriched By/Removed From <i>Elizabeth Tupper</i>	Date/Time 11/14/05	Received By/Stored In <i>Mandy Kammann</i>	Date/Time 11/15/05	Particle Size (Dry Sieve) - D422; Moisture Content - D2216; TOC - 9060; pH (Soil) - 9045; Nitrogen by Kjeldahl - 351.2; Ammonia - 350.3; IC Anions - 300.0; Percent Solids <i>Bioassay ID = B1542-03</i>				
Enriched By/Removed From <i>Elizabeth Tupper</i>	Date/Time 11/15/05	Received By/Stored In ..	Date/Time 11/15/05					
Enriched By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time					
Enriched By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time					
Enriched By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time					
ABORATORY SECTION	Received By	Title			Date/Time			
INVESTIGATOR SECTION	Disposed Method	Disposed By			Date/Time			

Matrix \*  
 S=Solid  
 SG=Sediment  
 SO=Soil  
 SI=Sedige  
 W=Water  
 D=Dust  
 A=Air  
 DL=Drum Solids  
 CL=Drum Liquids  
 T=Time  
 W=Type  
 L=Liquid  
 V=Vegetable  
 X=Other

E2897

E2897

Washington Closure Hanford		CHAIN OF CUSTODY/SAMPLE ANALYSIS REQUEST				RC-051-20	Page 1 of 1
Collector L COLLOM	Company Contact JOAN KESSNER	Telephone No. 375-4688	Project Coordinator KESSNER, JH		Price Code 8L	Data Turnaround	
Project Designation 100 & 300 Area Component of the RCBRA - Incremental So	Sampling Location Riparian Low-Sited 10 Downriver 100-D			SAF No. RC-051	Air Quality	45 Days	
Ice Chest No.	Field Logbook No. EL-1596	COA BESRAS6520		Method of Shipment			
Shipped To CH2M HILL	Offsite Property No. A060151			Bill of Lading/Air Bill No.			
POSSIBLE SAMPLE HAZARDS/REMARKS <b>NONE</b>		Preservation	None	None			
Special Handling and/or Storage <b>NONE</b>		Type of Container	G/P	P/G			
		No. of Container(s)	1	1			
		Volume	1000g	4000g			
SAMPLE ANALYSIS				See Item (1) in Special Instructions.	Soil Plant Toxicity ASTM E1903; Soil Nitrification Toxicity ASTM E2173		
Sample No. J10LJS	Matrix * SOIL	Sample Date 11-28-05	Sample Time 16:19	1	1		
			16:19				
CHAIN OF POSSESSION				Sign/Print Names			Matrix *
Relinquished By/Removed From Elephant Tepper	Date/Time 11-28-05	Received By/Stored In Andy DeJarn	Date/Time 11-28-05 16:25	SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS			S=Soil SL=Soilless SD=Solid SL=Semi-Liquid W=Waste O=Oil A=Air DL=Dry Solids DL=Liquid T=Toxic W=Water L=Liquid V=Vegetation X=Other
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time	This chain of custody form documents the transfer of bulk field collected soils to the CH2M Hill Corvallis laboratory for incremental preparation and aliquoting.			
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time	(1) Particle Size (Dry Sieve) - D422; Moisture Content - D2216; TOC - 9060; pH (Soil) - 9045; Nitrogen by Kjeldahl - 351.2; Ammonia - 350.3; IC Anions - 300.0; Percent Solids			
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time	<i>Bidassay ID = 81542-08</i>			
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time				
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time				
<b>LABORATORY SECTION</b>	Received By	Title			Date/Time		
<b>FINAL SAMPLE DISPOSITION</b>	Disposal Method	Disposed By			Date/Time		

Washington Closure Hanford		CHAIN OF CUSTODY/SAMPLE ANALYSIS REQUEST				RC-051-69	Page 1 of 1	
Collector STANKOVICH, M.		Company Contact JOAN KESSNER		Telephone No. 375-4688	Project Coordinator KESSNER, JH		Price Code 8L	Data Turnaround
Project Designation 100 & 300 Area Component of the RCBRA - Incremental So		Sampling Location 100-K RIPARIAN #5				SAF No. RC-051	Air Quality <input type="checkbox"/>	45 Days
Ice Chest No.		Field Logbook No. EL-1596		COA BESRASGS20		Method of Shipment GROUND TRANSPORT		
Shipped To CH2MHILL		Offsite Property No. •A060380 RC 374-06		A060380		Bill of Lading/Air Bill No.		
POSSIBLE SAMPLE HAZARDS/REMARKS NONE		Preservation	None	None				
Special Handling and/or Storage Use page 3 for original material to Curvallis for MIS preparation and aliquoting, page 1 for radioanalytical fractions to Eberline, & page 2 for chemical analytical fractions to Lionville.		Type of Container	G/P	P/G				
		No. of Container(s)	1	1				
		Volume	1000g	4000g				
SAMPLE ANALYSIS				See Item (1) in Special Instructions.	Soil Plant Toxicity ASTM E1963; Soil Membrane Toxicity ASTM E2172			
Sample No.	Matrix *	Sample Date	Sample Time					
J11JB8	SOIL	3-21-06	16:05					
CHAIN OF POSSESSION		Sign/Print Names		SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS			Matrix *	
Relinquished By/Removed From <i>Elizabeth M Tupper</i>	Date/Time <i>3-22-06</i>	Received By/Stored In <i>CH2MHill</i>	Date/Time <i>3-22-06</i>	<p>These marks indicate that unless fixed out, analytes to be included with Serantium-89.00 -- Total Sr analysis fraction.</p> <p>~ These marks indicate that this is a non-analysis used to properly format COC form.</p> <p>Contact Joan Kessner for any questions.</p> <p>(1) Particle Size (Dry Sieve) - D422; Moisture Content - D2216; TOC - 9060; pH (Soil) - 9045; Nitrogen by Kjeldahl - 351.2; Ammonia - 350.3; IC Anions - 300.0; Percent Solids</p> <p><i>F1349-01-Sal Z</i></p> <p><i>BIO ASSY COPY</i></p>			Sand SE=Substrate SO=Sediment SL=Sludge W = Water O=Oil A=Air D=Soil/Dust/Sed. D,L=Dust/Liquid T=Thermal W=Waste L=Liquid V=Vegetation X=Other	
Relinquished By/Removed From <i>Elizabeth M Tupper</i>	Date/Time <i>3-22-06</i>	Received By/Stored In <i>Charmaine</i>	Date/Time <i>3-22-06</i>					
Relinquished By/Removed From <i>Elizabeth M Tupper</i>	Date/Time <i>3-22-06</i>	Received By/Stored In <i>Charmaine</i>	Date/Time <i>3-22-06</i>					
Relinquished By/Removed From <i>Elizabeth M Tupper</i>	Date/Time <i>3-22-06</i>	Received By/Stored In <i>Charmaine</i>	Date/Time <i>3-22-06</i>					
Relinquished By/Removed From <i>Elizabeth M Tupper</i>	Date/Time <i>3-22-06</i>	Received By/Stored In <i>Charmaine</i>	Date/Time <i>3-22-06</i>					
LABORATORY SECTION	Received By				Date		Date/Time	
FINAL SAMPLE DISPOSITION	Disposal Method				Disposed By		Date/Time	

Washington Closure Hanford		CHAIN OF CUSTODY/SAMPLE ANALYSIS REQUEST				RC-051-68	Page 1 of 1	
Collector STANKOVICH, M.		Company Contact JOAN KESSNER		Telephone No. 375-4688	Project Coordinator KESSNER, JH		Price Code 8L	Data Turnaround 45 Days
Project Designation 100 & 300 Area Component of the RCBRA - Incremental So		Sampling Location 100-K RIPARIAN #4			SAF No. RC-051			
Ice Chest No.		Field Logbook No. EL-1596		COA BESRAS6520	Method of Shipment GROUND TRANSPORT			
Shipped To CH2MHILL		Offsite Property No. A060151				Bill of Lading/Air Bill No.		
POSSIBLE SAMPLE HAZARDS/REMARKS <b>NONE</b>		Preservation	None	None				
Special Handling and/or Storage <i>Use page 3 for original material to Corvallis for MIS preparation and aliquoting, page 1 for radioanalytical fractions to Eberline, &amp; page 2 for chemical analytical fractions to Livermore.</i>		Type of Container	G/P	P/G				
		No. of Container(s)	1	1				
		Volume	1000g	4000g				
SAMPLE ANALYSIS			Ses Item (1) In Special Instructions.	Solid Plant Toxicity ASTM E1963; Soil Nematode Toxicity ASTM E2172				
Sample No.	Matrix *	Sample Date	Sample Time					
J11JB7	SOIL	3-26-06	14:30	✓	—			
CHAIN OF POSSESSION		Sign/Print Names		SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS				Matrix *
Relinquished By/Removed From <i>Elizabeth M Tupper</i>	Date/Time <i>3-27-06 11:30</i>	Received By/Stored In <i>CH2MHILL</i>	Date/Time <i>3-27-06 11:30</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>These marks indicate that unless lined out, analyses to be included with Strontium-89/90 - Total Sr analysis fraction.</li> <li>~ These marks indicate that this is a non-analysis used to properly format COC form. Contact Joan Kessner for any questions.</li> </ul> <p>(1) Particle Size (Dry Sieve) - D422; Moisture Content - D2216; TOC - 9060; pH (Soil) - 9045; Nitrogen by Kjeldahl - 351.2; Ammonia - 350.3; IC Anions - 300.3; Percent Solids</p> <p><i>F142101-3012</i></p> <p><i>Batch #2 Copy</i></p>				Solid Solid/Humus Solid/Solid Solid/Liquid W = Water O=Oil A=Air D=Drum Solid DL=Drum Liquid T=Toxic Wt/Wgt L=Liquid V=Vegetative X=Other
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time					
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time					
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time					
LABORATORY SECTION	Received By	Title		Date/Time				
FINAL SAMPLE DISPOSITION	Disposal Method	Disposed By		Date/Time				

Washington Closure Hanford		CHAIN OF CUSTODY/SAMPLE ANALYSIS REQUEST				RC-051-96	Page: 1 of 1			
Collector STANKOVICH, M.		Company Contact JOAN KESSNER		Telephone No. 375-4688	Project Coordinator KESSNER, JH		Price Code 8L	Data Turnaround 45 Days		
Project Designation 100 & 300 Area Component of the RCRA - Incremental So		Sampling Location 100-H RIPARIAN #8			SAF No. RC-051				Air Quality <input type="checkbox"/>	
Ice Chest No.		Field Logbook No. EL-1596		COA BESRAS6520	Method of Shipment GROUND TRANSPORT					
Shipped To CH2MHILL		Offsite Property No. A060151				Bill of Lading/Air Bill No. SEB OSPC				
POSSIBLE SAMPLE HAZARDS/REMARKS <b>NONE</b>		Preservation		None	None					
Special Handling and/or Storage <i>Use page 3 for original material to Corvallis for MIS preparation and aliquoting, page 1 for radioanalytical fractions to Eberline, &amp; page 2 for chemical analytical fractions to Louisville.</i>		Type of Container		G/P	P/G					
		No. of Container(s)		1	1					
		Volume		1000g	4000g					
		See Item (1) in Special Instructions.		Sed. Plume Toxicity ASTM D1963; Sed. Nemerow Toxicity ASTM E2173						
<b>SAMPLE ANALYSIS</b>										
Sample No.	Matrix *	Sample Date	Sample Time							
J11JHS	SOIL	3-28-06	18:00	1	1					
CHAIN OF POSSESSION		Sign/Print Names				SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS				Matrix *  Soil Stabilized Soil Soil Wt. Wt. Gel As Ash Dissolved Solids ID and Assay Legend Title Wt. Wt. Labeled Via Vapour X Number
Relinquished By/Removed From <i>Eberline</i>	Date/Time <i>11:30</i>	Received By/Stored In <i>CH2MHILL</i>	Date/Time <i>11:30</i> →	These marks indicate that unless lined out, analyses to be included with Strontium-89,90 -- Total Sr analysis fraction.						
Relinquished By/Removed From <i>Eberline</i>	Date/Time <i>5-29-06</i>	Received By/Stored In <i>CH2MHILL</i>	Date/Time <i>5-29-06</i>	These marks indicate that this is a non-analysis used to properly format COC forms. Contact Joan Kessner for any questions.						
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time	(1) Particle Size (Dry Sieve) - D422; Moisture Content - D2216; TOC - 9060; pH (Soil) - 9045; Nitrogen by Kjeldahl - 351.2; Ammonia - 350.3; IC Anions - 300.0; Percent Solids						
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time	<i>Batch # 3</i>						
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time	<i>F1436-5012</i>						
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time							
LABORATORY SECTION	Received By	Title				Date/Time				
FINAL SAMPLE DISPOSITION	Disposal Method	Disposed By				Date/Time				

F1470

Washington Closure Hanford		CHAIN OF CUSTODY/SAMPLE ANALYSIS REQUEST				RC-051-99	Page 1 of 1	
Collector STANKOVICH, M.		Company Contact JOAN KESSNER		Telephone No. 375-4688		Project Coordinator KESSNER, JH	Price Code 8L	Data Turnaround 45 Days
Project Designation 100 & 300 Area Component of the RCBRA - Incremental So		Sampling Location UPPER RIPARIAN #12				SAF No. RC-051		
Ice Chest No.		Field Logbook No. EL-1596		COA BESRAS6520		Method of Shipment GROUND TRANSPORT		
Shipped To CH2MHILL		Offsite Property No. A060151				Bill of Lading/Air Bill No. SEE OSPC		
POSSIBLE SAMPLE HAZARDS/REMARKS <i>NONE</i>		Preservation	None	None				
Special Handling and/or Storage <i>Use page 3 for original material to Corvallis for MIS preparation and aliquoting, page 1 for radioanalytical fractions to Eberline, &amp; page 2 for chemical analytical fractions to Lionville.</i>		Type of Container	G/P	P/G				
		No. of Container(s)	- 1	1				
		Volume	1000g	4000g				
SAMPLE ANALYSIS				See Item (1) in Special Instructions.	Soil Plant Toxicity ASTM E1963; Soil Nematicide Toxicity ASTM E2172			
Sample No.	Matrix	Sample Date	Sample Time					
J11JH8	SOIL	4-3-06	18:45	1	1			
CHAIN OF POSSESSION		Sign/Print Names			SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS			Matrix *
Relinquished By/Removed From <i>Elizabeth M. Tepper</i>	Date/Time 10:30	Received By/Stored In <i>CH2M Hill</i>	Date/Time		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• These marks indicate that unless lined out, analytes to be included with Strontium-89,90 - Total Sr analysis fraction.</li> <li>~ These marks indicate that this is a non-analysis used to properly formal COC form. Contact Joan Kessner for any questions.</li> </ul> <p>(1) Particle Size (Dry Sieve) - D422; Moisture Content - D2216; TOC - 9060; pH (Soil) - 9045; Nitrogen by Kjeldahl - 351.2; Ammonia - 350.3; IC Anions - 300.0; Dissent-Solids</p>			<i>4-3-06</i>
Relinquished By/Removed From <i>Valerie M. Tepper</i>	Date/Time 4-4-06	Received By/Stored In <i>Fed</i>	Date/Time					
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time					
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time					
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time					
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time					
LABORATORY SECTION	Received By	Title					Date/Time	
FINAL SAMPLE DISPOSITION	Disposal Method	Disposed By					Date/Time	

F1471

Washington Closure Hanford		CHAIN OF CUSTODY/SAMPLE ANALYSIS REQUEST				RC-051-95	Page 1 of 1
Collector L. Cetton 3-24-06 STANKOVICH, M.	Company Contact JOAN KESSNER	Telephone No. 375-4688		Project Coordinator KESSNER, JH		Price Code 8L	Data Turnaround
Project Designation 100 & 300 Area Component of the RCBRA - Incremental So	Sampling Location 100-F RIPARIAN #7			SAF No. RC-051		Air Quality <input type="checkbox"/> 45 Days	
Ice Chest No.	Field Logbook No. EL-1596	COA BESRAS6520		Method of Shipment GROUND TRANSPORT			
Shipped To CH2MHILL	Offsite Property No. A060151				Bill of Lading/Air Bill No. SEE OSPC		
POSSIBLE SAMPLE HAZARDS/REMARKS <b>NONE</b>		Preservation	None	None			
Special Handling and/or Storage <i>Use page 3 for original material to Corvallis for MIS preparation and aliquoting, page 1 for radioanalytical fractions to Eberline, &amp; page 2 for chemical analytical fractions to Louisville.</i>		Type of Container	G/P	P/G			
		No. of Container(s)	1	1			
		Volume	1000g	4000g			
SAMPLE ANALYSIS		Soil (1) in Special Instructions	Soil Plant Toxicity ASTM E1963; Soil Nonsmoke Toxicity ASTM E2172				
Sample No.	Matrix *	Sample Date	Sample Time				
J11JH4	SOIL	4-3-06	19:00	1	1		-2
CHAIN OF POSSESSION		Sign/Print Names					
Relinquished By/Removed From Elizabeth M Tepper	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In CH2MHILL	Date/Time				Matrix *
Relinquished By/Removed From Elizabeth M Tepper	10:30 4-4-06	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time				<i>SO-Sol SL-Slurried SO-Crushed SL-Slurry W-Water D-Dil A-Air DR-Dried Solid DL-Dried Liquid T-Tissue W-Waste L-Liquid V-Vapors K-Keeper</i>
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time				
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time				
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time				
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time				
LABORATORY SECTION	Received By	Title				Date/Time	
FINAL SAMPLE DISPOSITION	Disposal Method	Disposed By				Date/Time	